



# **Illinois Department of Transportation**

Division of Traffic Safety  
3215 Executive Park Drive / P.O. Box 19245 / Springfield, Illinois / 62794-9245

## **TECHNICAL REPORT**

---

**March 2011**

# **Evaluation of FY10 Traffic Law Enforcement Program (TLEP) and Local Alcohol Program (LAP) Projects in Illinois**

**Compiled and Prepared by:  
Illinois Department of Transportation  
Division of Traffic Safety  
Evaluation Unit  
1340 North 9th St.  
Springfield, Illinois 62702**

# Illinois Department of Transportation

## Division of Traffic Safety

### Evaluation Unit

The Evaluation Unit within the Division of Traffic Safety in the Illinois Department of Transportation focuses on evaluation and monitoring of various highway safety projects and programs in Illinois. The Evaluation Unit conducts research and analyses that enhance the safety and efficiency of transportation by understanding the human factors that are important to transportation programs in Illinois. The main functions of the Unit include the following:

1. Develop an in-depth analysis of motor vehicle related fatalities and injuries in Illinois using several crash related databases (Crash data, FARS, Trauma Registry, and Hospital data, state and local police data).
2. Develop measurable long term and short term goals and objectives for the Highway Safety Program in Illinois using historical crash related databases.
3. Evaluate each highway safety project with enforcement component (e.g., Traffic Law Enforcement Program, Local Alcohol Program, IMaGE projects) using crash and citation data provided by local and state police Departments.
4. Evaluate several highway safety programs (e.g., Occupant Protection and Alcohol). This involves evaluating the effects of public policy and intervention programs that promote safe driving.
5. Design and conduct annual observational safety belt and child safety seat surveys for Illinois. This survey is based on a multi-stage random selection of Interstate Highways, US/IL Highways, and several local and residential streets.
6. Provide results of research and evaluation as well as annual enforcement activities to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) as part of the Federal Requirements of State Highway Safety Program in Illinois.
7. Provide statistical consultation to other Sections at the Division of Traffic Safety and other Divisions at IDOT.
8. Publish results of all research and evaluation at the Division and place them as PDF files at IDOT's Website.

**This report provides descriptive evaluations of the Traffic Law Enforcement Program (TLEP) and the Local Alcohol Program (LAP) using the fiscal year 2010 monthly enforcement data obtained from the local grantees.**

The report was compiled and prepared by the Evaluation staff. Comments or questions may be addressed to Mehdi Nassirpour, Ph.D., Chief of Evaluation Unit, Bureau of Administrative Services, Division of Traffic Safety, Illinois Department of Transportation, 1340 North 9<sup>th</sup> St., Springfield, Illinois 62702.

## Table of Contents

Evaluation of the FY10 Traffic Law Enforcement Program Projects.....	1
TLEP Evaluation Summary.....	2
TLEP Projects Overview Section.....	4
Recommendations for Future TLEPs and LAPs.....	7
TLEP Project Evaluation Section.....	8
DeKalb Police Department.....	9
Stephenson County Sheriff’s Office .....	12
Winnebago County Sheriff’s Office .....	15
TLEP Project Evaluations With Multiple Years of Funding .....	18
Evaluation of the FY10 Local Alcohol Program Projects .....	21
LAP Evaluation Summary.....	22
LAP Projects Overview Section .....	23
LAP Project Evaluation Section .....	26
Buffalo Grove Police Department .....	27
Charleston Police Department .....	30
Chicago Police Department - Strikeforce .....	33
Chicago Heights Police Department .....	37
Cook County Sheriff’s Office.....	40
Decatur Police Department.....	43
East Peoria Police Department.....	46
Elgin Police Department .....	49
Macon County Sheriff’s Office .....	52

Sangamon County Sheriff's Office .....	55
Skokie Police Department.....	58
Springfield Police Department .....	61
St. Clair County Sheriff's Office .....	64
Waukegan Police Department .....	68
Wheeling Police Department .....	71
Will County Sheriff's Office .....	74
LAP Project Evaluations with Multiple Years of Funding .....	77

### **List of Tables**

Table 1: TLEP Agency Costs and Project Hours.....	2
Table 2: Fiscal Year 2010 TLEP Program Overview .....	6
Table 3: DeKalb TLEP Project Summary Data.....	11
Table 4: Stephenson County TLEP Project Summary Data .....	14
Table 5: Winnebago County TLEP Project Summary Data .....	17
Table 6: Progress Over Multiple Years of Funding-TLEP.....	20
Table 7: Fiscal Year 2010 LAP Overview.....	25
Table 8: Buffalo Grove LAP Project Summary Data.....	29
Table 9: Charleston LAP Project Summary Data .....	32
Table 10: Chicago Strikeforce Project Summary Data .....	36
Table 11: Chicago Heights LAP Project Summary Data .....	39
Table 12: Cook County LAP Project Summary Data .....	42
Table 13: Decatur LAP Project Summary Data .....	45
Table 14: East Peoria LAP Project Summary Data .....	48

Table 15: Elgin LAP Project Summary Data.....	51
Table 16: Macon County LAP Project Summary Data.....	54
Table 17: Sangamon County LAP Project Summary Data .....	57
Table 18: Skokie LAP Project Summary Data.....	60
Table 19: Springfield LAP Project Summary Data.....	63
Table 20: St. Clair County LAP Project Summary Data.....	67
Table 21: Waukegan LAP Project Summary Data.....	70
Table 22: Wheeling LAP Project Summary Data.....	73
Table 23: Will County LAP Project Summary Data .....	76
Table 24: Progress Over Multiple Years of Funding-LAP.....	84

**Evaluation of the FY10  
Traffic Law Enforcement Program (TLEP)  
Projects in Illinois**

## **TLEP EVALUATION SUMMARY**

The Division of Traffic Safety's Local Projects Section funded a total of three Traffic Law Enforcement Program (TLEP) projects for Fiscal Year 2010. These TLEP projects were awarded to DeKalb Police Department, the Stephenson County Sheriff's Office, and the Winnebago County Sheriff's Office. The impact evaluations of the TLEPs were based on the FY10 enforcement data submitted to the Law Enforcement Liaisons (LELs) and later supplied to the Evaluation Unit (see **Tables 3 through 5**).

The program cost for all TLEP projects during FY10 was \$574,194.65. The total amount spent on personnel costs (including personal services, fringe benefits, and social security) was \$510,153.10. The remaining \$64,641.55 was allocated for equipment, mileage, and conference travel (to traffic enforcement conferences). The average personnel cost per hour was \$49.61 (\$510,153.10 divided by 10,283 total project hours). Although there were a total 10,283 hours reported for the three TLEP projects, only 7,806 hours (75.9 percent) were reported for traffic safety enforcement activities. The remaining 2,477 hours were spent on non-traffic safety enforcement activities. It should be noted that the percent non-traffic safety enforcement activities were 6.7 percent for DeKalb and 13.0 percent for Winnebago County, while Stephenson County averaged more than 44 percent of its activities for non-traffic enforcement (see **Table 1**). Therefore, an approximate amount of funding spent on actual enforcement was \$387,255.66 (7,806 enforcement hours multiplied by \$49.61 per hour). The average cost of a citation, using the total amount spent on traffic safety enforcement, was \$44.42 (\$387,255.66 divided by 8,718 citations).

