

# WETLAND MITIGATION SITE MONITORING REPORT

## FAP 316 (IL 26) Stephenson County

### Introduction

This report details monitoring of the wetland mitigation site created to compensate for the proposed relocation of Illinois Route 26 near Orangeville in Stephenson County. The site consists of approximately 3.4 ha (8.5 acres) of wetland creation (Site 1) and 3.3 ha (8.2 acres) of wetland enhancement (Site 2). The wetland creation is located north of West St. James Road, west of the Wisconsin and Calumet Railroad, and east of the proposed realignment of Illinois Route 26 (legal location S/2, SW/4, Sect. 36, T 29 N, R 7 E). The wetland enhancement is located south of West St. James Road, along the east and west sides of Richland Creek, upstream and downstream from the proposed bridge on relocated Illinois Route 26 (legal location E/2, NW/4, Sect. 1, T 28 N, R 7 E). Emergent wetland vegetation was planted at Site 1 on 28 July 2000, and a seeding mixture was planted at Site 2, and around the perimeter of Site 1, in late August 2000. On-site monitoring was conducted on 26 September 2000.

This report discusses the goals, objectives, and performance criteria for the mitigation project, the methods used for monitoring the site, monitoring results, and a discussion and recommendations based on the results. Methods and results are discussed by performance criteria for each goal.

### Goals, Objectives, and Performance Standards

Goals, objectives, and performance standards follow those specified in the wetland compensation plan (IDOT Wetlands Unit) developed for this site. Each goal should be attained by the end of the 5-year monitoring period. Goals, objectives, and performance criteria are listed below.

**Project goal 1:** The created and enhanced wetland communities should be jurisdictional wetlands as defined by current federal standards.

**Objective:** The created wetland should compensate for the loss of 1.82 ha (4.5 acres) of emergent wetland and 0.08 ha (0.2 acres) of farmed wetland at a 1.8:1 ratio. The enhanced wetland should compensate for an additional 1.32 ha (3.25 acres) at a 2.5:1 ratio, which may be required by the recent Draft of Wetlands Administrative Rules.

**Performance criteria:**

- a. Predominance of hydrophytic vegetation: More than 50% of the dominant plant species must be hydrophytic.
- b. Presence of wetland hydrology: The area must be either permanently or periodically inundated at average depths less than 2 m (6.6 ft) or have soils that are saturated to the surface for at least 12.5% of the growing season.
- c. Occurrence of hydric soils: Hydric soil characteristics should be present, or conditions favorable for hydric soil formation should persist at the site.

**Project goal 2:** The created wetland plant community should meet a standard for vegetation cover.

**Objectives:** An emergent marsh will be created, and a wet meadow will be enhanced, by planting native wetland vegetation.

**Performance criterion:** Planted vegetation should account for at least 50% of the ground cover at the sites.

**Methods**

**Project goal 1**

a. Predominance of hydrophytic vegetation

The method for determining dominant vegetation at a wetland site is described in the *Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual* (Environmental Laboratory 1987) and further explained in the *Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands* (Federal Interagency Committee for Wetland Delineation 1989). It is based on aerial coverage estimates for individual plant species. Each of the dominant plant species is then assigned its wetland indicator status rating (Reed 1988). Any plant rated facultative or wetter, *i.e.*, FAC, FAC+, FACW, and OBL, is considered a hydrophyte. A predominance of vegetation in the wetland plant community exists if more than 50% of the dominant species present are hydrophytic.

b. Presence of wetland hydrology

Illinois State Geological Survey (ISGS) personnel will install ground water-monitoring wells during autumn 2000.

c. Occurrence of hydric soils

The soil was sampled in order to monitor hydric soil development. Soil profile morphology including horizon color, texture, and structure was described at various points throughout the

site. Additionally, the presence, type, size, and abundance of redoximorphic features were noted.

Hydric soils typically develop slowly, and characteristics may not be apparent during the first several years after project construction. In the absence of hydric soil indicators at the end of the five-year monitoring period, hydrologic data could be used as corroborative evidence that conditions favorable for hydric soil formation persist at the site.