**Table 1: TLEP Agency Costs and Project Hours**

Agency	Total Expenditures †	Personnel Costs*	Enforcement Hours	Non-Enforc. Hours	Total Hours	% Non-Enforcement Hours
DeKalb	\$ 134,990.41	\$ 125,347.16	2,263	163	2,426	6.7%
Stephenson Co.	\$ 176,816.20	\$ 147,665.80	2,302	1,829	4,131	44.3%
Winnebago Co.	\$ 262,988.04	\$ 237,140.14	3,241	485	3,726	13.0%
	\$ 574,794.65	\$ 510,153.10	7,806	2,477	10,283	24.1%

† Total Expenditures include Personal Services, Fringe Benefits, Social Security, Equipment Purchases, Equipment Upgrades and Maintenance, and grant-related travel.

\* Personnel Costs are the sum of Personal Services, Fringe Benefits, and Social Security.

Overall the TLEP projects tend to be very expensive since the grantees spent a large proportion of their grant money on non-traffic safety enforcement activities. Therefore it is recommended that DTS staff and LELs audit these agencies and find out reasons for using the traffic safety fund to conduct non traffic related activities.

The Project Evaluation Section provides the assessment of each individual project based on four criteria:

- 1) Actual Patrol Hours
- 2) Motorist Contact Rate
- 3) 30 Percent Occupant Restraint Violations
- 4) Speeding Violations Less than 50 Percent

## **TLEP PROJECTS OVERVIEW SECTION**

(Please refer to **Table 1** for the following section)

### **Actual Patrol Hours**

The Actual Patrol Hours objective is defined as those hours which are spent pursuing traffic violations minus the hours dedicated to the investigation of crashes, analysis of crash data, administrative duties, court time, and leave time.

**Table 1** shows that two of the three projects met this objective. DeKalb met its weekly patrol hours objective by averaging 43.6 patrol hours per week. Also, the Winnebago County Sheriff's Office met the weekly patrol hours objective by averaging 63.3 patrol hours per week. On the other hand, the Stephenson County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by only averaging 44.3 patrol hours per week.

### **Motorist Contact Rate**

The Motorist Contact Rate is a measuring device for the Division of Traffic Safety to determine if the local police departments were active in the pursuit of traffic violations. The Division sets the motorist contact rate at one citation or written warning for every 60 minutes of actual patrol.

As shown in **Table 1**, all of the projects were required to meet this objective. The DeKalb Police Department and Stephenson County Sheriff's Office met this objective, while the Winnebago County Sheriff's Office did not. The DeKalb PD wrote one citation for every 44.4 minutes of patrol and the Stephenson County Sheriff's Office wrote one citation for every 49.5 minutes of patrol. On the other hand, the Winnebago County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by only making one contact for every 68.8 minutes of patrol. The overall contact rate for the three TLEP projects was one citation issued for every 53.7 minutes of patrol.

### **30 Percent Occupant Restraint Violations**

The 30 Percent Occupant Restraint Violations is also a requirement of the TLEP program specifications. This objective emphasizes the enforcement of both occupant protection laws (safety belt and child safety seat).

**Table 1** shows that two of the three projects met the 30 percent occupant restraint objective. These projects included the DeKalb PD (40.3 percent) and Stephenson County SO (34.7 percent).

The Winnebago County SO did not meet this objective by only issuing 6.1 percent of its citations for occupant protection violations. Overall, the percent of citations issued for occupant restraint violations for the three TLEP projects was 27.3.

### **Speeding Citations Percentage**

The 50 Percent Speeding Citations Percentage has been an objective required of TLEP projects in recent years. This objective sets forth criteria that the participating agencies may not issue more than 50 percent of all their citations for speeding violations and it ensures that law

enforcement pursues violators other than those for speeding. Only two of the three projects met this objective. The DeKalb PD issued 37.1 percent of its citations for speeding violations and the Winnebago County SO issued 26.1 percent of its citations for speeding violations. On the other hand, the Stephenson County SO failed to meet this objective by issuing 51.3 percent of its citations for speeding violations. The three projects combined to issue 38.0 percent of all their citations for speeding violations.

**Table 2: Fiscal Year 2010 TLEP Overview**

Location (Population)	Project Objectives											
	Patrol Schedule Hours/week		Motorist contact rate Citations & Warnings		Occupant Restraint Percentage		Speeding Citations Percentage		Months of Project Data	Total Expenditures	Personnel Costs	Years of Enforcement Funding
	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.				
<b>DeKalb</b> (39,018)	> 20.0	<b>43.6 hrs.</b>	< 60 min.	<b>44.4 mins.</b>	> 30.0	<b>40.3%</b>	< 50.0	<b>37.1%</b>	12	\$ 134,990.41	\$ 125,347.16	3
<b>Stephenson Co</b> (48,979)	>80.0	44.3 hrs.	< 60 min.	<b>49.5 mins.</b>	> 30.0	<b>34.7%</b>	< 50.0	51.3%	12	\$ 176,816.20	\$ 147,665.80	3
<b>Winnebago Co</b> (278,418)	> 30.0	<b>63.3 hrs.</b>	< 60 min.	68.8 mins.	> 30.0	6.1%	< 50.0	<b>26.1%</b>	12	\$ 262,988.04	\$ 237,140.14	3
<b>TLEP Program Summary</b>			< 60 min.	<b>53.7 mins.</b>	> 30.0	27.3%	< 50.0	<b>38.0%</b>		\$ 574,794.65	\$ 510,153.10	

**Bold indicates objectives that have been met.**

**Stephenson County employed two full time officers who averaged 79.4 project hours each week, but only conducted an average of 44.3 patrol hours per week.**

## **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE TLEPs AND LAPs**

The following recommendations are made to enhance the success of future Traffic Law Enforcement Program (TLEP) and Local Alcohol Program (LAP) projects:

- 1) The Law Enforcement Liaisons (LELs) should continue to review the monthly enforcement data and notify the locals of any project deficiencies.
- 2) More emphasis should be placed on the accomplishments of the enforcement objectives, through more on-site monitoring by the Law Enforcement Liaisons.
- 3) Ensure that all project enforcement data are reported in a timely fashion.
- 4) Use the Division of Traffic Safety's Overrepresentation Study to identify new areas in which TLEP funds can be utilized rather than continue funding projects beyond the third year.
- 5) Identify those projects that cannot produce the level of enforcement that the TLEP program requires and recommend those projects be discontinued or funded through a different grant program.
- 6) Counties or cities with an inadequate number of enforcement personnel should not be considered for TLEP or LAP funding, but should be directed to a different grant program if they are eligible to participate.

## **TLEP PROJECT EVALUATION SECTION**

This section provides the following materials for each TLEP project:

- 1) Brief Background
- 2) Project Cost
- 3) Project Evaluation
  - a. Proposed Objectives
  - b. Accomplishments
  - c. Brief Conclusion
  - d. Enforcement Data and Information

## DEKALB POLICE DEPARTMENT

### Background

The city of DeKalb is located in DeKalb County which is about 60 miles southwest of Chicago. DeKalb has a population greater than 46,000. Plus, DeKalb is the home of Northern Illinois University which has a student population greater than 20,000. Three major roadways pass through DeKalb.