### **Project goal 2**

Because the recently planted vegetation at the sites was not yet established, quantitative sampling of vegetation was not performed in 2000. In subsequent years 1-m x 1-m quadrants will be used to estimate ground cover by planted and volunteer species, and planted trees will be censused.

### **Floristic quality assessment**

The Floristic Quality Assessment (Taft et al. 1997) was applied to the plant community at the site to evaluate floristic quality and nativity. The assessment methodology is used to identify natural areas and facilitate floristic comparisons among sites. This technique is part of the procedure for the long-term monitoring of natural areas and the monitoring of restored or created wetlands (Swink and Wilhelm 1994). Plant species not native to Illinois are not included in the FQI. Each native plant species is assigned a coefficient of conservatism (C) ranging from 0 to 10. Lower numbers have been assigned to species more tolerant of disturbance and higher numbers to species that are generally found in less disturbed natural areas. A mean coefficient value (mCv) is determined by summing the coefficients of conservatism (C) and dividing by the total number of native species (N). The Floristic Quality Index (FQI) is then determined by multiplying the mean coefficient (mCv) by the square root of N. This calculation is done to incorporate numerical species diversity into the FQI value. Sites with FQI values less than 10 indicate that the area has been disturbed or is in an early successional stage. Sites with FQI values of 20 or more possess some evidence of natural character and may be considered environmental assets. Sites with values of 35 or more are considered to be of natural area quality.

## Results

### Project goal 1

#### a. Predominance of hydrophytic vegetation

Dominant plant species for Site 1 in 2000 are shown in Table 1. The dominant species are rated OBL, and are, therefore, hydrophytic. Wetland vegetation is not yet established at Site 2. It has been seeded with a cover crop of *Avena sativa* (indicator status UPL), and, therefore, does not yet contain dominant hydrophytic vegetation.

Table 1. Dominant plant species by stratum and wetland indicator status for the created wetland.

Dominant Plant Species	Stratum	Indicator Status
1. <i>Lindernia dubia</i>	herb	OBL
2. <i>Rorippa islandica</i>	herb	OBL

#### b. Presence of wetland hydrology

Ground water-level data for the sites have not yet been collected. Hydrologic information will be reported in 2001.

#### c. Occurrence of hydric soils

Soils at both the wetland enhancement and the wetland creation are disturbed. Soils at both sites were removed exposing a lower substratum.

The soils at the wetland creation site are very disturbed. The area has been excavated perhaps as much as 1.5 to 1.8 m (5 to 6 ft). There are rocks on the surface that match those on the road being put in and pebbles in the profile starting at 76 cm (30 in). There are no hydric soil indicators present within the upper 30 cm (12 in), but the soil has good potential of becoming hydric. The following is a description of a typical pedon at the creation site.

Table 2. Description of the soils at the created wetland (Site 1).

Depth	Matrix Color	Concentrations	Depletions	Texture	Structure
0-2 in	10YR 3/1	N/A	N/A	Silt loam	Granular
2-11 in	10YR 3/1	N/A	N/A	Silty clay loam	Massive
11-30 in	10YR 3/2	5YR 3/4 & 10YR 3/4	N/A	Silt loam	Massive
30-36 in	10YR 5/2	10YR 4/6	N/A	Silt loam	Massive

At the wetland enhancement site the soils were excavated perhaps only 31 to 46 cm (2 to 2.5 ft). No other type of anthropogenic disturbance is evident within the profile. A buried A horizon was found at 46 cm (18 in). Even though the soil is disturbed, hydric soil indicators are present. A typical pedon is described below.

Table 3. Description of the soils at the enhanced wetland (Site 2).

Depth	Matrix Color	Concentrations	Depletions	Texture	Structure
0-5 in	10YR 2/2	5YR 3/4	N/A	Silt loam	Granular
5-18 in	10YR 3/1	7.5YR 3/4	2.5Y 5/2	Silty clay loam	Granular
18-26 in	N 3/	N/A	2.5Y 4/2	Silt loam	Granular

### Project goal 2

The recently planted wetland vegetation is not yet established at the mitigation sites. Therefore, the sites do not yet meet the performance criterion of 50% ground cover by planted species.