During the school year, DeKalb has an influx of many visitors which attend university sporting events. Due to the proximity to Chicago and the Chicago suburbs, DeKalb has an influx of weekly visitors.

Due the large daily flow of traffic throughout the village, the city of DeKalb has an issue with traffic crashes and traffic violations. To try to combat this problem the DeKalb Police Department was awarded a TLEP grant to increase traffic patrols on major roadways that experience large numbers of traffic crashes.

The total expenditures for the DeKalb TLEP project (Project #OP0-1435-250) during its third year of funding totaled \$134,990.41.

### Police Services

The DeKalb Police Department employs 63 sworn police officers. Of these officers, a total of 45 officers are assigned to the Patrol Division. The department has ten marked squad cars, one plain car, and two motorcycles.

### Project Evaluation

The Traffic Law Enforcement Program included an enforcement component. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The DeKalb Police Department shall be required to patrol 3,120 patrol during FY10 devoting more than 20 patrol hours per week to high crash road segments.

Accomplishment: *The DeKalb Police Department met the weekly patrol hours objective during FY10 by averaging 43.6 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The DeKalb Police Department shall be required to make an average of one contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The DeKalb Police Department met this objective by making one motorist contact for every 44.4 minutes of patrol (2,263 patrol hours divided by 3,061 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The DeKalb Police Department shall be required to issue a minimum of 30 percent of all citations for occupant restraint violations.

Accomplishment: *The DeKalb Police Department met this objective by issuing 40.3 percent of all citations for occupant restraint violations (the sum of 1,223 safety*

*belt violations and 12 child restraint violations divided by 3,061 total citations multiplied by 100 percent).*

Objective 4: The DeKalb Police Department shall be required to issue no more than 50 percent of all citations for speeding violations.

Accomplishment: *The DeKalb Police Department issued 1,135 speeding citations out of 3,061 citations resulting in a speeding citation percentage of 37.1 percent.*

Project Overview:

The DeKalb Police Department was issued a TLEP grant for fiscal year 2010 to provide extra funding to focus on specific traffic violations, namely occupant restraint and speeding.

The DeKalb Police Department was required to meet four objectives: conduct 20 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes, issue more than 30 percent of all citations for occupant restraint violations, and issue less than 50 percent of all citations for speeding violations. The DeKalb Police Department met all four objectives during fiscal year 2010.

The department met the weekly patrol hours objective by conducting 43.6 patrol hours per week. The department provided a strong effort focusing on occupant restraint violations by issuing 40.3 percent of all citations for occupant restraint violations. The department met the speeding violations objective by issuing just 37.1 percent of all citations for speeding violations. Plus, the department maintained an efficient motorist contact rate by making one contact for every 44.4 minutes of patrol.

### Table 3: DeKalb TLEP Project Summary Data

FY10 TLEP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA													
PROJECT NAME: <u>DeKalb PD</u>							FROM: <u>Oct. 1, 2009</u>						
GRANT # <u>OP0-1435-250</u>							TO: <u>Sept. 30, 2010</u>						
TYPE OF CITATION	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	67	81	92	116	94	97	74	84	81	135	125	89	1135
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3
3. FAIL TO STOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	4	2	4	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	20
5. FOLLOWING TO CLOSE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
7. DUI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	2	4	1	2	5	5	2	2	4	12	2	4	45
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	74	88	97	121	101	103	77	87	88	152	128	96	1212
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	0	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	2	2	1	11
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	91	66	50	56	86	97	93	102	88	176	202	116	1223
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	2	1	2	0	0	1	2	3	0	0	0	1	12
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	46	33	43	42	28	43	36	39	34	88	68	103	603
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	139	100	97	98	116	141	132	144	123	266	272	221	1849
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	213	188	194	219	217	244	209	231	211	418	400	317	3061
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	207	193	201	225	215	245	197	239	203	706	387	689	3707
	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	213	188	194	219	217	244	209	231	211	418	400	317	3061
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	207	193	201	225	215	245	197	239	203	706	387	689	3707
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL TLEP HOURS	163.0	158.0	165.0	183.0	163.0	189.0	172.0	194.0	180.0	314.0	290.0	257.0	2428.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	150.0	143.0	151.0	170.0	150.0	176.0	159.0	175.0	167.0	301.0	277.0	244.0	2263.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	13.0	13.0	14.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	19.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	163.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0000
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	108	65	106	86	86	60	69	60	45	57	82	59	883
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	13	11	10	3	3	10	15	11	7	10	16	16	125

  

FY10 TLEP SUMMARY DATA		
<b>Required:</b>	<b>OBJECTIVES:</b>	
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol	CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)	44.4 mins.
30% or greater for restraint violations	OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE	40.3 %
varies by project	AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS	43.6 hrs.
Less than 50% of all citations	SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE	37.1 %
	<b>OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):</b>	
	CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)	36.6 mins.
	AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS	188.6 hrs.
	AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS	255.1
	AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS	0.0
	AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS	308.9
	CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO	0.8
	DUI RATE	hrs.
	ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE	141.4 hrs.
	AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME	hrs.

## STEPHENSON COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

### Background

Stephenson County is located in the northwestern part of the state of Illinois. The county covers a land area of approximately 640 square miles. The population of Stephenson County is 48,979 with the largest city being Freeport which has a population greater than 26,000 residents. Stephenson County is relatively close to Galena which is host to numerous visitors throughout the year due to its various historic sites and winter activities.

Due to the county's proximity to Galena, the county has seen an increase of traffic flow over the years due to the increase in motorists who visit the Galena area. Along with the increase in traffic flow, the incidents of aggressive driving and traffic crashes have increased. The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office tries to devote some of its time to dealing with these incidents, but the amount of time and effort devoted to traffic patrol is limited due to the officers' other duties and limited funding.

To improve traffic conditions, the Stephenson County Sheriff's Office requested funding for a traffic law enforcement project (TLEP) grant so they would have the funding to support a unit whose sole responsibility was traffic enforcement.

The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office was awarded a TLEP grant. This grant will allow the department to expend more resources to deal with the high volume of crashes in the community.

The total expenditures for the Stephenson County TLEP project (Project #OP0-0089-157) in the third year of funding totaled \$176,816.20.

### Police Services

The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office currently employs the Sheriff, the Chief Deputy, and administrative lieutenant, 5 investigators, 3 court security deputies, 2 deputies contracted to a local community college, one civil process server, 14 patrol deputies, and 2 Traffic Unit deputies. Each deputy assigned to patrol, investigation, and administration is assigned a take home vehicle

### Project Evaluation

The Stephenson County TLEP project included an enforcement component. The objectives and accomplishments for the project were as follows:

Objective 1: The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office will assign two full-time deputies to the patrol division. Each position will work 2,080 hours throughout the year for 4,160 total hours throughout the year (or an average of 80 hours per week).

Accomplishment: *The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office just failed to meet this objective by conducting 4,131 total hours throughout the year.*

Objective 2: The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office will be required to make one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office met this objective by making one contact for every 49.5 minutes of patrol (2,302 patrol hours divided by 2,790 total citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office shall be required to issue more than 30 percent of all citations for occupant restraint violations.