### Floristic Quality Assessment

Two FQI values were calculated for each site from the species lists included in Appendix B. The first FQI value is calculated from only species that became established on the site naturally; the second FQI value includes the planted species. The created wetland has an FQI value of 10.0 and mCv value of 1.9 when only natural vegetation is included. When the planted trees and emergent rootstocks are added, the FQI value is raised to 18.3 and the mCv value is raised to 2.9. The FQI value for the enhanced wetland is 8.3 and the mCv value is 1.6 when only naturally established vegetation is considered. These values increase to 9.7 and 1.8, respectively, when the planted trees are included.

### Discussion

After one monitoring season, these sites show progress towards wetland establishment. The sites will most likely comply with project goals, objectives, and performance standards by the end of the monitoring period.

Planted wetland vegetation is not yet established at either site. The low FQI values at the sites are the result of recent disturbance caused by the creation of the sites. As the planted

vegetation becomes established, and the disturbance-adapted species are replaced by more conservative species, the mCv and FQI values should increase.

Invasive plant species may threaten the floristic quality at these sites. *Phalaris arundinacea* is present at both sites, and this aggressive grass dominates large areas adjacent to the mitigation sites. There is also a small patch of *Phragmites australis* on the edge of Site 2. Care must be taken to ensure that these invasive species do not become well established at the mitigation sites.

At Site 1, the created wetland, Canada geese are consuming the emergent vegetation as it grows through protective cages. It may be necessary to stretch rows of nylon string, marked by bright flagging tape, across the length of the site. This should discourage geese from landing at the site. Grazing by geese may also become a problem at Site 2 when the seeded species begin to emerge.

Soils at both sites have been seriously disturbed. Even so, the soils at the wetland enhancement site do contain some hydric soil indicators, and therefore can be characterized as hydric. However, the highly disturbed soil at the wetland creation site has not yet developed hydric soil indicators.

## Literature Cited

- Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi. Technical Report Y-87-1.
- Federal Interagency Committee for Wetland Delineation. 1989. Federal manual for identifying and delineating jurisdictional wetlands. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and U.S.D.A. Soil Conservation Service, Washington, D.C. Cooperative technical publication.
- Reed, P. B., Jr. 1988. National list of plant species that occur in wetlands: Illinois. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Wetlands Inventory. NERC-88/18.13.
- Swink, F., and G. Wilhelm. 1994. Plants of the Chicago region. Indiana Academy of Science, Indianapolis.
- Taft, J. B., G.S. Wilhelm, D. M. Ladd, and L.A. Masters. 1997. Floristic quality assessment for vegetation in Illinois - a method for assessing vegetation integrity. *Erigenia* 15:3-95.



**ROUTINE ON-SITE WETLAND DETERMINATION**  
Site 1 (page 2 of 5)

**Field Investigators:** Matthews, Kurylo, Tessene, and Keene  
**Date:** 26 September 2000  
**Project Name:** FAP 316  
**State:** Illinois    **County:** Stephenson    **Applicant:** IDOT District 2  
**Site Name:** Created marsh  
**Legal Description:** S/2, SW/4, Sect. 36, T 29 N, R 7 E  
**Location:** This created marsh is located north of West St. James Rd., west of the Wisconsin and Calumet Railroad, and east of the proposed realignment of Illinois Route 26.

**HYDROLOGY**

**Inundated:**    Yes:     No:                         Depth of standing water: 0-0.15 m (0-6 in)  
Depth to saturated soil: Varies from surface to >0.9 m (36 in)  
Overview of hydrological flow through the system: This site receives water through precipitation and sheetflow from surrounding higher ground. Water leaves the site via evapotranspiration and streamflow via a culvert at the south end.  
Size of Watershed: <100 km<sup>2</sup> (38.6 mi<sup>2</sup>)  
Other field evidence observed: Sediment deposits on vegetation.

**Wetland hydrology:** Yes:     No:  
**Rationale:** This site is in an excavated depression and holds water for a long or very long time during the growing season; therefore, it is inundated or saturated for a sufficient duration to satisfy the wetland hydrology criterion.

**DETERMINATION AND RATIONALE:**

**Is the site a wetland?** Yes:     No:  
**Rationale:** This site supports dominant hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology. The recently excavated soils do not yet display hydric characteristics. We determined that this site is a wetland.