Accomplishment: *The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office met this objective by issuing 34.7 percent of all their citations for occupant restraint violations (the sum of 878 safety belt violations and 91 child restraint violations divided by 2,790 citations multiplied by 100 percent).*

Objective 4: The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office will be required to issue no more than 50 percent of all citations for speeding violations.

Accomplishment: *The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by issuing exactly 51.2 percent of their citations for speeding violations (1,431 speeding citations divided by 2,790 total citations multiplied by 100 percent).*

Project Overview:

The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office was issued a TLEP grant for fiscal year 2010 to provide extra funding to focus on specific traffic violations, namely occupant restraint and speeding.

The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office was required to meet four objectives: work 80 hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue more than 30% of all citations for occupant restraint violations, and issue less than 50% of all citations for speeding violations. The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office met two of the four objectives.

The sheriff's office provided a strong effort focusing on occupant restraint violations by issuing 34.7 percent of all citations for occupant restraint violations. Plus, the department maintained an efficient motorist contact rate by making one contact for every 49.5 minutes of patrol.

The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office failed to meet the hours objective by conducting only 4,131 hours of the required 4,160 hours. The department failed to meet the speeding violations objective by issuing 50 percent of all citations for speeding violations.

## Table 4: Stephenson County TLEP Project Summary Data

FY10 TLEP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA													
PROJECT NAME: <u>Stephenson Co.</u>							FROM: <u>Oct. 1, 2009</u>						
GRANT # <u>OP0-0089-157</u>							TO: <u>Sept. 30, 2010</u>						
TYPE OF CITATION	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	107	135	101	120	112	150	124	115	130	131	110	96	1431
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	3	1	10
3. FAIL TO STOP	4	2	8	2	2	1	0	1	2	5	2	3	32
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	11	0	8	0	0	22
5. FOLLOWING TO CLOSE	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	4
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	2	2	1	12
7. DUI	2	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	11
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	4
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	5	7	9	8	6	3	4	4	7	4	5	7	69
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	118	151	119	134	123	157	130	133	147	151	123	109	1595
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	5
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	74	82	73	91	67	93	53	75	73	64	74	59	878
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	6	6	7	4	9	11	5	8	14	7	9	5	91
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	24	17	23	36	15	22	2	6	30	13	19	14	221
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	106	105	104	131	91	126	60	89	118	84	102	79	1195
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	224	256	223	265	214	283	190	222	265	235	225	188	2790
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	214	250	202	231	213	268	187	223	219	222	216	169	2614
	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	224	256	223	265	214	283	190	222	265	235	225	188	2790
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	214	250	202	231	213	268	187	223	219	222	216	169	2614
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	2	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	9
TOTAL TLEP HOURS	367.0	360.0	334.0	373.0	302.0	367.0	316.0	404.0	317.0	318.0	336.0	337.0	4131.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	161.0	168.0	168.0	224.0	194.0	235.0	183.0	203.0	190.0	205.0	199.0	172.0	2302.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	206.0	192.0	166.0	149.0	108.0	132.0	133.0	201.0	127.0	113.0	137.0	165.0	1829.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0000
<b>COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA</b>													
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	49	73	55	44	45	32	29	35	32	38	33	31	496
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	4	13	9	3	7	6	5	9	6	14	11	8	95
FY10 TLEP SUMMARY DATA													
<b>Required:</b> One contact every 60 minutes of patrol 30% or greater for restraint violations varies by project Less than 50% of all citations											<b>OBJECTIVES:</b> CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS) 49.5 mins. OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 34.7 % AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 44.3 hrs. SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE 51.3 %		
											<b>OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):</b> CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS) 52.8 mins. AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS 191.8 hrs. AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS 232.5 AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS 0.0 AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS 217.8 CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO 1.1 DUI RATE 255.8 hrs. ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 115.1 hrs. AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 0.0 hrs.		

## WINNEBAGO COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

### Background

Winnebago County is located in 75 miles northwest of Chicago. The county covers a land area of approximately 514 square miles. The population of Winnebago County is 278,418 with the largest city being Rockford which has a population greater than 150,000 residents. Winnebago County is host to numerous attractions and seasonal activities throughout the year which include the Sportscore Complex/Indoor Sports Center, Rock Cut State Park, Magic Waters Water Park, the Waterfront Festival, and the Fourth of July celebration.

Due to being host to these attractions and events, the county has a high influx of traffic flow throughout the year due to the motorists who visit the area. As the traffic flow increases each year, the incidents of crashes and other aggressive driving behaviors have increased. In prior years, the Winnebago County Sheriff's Office has been awarded IMAGE and Speed grants to combat these negative driving behaviors.

To continue to improve traffic conditions, the Winnebago County Sheriff's Office requested funding for a traffic law enforcement project (TLEP) grant so they would be able to devote more time and effort to traffic enforcement.

The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office was awarded a TLEP grant. This grant will allow the department to expend more resources to deal with the high volume of crashes in the community.

The total expenditures for the Winnebago County TLEP project (Project #OP0-0101-117) in the third year of funding totaled \$262,988.04.

### Police Services

There are 150 merited positions authorized by the Winnebago County Board. Ten of the 150 merited employees have specialized certification and/or training in the area of traffic management. The breakdown of trained personnel is as follows: 6 staff members are certified Accident Re-constructionist; 3 staff members received the Accident Investigation 1 training at Northwestern University Center for Public Safety; and 1 staff member is recognized as a Certified Re-Constructionist with National Accreditation status.

### Project Evaluation

The Winnebago County TLEP project included an enforcement component. The objectives and accomplishments for the project were as follows:

Objective 1: The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office will conduct up to 30 patrol hours per week from the selected high-crash segments.

Accomplishment: *The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office met this objective by conducting an average of 63.3 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office will be required to make one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by making one contact for every 68.8 minutes of patrol (3,288 patrol hours divided by 2,867 total citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office shall be required to issue more than 30 percent of all citations for occupant restraint violations.

Accomplishment: *The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by issuing only 6.1 percent of all of their citations for occupant restraint violations (the sum of 148 safety belt violations and 28 child restraint violations divided by 2,867 citations multiplied by 100 percent).*

Objective 4: The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office will be required to issue no more than 50 percent of all citations for speeding violations.

Accomplishment: *The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office issued 749 speeding citations out of a total of 2,867 citations resulting in 26.1 percent of all citations issued for speeding violations.*

Project Overview:

The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office was issued a TLEP grant for fiscal year 2010 to provide extra funding to focus on specific traffic violations, namely occupant restraint and speeding.

The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office was required to meet four objectives: conduct 30 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue more than 30% of all citations for occupant restraint violations, and issue less than 50% of all citations for speeding violations. The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office met two of the four objectives.

The sheriff's office met the speeding violations percentage by only issuing 26.1 percent of all of their citations for speeding violations. The sheriff's office also met the weekly patrol hours objective by averaging 63.3 patrol hours per week.

On the other hand, the sheriff's office failed to maintain an efficient motorist contact rate by only making one motorist contact for every 68.8 minutes of patrol. Furthermore, the sheriff's office failed to meet the occupant restraint violation objective by issuing only 6.1 percent of its citations for occupant restraint violations.