Determined by: Jeff Matthews and Paul Tessene  
(vegetation and hydrology)  
Jessica Kurylo and Dennis Keene  
(soils and hydrology)  
Illinois Natural History Survey  
607 East Peabody Drive  
Champaign, Illinois 61820  
(217) 244-2168 (Matthews)

**ROUTINE ON-SITE WETLAND DETERMINATION**  
Site 1 (page 3 of 5)

**Field Investigators:** Matthews, Kurylo, Tessene, and Keene

**Date:** 26 September 2000

**Project Name:** FAP 316

**State:** Illinois     **County:** Stephenson     **Applicant:** IDOT District 2

**Site Name:** Created marsh

**Legal Description:** S/2, SW/4, Sect. 36, T 29 N, R 7 E

**Location:** This created marsh is located north of West St. James Rd., west of the Wisconsin and Calumet Railroad, and east of the proposed realignment of Illinois Route 26.

**SPECIES LIST**

Scientific Name	Common Name	Stratum	Wetland indicator status	C†
<i>Abutilon theophrasti</i>	velvet-leaf	herb	FACU-	*
<i>Alisma plantago-aquatica</i>	broad-leaf water-plantain	herb	OBL	2
<i>Amaranthus retroflexus</i>	rough pigweed	herb	FACU+	*
<i>Amaranthus tuberculatus</i>	tall waterhemp	herb	OBL	1
<i>Bidens cernua</i>	nodding beggar-ticks	herb	OBL	2
<i>Bidens tripartita</i>	beggar-ticks	herb	OBL	2
<i>Brassica kaber</i>	charlock	herb	UPL	*
<i>Cyperus esculentus</i>	yellow nut-sedge	herb	FACW	0
<i>Cyperus strigosus</i>	straw colored flatsedge	herb	FACW	0
<i>Echinochloa muricata</i>	barnyard grass	herb	OBL	0
<i>Eleocharis obtusa</i>	blunt spike rush	herb	OBL	2
<i>Elodea nuttallii</i>	elodea	herb	OBL	6
<i>Lemna minor</i>	common duckweed	herb	OBL	3
<i>Lindernia dubia</i>	false pimpernel	herb	OBL	5
<i>Lycopus americanus</i>	common water horehound	herb	OBL	3
<i>Mimulus ringens</i>	monkey flower	herb	OBL	5
<i>Morus alba</i>	white mulberry	herb	FAC	*
<i>Myosoton aquaticum</i>	giant chickweed	herb	FAC+	*
<i>Panicum capillare</i>	witch grass	herb	FAC	0
<i>Penthorum sedoides</i>	ditch stonecrop	herb	OBL	2
<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	reed canary grass	herb	FACW+	*
<i>Polygonum amphibium</i>	water smartweed	herb	OBL	3
<i>Polygonum hydropiper</i>	common smartweed	herb	OBL	*
<i>Polygonum lapathifolium</i>	curttop lady's thumb	herb	FACW+	0
<i>Polygonum pennsylvanicum</i>	giant smartweed	herb	FACW+	1
<i>Polygonum persicaria</i>	spotted lady's thumb	herb	FACW	*
<i>Populus deltoides</i>	eastern cottonwood	herb	FAC+	2

(continued on next page)

**ROUTINE ON-SITE WETLAND DETERMINATION**  
Site 1 (page 4 of 5)

**Field Investigators:** Matthews, Kurylo, Tessene, and Keene  
**Date:** 26 September 2000  
**Project Name:** FAP 316  
**State:** Illinois    **County:** Stephenson    **Applicant:** IDOT District 2  
**Site Name:** Created marsh  
**Legal Description:** S/2, SW/4, Sect. 36, T 29 N, R 7 E  
**Location:** This created marsh is located north of West St. James Rd., west of the Wisconsin and Calumet Railroad, and east of the proposed realignment of Illinois Route 26.