## Table 5: Winnebago County TLEP Project Summary Data

FY10 TLEP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA													
PROJECT NAME: <u>Winnebago Co.</u>							FROM: <u>Oct. 1, 2009</u>						
GRANT # <u>OP0-0101-117</u>							TO: <u>Sept. 30, 2010</u>						
TYPE OF CITATION	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	81	90	63	82	44	68	68	60	49	49	46	49	749
2. FAIL TO YIELD	3	2	4	0	1	4	1	6	2	1	1	1	26
3. FAIL TO STOP	14	10	10	9	6	8	5	8	8	3	2	9	92
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	4	5	6	3	5	7	3	3	11	4	4	7	62
5. FOLLOWING TO CLOSE	0	1	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	10
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	10	8	11	4	10	9	2	5	8	7	3	9	86
7. DUI	7	13	6	4	8	11	11	9	10	12	9	9	109
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	1	0	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	6	1	4	22
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	21	20	25	26	20	28	24	24	29	31	38	27	313
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	141	149	128	132	98	138	115	116	119	114	105	115	1470
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	4	0	0	1	1	0	1	3	0	0	3	1	14
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	17	11	11	8	7	15	8	11	19	17	16	8	148
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	1	0	5	3	2	2	3	3	1	2	2	4	28
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	120	90	85	118	95	116	97	94	94	93	113	92	1207
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	142	101	101	130	105	133	109	111	114	112	134	105	1397
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	283	250	229	262	203	271	224	227	233	226	239	220	2867
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	259	237	211	250	195	265	315	329	unk	207	215	206	2689
	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	283	250	229	262	203	271	224	227	233	226	239	220	2867
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	259	237	211	250	195	265	315	329	unk	207	215	206	2689
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	7	13	6	4	8	11	11	9	10	12	9	9	109
TOTAL TLEP HOURS	272.0	300.0	288.0	322.0	252.0	396.0	336.0	312.0	288.0	276.0	301.0	336.0	3679.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	251.0	262.0	242.0	277.0	220.0	339.0	305.0	263.0	243.0	237.0	348.0	301.0	3288.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	21.0	38.0	46.0	45.0	32.0	57.0	31.0	49.0	45.0	29.0	47.0	35.0	475.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0000
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	106	107	155	109	135	87	81	84	88	89	80	60	1181
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	31	21	30	24	25	21	24	22	18	27	33	39	315
FY10 TLEP SUMMARY DATA													
<b>Required:</b>													
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol	<i>OBJECTIVES:</i>												
30% or greater for restraint violations	CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)										68.8 mins.		
varies by project	OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE										6.1 %		
Less than 50% of all citations	AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS										63.3 hrs.		
	SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE										26.1 %		
	<i>OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):</i>												
	CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)										73.4 mins.		
	AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS										274.0 hrs.		
	AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS										238.9		
	AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS										0.0		
	AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS										224.1		
	CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO										1.1		
	DUI RATE										30.2 hrs.		
	ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE										22.5 hrs.		
	AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME										0.0 hrs.		

## **TLEP PROJECT EVALUATIONS WITH MULTIPLE YEARS OF FUNDING**

The three TLEP projects have received funding for multiple years. All of the projects were in their third year of funding.

The following materials provide information on the TLEP projects that were funded for the last several years (**see Table 6**).

### **DeKalb**

The DeKalb Police Department has had a TLEP project for the previous three years. The department maintained a good contact rate for all three years by issuing better than one citation for every 44 minutes of patrol. The department has been very active in the pursuit of occupant restraint violators. The department has met the occupant restraint violations percentage objective each year by issuing more than 34 percent of its citations for occupant restraint violations. The department has not exceeded the speeding violations percentage during its three years of funding. This has shown that the department has been active in the pursuit of violations other than speeding. Finally, the department met the weekly patrol hours objective for all three years by averaging 34.7 patrol hours per week in FY08, 43.5 patrol hours per week in FY09, and 43.6 patrol hours per week in FY10.

### **Stephenson County**

The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office has received funding for a TLEP project for the previous three years. The department made significant improvements from their first year of funding. The sheriff's office has maintained a good contact rate during the three years of funding by issuing better than one citation for every 50 minutes of patrol. Regarding the occupant restraint violations objective, the sheriff's office failed to meet the objective in the first year of funding by only issuing 19.7 percent of its citations for occupant restraint violations. The following two years, the sheriff's office issued 30.8 percent of its citations for occupant restraint violations in FY09 and 34.7 percent in FY10. Regarding the speeding citations objective, the sheriff's office failed to meet this objective in the first year of funding by issuing 65 percent of its citations for speeding violations. During the second year of funding, the sheriff's office met this objective by issuing 50 percent of its citations for speeding violations. On the other hand, the sheriff's office failed to meet this objective in FY10 by issuing 51.3 percent of their citations for speeding violations. While the department increased its weekly patrol hours objective during FY09 and FY10, it still failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective.

### **Winnebago County**

The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office has received funding for a TLEP project for the previous three years. The department has met the same two objectives each year. The department met the weekly patrol hours objective and the speeding citations objective each year. The department was required to conduct 30 weekly patrol hours each year and met this objective by averaging 38.4 weekly patrol hours during FY08, 51.6 weekly patrol hours during FY09, and 63.3 weekly patrol hours during FY10. The department has consistently kept their speeding citations percentage under 30 percent. This shows that the department has been active in pursuing other violations. Although the department met the weekly patrol hours objective and the speeding citations percentage, the department has failed to meet the motorist contact rate objective and the occupant restraint percentage objective. While the department did not meet the motorist contact rate objective during the three years of funding, the department did make a

significant improvement during the second and third years of funding by averaging one citation for every 68.9 minutes of patrol in FY09 and one citation for every 68.8 minutes of patrol in FY10. The department has only managed to issue between 6 and 7 percent of its citations for occupant restraint violations during all three years of funding.

**Table 6: Progress Over Multiple Years of Funding - TLEP**

Location	Project Objectives								
	Patrol Schedule Hours/week		Motorist Contact Rate Citations & Warnings		Occupant Restraint Percentage		Speeding Citations Percentage		Number of Months of Project Data
	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	
<b>DeKalb</b>									
FY10	> 20.0	<b>43.6</b>	< 60 min.	<b>44.4 min.</b>	> 30.0	<b>40.3</b>	< 50.0	<b>37.1</b>	12
FY09	> 20.0	<b>43.5</b>	< 60 min.	<b>43.8 min.</b>	> 30.0	<b>34.9</b>	< 50.0	<b>39.9</b>	12
FY08	> 20.0	<b>34.7</b>	< 60 min.	<b>44.2 min.</b>	> 30.0	<b>34.3</b>	< 50.0	<b>32.2</b>	12
<b>Stephenson County</b>									
FY10	> 80.0	44.3	< 60 min.	<b>49.5 min.</b>	> 30.0	<b>34.7</b>	< 50.0	51.3	12
FY09	> 80.0	32.4	< 60 min.	<b>44.3 min.</b>	> 30.0	<b>30.8</b>	< 50.0	<b>50.2</b>	12
FY08	> 80.0	41.6	< 60 min.	<b>47.5 min.</b>	> 30.0	19.7	< 50.0	64.5	12
<b>Winnebago County</b>									
FY10	> 30.0	<b>63.3</b>	< 60 min.	68.8 min.	> 30.0	6.1	< 50.0	<b>26.1</b>	12
FY09	> 30.0	<b>51.6</b>	< 60 min.	68.9 min.	> 30.0	7.1	< 50.0	<b>28.1</b>	12
FY08	> 30.0	<b>38.4</b>	< 60 min.	93.2 min.	> 30.0	6.8	< 50.0	<b>29.8</b>	12

**Bold indicates objectives that have been met.**

**Evaluation of the FY10  
Local Alcohol Program (LAP)  
Projects in Illinois**

## LAP EVALUATION SUMMARY

The Division of Traffic Safety's Local Projects Section funded fourteen Local Alcohol Program (LAP) projects during Fiscal Year 2010. The LAP projects were awarded to Buffalo Grove, Charleston, Chicago, Chicago Heights, Cook County, Decatur, East Peoria, Elgin, Macon County, Robinson, Sangamon County, Skokie, Springfield, St. Clair County, Waukegan, Wheeling, and Will County. The evaluations of the seventeen enforcement grants were based on the 2010 enforcement data provided in the monthly progress reports (see **Tables 8 through 23**). The following is a list of the criteria which were used to measure the progress made by each LAP project listed in this section:

1. Actual Patrol Hours
2. Motorist contact rate (citations/written warnings)
3. DUI arrest rate
4. Alcohol-related contact rate
5. DUI processing rate
6. Occupant restraint violations percentage

The program cost for all LAP projects during FY10 was \$2,035,456.89. The total amount spent on enforcement, which includes personal services, fringe benefits, and social security, was \$1,884,688.59. The remaining \$150,768.30 was allocated for equipment, mileage, and conference travel (to traffic enforcement conferences). The average cost per hour was \$56.07 (\$1,884,688.59 divided by 33,612.6 total project hours). The approximate amount of funding spent on actual enforcement was \$1,567,170.32 (the sum of 21,883.3 enforcement hours and 6,066.5 DUI processing hours multiplied by \$56.07 per hour). The average cost of a citation was \$59.62 (\$1,567,170.32 divided by 26,288 citations).

## **LAP PROJECTS OVERVIEW SECTION**

(Please refer to **Table 7** for the following section)

### **Patrol Hours**

The Patrol Hours objective is defined as those hours which are spent pursuing traffic violations plus those hours dedicated to processing DUI arrests.

As shown in **Table 7**, the Buffalo Grove Police Department, the Chicago Police Department, the Cook County Sheriff's Office, the Macon County Sheriff's Office, the Skokie Police Department, and the Wheeling Police Department met this objective. The remaining ten projects (Charleston PD, Chicago Heights PD, Decatur PD, East Peoria PD, Elgin PD, Sangamon County SO, Springfield PD, St. Clair County SO, Waukegan PD, and Will County Sheriff's Office) did not meet their patrol hours objective.

### **Motorist contact rate**

The motorist contact rate indicates how active the enforcement agencies were in the pursuit of traffic violations.

**Table 7** shows that nine of the sixteen projects (Charleston, Chicago, Cook County, East Peoria, Elgin, Skokie, Waukegan, Wheeling, and Will County) met this objective. These projects ranged from making one contact for every 24.3 minutes of patrol (Elgin) to making one contact for every 54.1 minutes of patrol (Charleston).

The Buffalo Grove, Chicago Heights, Decatur, Macon County, Sangamon County Sheriff's Office, Springfield Police Department, and St. Clair County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by averaging more than 60 minutes of patrol between contacts. Of these four projects, only the Chicago Heights Police Department was close to meeting this objective.

### **30 Percent Occupant Restraint Violations**

This objective emphasizes the enforcement of both occupant protection laws (safety belt and child safety seats). As shown in **Table 7**, only the St. Clair County Sheriff's Office was required to meet this objective. It was required to issue more than 25 percent of its citations for occupant violations, but only issued 6.4 percent.

### **DUI Arrest Rate**

All of the LAP projects are required to pursue and arrest individuals who are driving under the influence. All of the projects, except St. Clair County, had to make one DUI arrest for every ten patrol hours. The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office was required to make one DUI arrest for every eight patrol hours.

The eleven projects which met this objective included Charleston (one DUI arrest for every 9.5 patrol hours), Chicago (one DUI arrest for every 9.4 patrol hours), Chicago Heights (one DUI arrest for every 8.3 patrol hours), Cook County (one DUI arrest for every 3.7 patrol hours), Decatur (one DUI arrest for every 5.7 patrol hours), East Peoria (one DUI arrest for every 7.1 patrol hours), Elgin (one DUI arrest for every 3.0 patrol hours), Sangamon County (one DUI arrest for every 8.5 patrol hours), Springfield (one DUI arrest for every 7.8 patrol hours), Waukegan (one DUI arrest for every 5.8 patrol hours), and Will County (one DUI arrest for every 7.6 patrol hours).

The five projects which failed to meet this objective included Buffalo Grove (one DUI arrest for every 14.8 patrol hours), Macon County (one DUI arrest for every 10.7 patrol hours), Skokie (one DUI arrest for every 15.6 patrol hours), and Wheeling (one DUI arrest for every 11.0 patrol hours). St. Clair County failed to meet their 8 hour objective by making one DUI arrest for every 8.4 patrol hours.

### **Alcohol-Related Contact Rate**

The Alcohol-Related Contact Rate is also a requirement of the LAP enforcement project specifications. All of the projects were required to make one alcohol-related contact for every six hours of patrol. This objective focuses on those violations that may lead to driver impairment, such as illegal transportation, illegal possession by a minor, drug related offenses, and zero tolerance.

The six projects which met this objective included Cook County, Decatur, East Peoria, Elgin, St. Clair County, Waukegan, and Will County. The alcohol-related contact rates for these seven projects ranged from one alcohol-related contact made for every 1.9 patrol hours (Cook County) to one contact made for every 6.0 patrol hours (St. Clair County).

The ten projects which failed to meet this objective included Buffalo Grove, Charleston, Chicago, Chicago Heights, Macon County, Sangamon County, Skokie, Springfield, Wheeling, and Will County. The alcohol-related contact rates for these ten projects ranged from one alcohol-related contact made for every 6.1 patrol hours (Will County) to one contact made for every 10.7 patrol hours (Buffalo Grove).

### **DUI Processing Rate**

All sixteen projects had to have a DUI processing time of two hours or less. Eleven of the sixteen projects met this objective (Buffalo Grove, Chicago Heights, Cook County, Decatur, East Peoria, Elgin, Macon County, Skokie, St. Clair County, Waukegan, and Wheeling). Charleston, Chicago, Macon County, Sangamon County, Springfield, and Will County failed to meet this objective.

### **Other Monitored Data**

#### **BAC Data**

During FY09, there were 2,765 individuals arrested for DUI. The average blood alcohol level (BAC) of those individuals who agreed to be tested was 0.1600.

#### **Vehicles Stopped Contact Rate**

Although the Vehicles Stopped Contact Rate is not an objective in the LAP specifications, the Evaluation Unit monitors these data to measure enforcement activity. Over the 21,615 patrol hours, the officers stopped a total of 25,942 vehicles, resulting in a vehicle stop rate of one for every 50.0 minutes.