**SPECIES LIST (continued)**

Scientific Name	Common Name	Stratum	Wetland indicator status	C†
<i>Potentilla norvegica</i>	rough cinquefoil	herb	FAC	0
<i>Ranunculus sceleratus</i>	cursed crowfoot	herb	OBL	3
<i>Rorippa islandica</i>	marsh yellow cress	herb	OBL	4
<i>Rudbeckia hirta</i>	black-eyed susan	herb	FACU	2
<i>Rumex crispus</i>	curly dock	herb	FAC+	*
<i>Salix exigua</i>	sandbar willow	herb	OBL	1
<i>Salix nigra</i>	black willow	herb	OBL	3
<i>Setaria glauca</i>	pigeon grass	herb	FAC	*
<i>Solanum carolinense</i>	horse-nettle	herb	FACU-	0
<i>Solanum ptycanthum</i>	black nightshade	herb	FACU-	0
<i>Sonchus asper</i>	prickly sowthistle	herb	FAC	*
<i>Taraxacum officinale</i>	common dandelion	herb	FACU	*
<i>Trifolium hybridum</i>	alsike clover	herb	FAC-	*

† Coefficient of Conservatism (Taft et al. 1997)

\* Non-native species

$$mCv = \sum C/N = 52/27 = 1.9$$

$$FQI = \sum C/\sqrt{N} = 52/\sqrt{27} = 10.0$$

**ROUTINE ON-SITE WETLAND DETERMINATION**  
Site 1 (page 5 of 5)

**Field Investigators:** Matthews, Kurylo, Tessene, and Keene  
**Date:** 26 September 2000  
**Project Name:** FAP 316  
**State:** Illinois    **County:** Stephenson    **Applicant:** IDOT District 2  
**Site Name:** Created marsh  
**Legal Description:** S/2, SW/4, Sect. 36, T 29 N, R 7 E  
**Location:** This created marsh is located north of West St. James Rd., west of the Wisconsin and Calumet Railroad, and east of the proposed realignment of Illinois Route 26.

**PLANTED SPECIES**

Scientific Name	Common Name	Stratum	Wetland indicator status	C†
<i>Alisma plantago-aquatica</i>	broad-leaf water-plantain	herb	OBL	2
<i>Avena sativa</i>	oats	herb	UPL	*
<i>Calamagrostis canadensis</i>	bluejoint grass	herb	OBL	3
<i>Caltha palustris</i>	marsh marigold	herb	OBL	7
<i>Carex lacustris</i>	river sedge	herb	OBL	6
<i>Carex stricta</i>	tussock sedge	herb	OBL	5
<i>Eleocharis obtusa</i>	blunt spike rush	herb	OBL	2
<i>Iris shrevei</i>	southern blue flag	herb	OBL	5
<i>Pontederia cordata</i>	pickerelweed	herb	OBL	8
<i>Populus deltoides</i>	eastern cottonwood	sapling	FAC+	2
<i>Quercus bicolor</i>	swamp white oak	sapling	FACW+	7
<i>Quercus macrocarpa</i>	burr oak	sapling	FAC-	5
<i>Sagittaria latifolia</i>	arrowhead	herb	OBL	4
<i>Scirpus americanus</i>	American bulrush	herb	OBL	3
<i>Scirpus fluviatilis</i>	river bulrush	herb	OBL	3
<i>Scirpus tabernaemontanii</i>	great bulrush	herb	OBL	4
<i>Spartina pectinata</i>	freshwater cord grass	herb	FACW+	4

† Coefficient of Conservatism (Taft et al. 1997)

\* Non-native species

$$mCv = \sum C/N = 116/40 = 2.9^{**}$$

$$FQI = \sum C^2/N = 116^2/40 = 18.3^{**}$$

\*\*These calculations include the complete species list above, as well as the planted species.



**ROUTINE ON-SITE WETLAND DETERMINATION**  
Site 2 (page 2 of 5)

**Field Investigators:** Matthews, Kurylo, Tessene, and Keene  
**Date:** 26 September 2000  
**Project Name:** FAP 316  
**State:** Illinois    **County:** Stephenson    **Applicant:** IDOT District 2  
**Site Name:** Wetland enhancement  
**Legal Description:** E/2, NW/4, Sect. 1, T 28 N, R 7 E  
**Location:** This wetland enhancement is located south of West St. James Road, along the east and west sides of Richland Creek, upstream and downstream from the proposed bridge on relocated Illinois Route 26.

**HYDROLOGY**

**Inundated:**    Yes:                      No: X                      Depth of standing water: N/A  
**Depth to saturated soil:** Surface  
**Overview of hydrological flow through the system:** This site receives water through precipitation, sheetflow from surrounding higher ground, and occasional overflow from Richland Creek and a tributary. Water leaves the site via evapotranspiration and sheetflow into Richland Creek and a tributary.  
**Size of Watershed:** <100 km<sup>2</sup> (38.6 mi<sup>2</sup>)  
**Other field evidence observed:** Sediment deposits on vegetation.

**Wetland hydrology:** Yes: X                      No:  
**Rationale:** This site is in an excavated area along Richland Creek and is occasionally inundated. It is inundated or saturated for a sufficient duration to satisfy the wetland hydrology criterion.

**DETERMINATION AND RATIONALE:**

**Is the site a wetland?** Yes: X                      No:  
**Rationale:** This site supports hydric soils and wetland hydrology. Vegetation has been cleared recently and the site has been planted with oats. We determined that this site is a wetland.

Determined by: Jeff Matthews and Paul Tessene  
(vegetation and hydrology)  
Jessica Kurylo and Dennis Keene  
(soils and hydrology)  
Illinois Natural History Survey  
607 East Peabody Drive  
Champaign, Illinois 61820  
(217) 244-2168 (Matthews)

**ROUTINE ON-SITE WETLAND DETERMINATION**  
Site 2 (page 3 of 5)

**Field Investigators:** Matthews, Kurylo, Tessene, and Keene

**Date:** 26 September 2000

**Project Name:** FAP 316

**State:** Illinois    **County:** Stephenson    **Applicant:** IDOT District 2

**Site Name:** Wetland enhancement

**Legal Description:** E/2, NW/4, Sect. 1, T 28 N, R 7 E

**Location:** This wetland enhancement is located south of West St. James Road, along the east and west sides of Richland Creek, upstream and downstream from the proposed bridge on relocated Illinois Route 26.

**SPECIES LIST**

Scientific Name	Common Name	Stratum	Wetland indicator status	C†
<i>Abutilon theophrasti</i>	velvet-leaf	herb	FACU-	*
<i>Acer saccharinum</i>	silver maple	herb	FACW	1
<i>Agropyron repens</i>	quack grass	herb	FACU	*
<i>Amaranthus retroflexus</i>	rough pigweed	herb	FACU+	*
<i>Artemisia biennis</i>	biennial wormwood	herb	FACW-	*
<i>Bidens cernua</i>	nodding beggar-ticks	herb	OBL	2
<i>Bidens tripartita</i>	beggar-ticks	herb	OBL	2
<i>Brassica kaber</i>	charlock	herb	UPL	*
<i>Chenopodium album</i>	lamb's quarters	herb	FAC-	*
<i>Conyza canadensis</i>	horseweed	herb	FAC-	0
<i>Cyperus esculentus</i>	yellow nut-sedge	herb	FACW	0
<i>Daucus carota</i>	Queen-Anne's-lace	herb	UPL	*
<i>Echinochloa muricata</i>	barnyard grass	herb	OBL	0
<i>Epilobium coloratum</i>	cinnamon willow herb	herb	OBL	3
<i>Eragrostis hypnoides</i>	pony grass	herb	OBL	5
<i>Festuca arundinacea</i>	tall fescue	herb	FACU+	*
<i>Glechoma hederacea</i>	ground ivy	herb	FACU	*
<i>Impatiens capensis</i>	jewelweed	herb	FACW	2
<i>Leersia oryzoides</i>	rice cutgrass	herb	OBL	3
<i>Lolium perenne</i>	crested rye grass	herb	FACU	*
<i>Mimulus ringens</i>	monkey flower	herb	OBL	5
<i>Myosoton aquaticum</i>	giant chickweed	herb	FAC+	*
<i>Oenothera biennis</i>	evening primrose	herb	FACU	1
<i>Oxalis stricta</i>	yellow wood sorrel	herb	FACU	0
<i>Panicum capillare</i>	witch grass	herb	FAC	0
<i>Panicum dichotomiflorum</i>	fall panicum	herb	FACW-	0
<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	reed canary grass	herb	FACW+	*