**Table 7: Fiscal Year 2010 LAP Overview**

Location (Population)	Project Objectives												Number of Months of Project Data	Total Expenditures	Years of Enforcement Funding
	Patrol Schedule Hours/week		Motorist contact rate Citations & Warnings		DUI Arrest Rate		Alcohol-Related Contact Rate		DUI Processing Time Per DUI		Occupant Restraint Percentage				
	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.			
<b>Buffalo Grove</b> (42,909)	> 25.0	<b>36.0</b>	< 60 min.	70.1	< 10.0 hrs.	14.8	< 6.0 hrs.	10.7	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0</b>	N/A	N/A	10	\$ 85,537.20	5
<b>Charleston</b> (21,039)	>18.0	11.1	< 60 min.	<b>54.1</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>9.5</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	6.5	< 2.0 hrs.	2.9	N/A	N/A	8	\$ 16,071.31	1
<b>Chicago</b> (2,896,016)	> 36.0	<b>82.7</b>	< 60 min.	<b>38.0</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>9.4</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	7.6	< 2.0 hrs.	2.6	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 537,234.85	16
<b>Chicago Heights</b> (32,776)	> 20.0	11.6	< 60 min.	61.8	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>8.3</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	6.8	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.8</b>	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 28,222.91	7
<b>Cook Co.</b> (5,294,664)	> 20.0	<b>21.4</b>	< 60 min.	<b>35.4</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>3.7</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>1.9</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0</b>	N/A	N/A	11	\$ 56,816.15	2
<b>Decatur</b> (81,860)	> 24.0	23.8	< 60 min.	102.5	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>5.7</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>5.2</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>0.7</b>	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 91,709.30	1
<b>East Peoria</b> (22,638)	> 35.0	33.8	< 60 min.	<b>52.7</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>7.1</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>5.0</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0</b>	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 87,849.82	1
<b>Elgin</b> (94,487)	> 30.0	23.7	< 60 min.	<b>24.3</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>3.0</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>2.3</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.8</b>	N/A	N/A	11	\$ 92,672.72	5
<b>Macon Co.</b> (114,706)	> 35.0	<b>40.1</b>	< 60 min.	91.2	< 10.0 hrs.	10.7	< 6.0 hrs.	6.2	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0</b>	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 79,582.00	3
<b>Sangamon Co.</b> (188,951)	> 31.7	20.6	< 60 min.	86.3	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>8.5</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	6.6	< 2.0 hrs.	2.2	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 87,757.82	14
<b>Skokie</b> (63,348)	> 24.0	<b>35.0</b>	< 60 min.	<b>36.0</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	15.6	< 6.0 hrs.	7.6	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.9</b>	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 131,450.55	4
<b>Springfield</b> (111,454)	> 60.0	52.3	< 60 min.	179.3	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>7.8</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	6.4	< 2.0 hrs.	2.4	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 159,372.93	5
<b>St. Clair Co.</b> (256,082)	> 43.8	35.0	< 60 min.	85.7	< 8.0 hrs.	8.4	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>6.0</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0</b>	> 25.0	6.4	11	\$ 136,981.31	6
<b>Waukegan</b> (86,432)	> 80.0	50.8	< 60 min.	<b>36.4</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>5.8</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>4.6</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0</b>	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 188,932.91	7
<b>Wheeling</b> (35,924)	> 30.0	<b>33.1</b>	< 60 min.	<b>49.5</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	11.0	< 6.0 hrs.	9.8	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0</b>	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 99,666.28	2
<b>Will County</b> (502,266)	> 40.0	29.2	< 60 min.	<b>40.3</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>7.6</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>6.1</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	2.2	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 152,512.44	6
<b>Lap Program Summary</b>			< 60 min.	<b>51.5</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>7.8</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>5.7</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.3</b>	N/A	N/A		\$2,035,456.89	

Bold, highlighted items indicate objectives that have been met.

## **LAP PROJECT EVALUATION SECTION**

This section provides the following materials for each LAP project:

- 1) Brief Background
- 2) Project Cost
- 3) Project Evaluation
  - a. Proposed Objectives
  - b. Accomplishments
  - c. Brief Conclusion
  - d. Enforcement Data and Information

## BUFFALO GROVE POLICE DEPARTMENT

### Background

The village of Buffalo Grove, located 29 miles northwest of Chicago, encompasses approximately nine square miles and has about 135 miles of roadway. Four major roadways (Interstate 294, U.S. Route 45, Illinois Route 53 and Illinois Route 83) pass through Buffalo Grove. The population of Buffalo Grove totals 42,909.

Being located between two major highways, there is a great amount of commuter traffic within the village. In addition to all of the through traffic, there are two Metra train stations and a large industrial park. Also, Buffalo Grove has large volumes of traffic due to the closeness to the Arlington International Racetrack, several shopping malls, and large recreational areas. Due to the large volumes of traffic in and around the community, there are a large number of traffic crashes and traffic violations which occur in the village.

The Buffalo Grove Police Department requested funding for a LAP grant for FY10 to provide the department with extra funding to deal with the large volume of traffic crashes and traffic violations, especially alcohol-related and DUI offenses.

The total expenditures for the Buffalo Grove LAP project (Project #AL0-0725-125) in its fifth year of funding totaled \$85,537.20. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$76,731.50.

### Police Services

The Buffalo Grove Police Department currently employs 71 sworn officers with the largest component of the department being in the patrol division. The department accomplishes these tasks through the use of squad cars, police motorcycles, RADAR and LIDAR units.

### Project Evaluation

The Buffalo Grove LAP project included an enforcement component. The objectives and accomplishments for the project were as follows:

Objective 1: The Buffalo Grove Police Department will select an officer to work 35 hours a week to city-wide patrolling of the determined high crash areas.

Accomplishment: *The Buffalo Grove Police Department met the weekly patrol hours objective by conducting 36.0 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The Buffalo Grove Police Department will be required to make at least one traffic contact for every 60 minutes of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Buffalo Grove Police Department failed to meet this objective by making one contact for every 70.1 minutes of patrol (1,372 patrol hours divided by 1,174 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Buffalo Grove Police Department will be required to write one DUI for every ten hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Buffalo Grove Police Department failed to meet this objective by averaging one DUI arrest for every 14.8 patrol hours (1,372 patrol hours divided by 93 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Buffalo Grove Police Department will be required to make one alcohol-related contact for every six hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Buffalo Grove Police Department failed to meet this objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 10.7 patrol hours (1,372 patrol hours divided by the sum of 93 DUI citations, 11 illegal transportation citations, 3 sworn report citations, and 21 other alcohol-related citations).*

Objective 5: The Buffalo Grove Police Department will be required to maintain a DUI processing time of 2 hours or less.

Accomplishment: *The Buffalo Grove Police Department met this objective by averaging a processing time of 2.0 hours for each DUI arrest (186 hours processing DUIs divided by 93 DUIs).*

### **Project Overview:**

The Buffalo Grove Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2010 to provide extra funding for enhanced enforcement with a focus on alcohol-related violations.