(continued on next page)

**ROUTINE ON-SITE WETLAND DETERMINATION**  
Site 2 (page 4 of 5)

**Field Investigators:** Matthews, Kurylo, Tessene, and Keene  
**Date:** 26 September 2000  
**Project Name:** FAP 316  
**State:** Illinois    **County:** Stephenson    **Applicant:** IDOT District 2  
**Site Name:** Wetland enhancement  
**Legal Description:** E/2, NW/4, Sect. 1, T 28 N, R 7 E  
**Location:** This wetland enhancement is located south of West St. James Road, along the east and west sides of Richland Creek, upstream and downstream from the proposed bridge on relocated Illinois Route 26.

**SPECIES LIST (continued)**

Scientific Name	Common Name	Stratum	Wetland indicator status	C†
<i>Plantago rugelii</i>	red-stalked plantain	herb	FAC	0
<i>Polygonum hydropiper</i>	common smartweed	herb	OBL	*
<i>Polygonum lapathifolium</i>	currtop lady's thumb	herb	FACW+	0
<i>Polygonum pensylvanicum</i>	giant smartweed	herb	FACW+	1
<i>Polygonum persicaria</i>	spotted lady's thumb	herb	FACW	*
<i>Populus deltoides</i>	eastern cottonwood	herb	FAC+	2
<i>Portulaca oleracea</i>	purslane	herb	FAC-	*
<i>Ranunculus sceleratus</i>	cursed crowfoot	herb	OBL	3
<i>Rorippa islandica</i>	marsh yellow cress	herb	OBL	4
<i>Rumex crispus</i>	curly dock	herb	FAC+	*
<i>Salix exigua</i>	sandbar willow	herb	OBL	1
<i>Salix nigra</i>	black willow	herb	OBL	3
<i>Setaria viridis</i>	common foxtail	herb	UPL	*
<i>Solanum ptycanthum</i>	black nightshade	herb	FACU-	0
<i>Sonchus asper</i>	prickly sowthistle	herb	FAC	*
<i>Taraxacum officinale</i>	common dandelion	herb	FACU	*
<i>Trifolium hybridum</i>	alsike clover	herb	FAC-	*
<i>Urtica dioica</i>	stinging nettle	herb	FAC+	2
<i>Verbascum thapsus</i>	woolly mullein	herb	UPL	*
<i>Verbena hastata</i>	blue vervain	herb	FACW+	3
<i>Veronica peregrina</i>	purslane speedwell	herb	FACW+	0

† Coefficient of Conservatism (Taft et al. 1997)

\* Non-native species

$$mCv = \sum C/N = 43/27 = 1.6$$

$$FQI = \sum C^2/N = 43^2/27 = 8.3$$

**ROUTINE ON-SITE WETLAND DETERMINATION**  
Site 2 (page 5 of 5)

**Field Investigators:** Matthews, Kurylo, Tessene, and Keene  
**Date:** 26 September 2000  
**Project Name:** FAP 316  
**State:** Illinois    **County:** Stephenson    **Applicant:** IDOT District 2  
**Site Name:** Wetland enhancement  
**Legal Description:** E/2, NW/4, Sect. 1, T 28 N, R 7 E  
**Location:** This wetland enhancement is located south of West St. James Road, along the east and west sides of Richland Creek, upstream and downstream from the proposed bridge on relocated Illinois Route 26.

**PLANTED SPECIES**

Scientific Name	Common Name	Stratum	Wetland indicator status	C†
<i>Populus deltoides</i>	eastern cottonwood	sapling	FAC+	2
<i>Quercus bicolor</i>	swamp white oak	sapling	FACW+	7
<i>Avena sativa</i>	oats	herb	UPL	*
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	green ash	sapling	FACW	2

† Coefficient of Conservatism (Taft et al. 1997)

\* Non-native species

$$mCv = \sum C/N = 52/29 = 1.8^{**}$$

$$FQI = \sum C/\sqrt{N} = 52/\sqrt{29} = 9.7^{**}$$

\*\*These calculations include the complete species list above, as well as the planted species.