The Buffalo Grove Police Department was required to meet five objectives: conduct 35 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes of patrol, make one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, and maintain an average DUI processing time of 2 hours or less. The Buffalo Grove Police Department met two of its five objectives during fiscal year 2010.

The department met its weekly patrol hours objective by averaging 36 patrol hours per week. The department also met the average DUI processing time objective by averaging two hours to process each DUI arrest.

On the other hand, the department failed to meet the DUI arrest rate objective by making one DUI arrest for every 14.8 patrol hours. Similarly, the department failed to meet the alcohol-related contact rate by only averaging 10.7 patrol hours to make one alcohol-related contact. Finally, the department failed to meet the motorist contact rate objective by making one contact (citation/written warning) for every 70.1 minutes of patrol.

## Table 8: Buffalo Grove LAP Project Summary Data

FY10 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA														
PROJECT NAME: <u>Buffalo Grove PD</u>							FROM: <u>Oct. 1, 2009</u>							
GRANT # <u>AL0-0725-125</u>							TO: <u>Sept. 30, 2010</u>							
TYPE OF CITATION	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL	
1. SPEEDING	67	53	37	36	17	44	26			40	26	26	372	
2. FAIL TO YIELD	1	1	0	1	0	1	0			1	0	1	6	
3. FAIL TO STOP	0	1	1	2	3	1	0			0	0	0	8	
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	1	3	1	4	3	5	1			3	0	0	21	
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	2	1	0	2	3	5	0			1	0	0	14	
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	17	13	4	20	15	9	1			17	12	10	118	
7. DUI	16	13	8	4	9	5	0			10	13	15	93	
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	1	1	0	0	0	0	0			4	4	1	11	
9. SWORN REPORT	1	0	1	0	0	0	0			1	0	0	3	
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	23	23	20	6	8	7	4			20	23	5	139	
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	129	109	72	75	58	77	32			97	78	58	785	
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	2	0	1	0	0	0	0			7	7	4	21	
13. SAFETYBELT VIOLATION	0	3	0	5	4	1	0			1	1	1	16	
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			2	0	0	2	
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	52	39	24	29	23	22	15			63	47	36	350	
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	54	42	25	34	27	23	15			73	55	41	389	
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	183	151	97	109	85	100	47			170	133	99	1174	
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	107	80	50	97	55	88	34			119	93	65	788	
	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL	
TOTAL CITATIONS	183	151	97	109	85	100	47			170	133	99	1174	
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	
TOTAL CONTACTS	107	80	50	97	55	88	34			119	93	65	788	
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	16	13	8	4	9	5	0			10	13	15	93	
TOTAL LAP HOURS	160.0	200.0	160.0	200.0	160.0	160.0	38.0			160.0	160.0	160.0	1558.0	
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	32.0	26.0	16.0	8.0	18.0	10.0	0.0			20.0	26.0	30.0	186.0	
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	128.0	174.0	144.0	192.0	142.0	150.0	38.0			140.0	134.0	130.0	1372.0	
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
BAC AVERAGE	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.18	0.16	0.00			0.15	0.18	0.17	0.1501	
<b>COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA</b>														
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	97	102	199	
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	11	10	21	
FY10 LAP SUMMARY DATA														
<b>Required:</b>														
One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.	<b>OBJECTIVES:</b>													
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.	DUI RATE													14.8 hrs.
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest	ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE													10.7 hrs.
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol	AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME													2.0 hrs.
varies by project	CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)													70.1 mins.
30% or greater for restraint violations	AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS													36.0 hrs.
	OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE													1.5 %
	<b>OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):</b>													
	CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)													104.5 mins.
	AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS													155.8 hrs.
	AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS													117.4
	AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS													0.0
	AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS													78.8
	CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO													1.5
	SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE													31.7 %

## CHARLESTON POLICE DEPARTMENT

### Background

Charleston is located in Coles County and holds a population close to 22,000 residents. Charleston also is home to Eastern Illinois University which has a student population of more than 12,000. The student population comprises more than one-third of the population in the city of Charleston during the school year. County highways 130 and 16 pass through Charleston.

Considering that Eastern Illinois University's student population comprises more than one-third of the total population of the city during the school year, the population of those in their late teens and early twenties is overrepresented. Those who are in their late teens and early twenties tend to exhibit more reckless driving behaviors. Along these lines, in recent year, there has been a growing issue with the total of alcohol-related driving incidents. The overall traffic crashes have risen from 452 in 2006 to 478 in 2008.

To combat the issue of alcohol-related driving, the city of Charleston requested funding for a LAP grant for FY10. These grant funds would be devoted to increasing the public information and education discussing the negative effects of drinking and driving. Also, this grant will allow the Charleston Police Department a chance to put extra patrols on the streets to combat this issue.

The project expenditures for the Charleston LAP project (Project #AL0-1010-249) for the first year of funding totaled \$16,071.31. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$14,471.31.

### Police Services

The Charleston Police Department currently has approximately 33 sworn police officers of which 25 are dedicated to street patrols.

### Project Evaluation

The Charleston project included an enforcement component, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The Charleston Police Department will be required to conduct an average of 18 hours of DUI patrol per week.

Accomplishment: *The Charleston Police Department failed to meet this objective by averaging 11.1 patrol hours per week (the sum of 293 patrol hours and 90 DUI processing hours) during FY10.*

Objective 2: The Charleston Police Department will be required to make one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Chicago Police Department met this objective by making one motorist contact for every 54.1 minutes of patrol (293 patrol hours divided by 325 total citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Charleston Police Department will be required to make one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.

Accomplishment: *The Charleston Police Department met this objective by only averaging one DUI arrest for every 14.8 patrol hours (1,496 patrol hours divided by 101 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Charleston Police Department will be required to make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.

Accomplishment: *The Charleston Police Department just failed to meet this objective by averaging one alcohol-related contact for every 6.5 patrol hours (293 patrol hours divided by 31 DUI arrests, 7 illegal transportation citations, 2 sworn reports, and 5 other alcohol-related citations).*

Objective 5: The Charleston Police Department will be required to maintain a DUI processing time of no more than 2 hours.

Accomplishment: *The Charleston Police Department failed to meet this objective by averaging 2.9 hours to process each DUI arrest (90 DUI processing hours divided by 31 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The Charleston Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2010 2011 to provide extra funding for enhanced enforcement with a focus on alcohol-related violations.

The Charleston Police Department was required to meet five objectives: average 18 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 hours of patrol, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of 2 hours or better. The Charleston Police Department met only two of the five objectives.

The two objectives the Charleston Police Department met were the DUI arrest rate objective and the motorist contact rate objective. The department averaged 9.5 patrol hours for every DUI arrest. The department also met the motorist contact rate objective by issuing one citation for every 54.1 minutes of patrol.

The three objectives the Charleston Police Department failed to meet were the alcohol-related contact rate objective, the average weekly patrol hours objective, and the average DUI processing time objective. The Charleston Police Department only made one alcohol-related contact for every 6.5 patrol hours. Furthermore, the Charleston Police Department failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective by averaging 11.1 patrol hours per week. Finally, the Charleston Police Department failed to meet the DUI processing objective by averaging 2.9 hours to process each DUI arrest.

## Table 9: Charleston LAP Project Summary Data

FY10 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA													
PROJECT NAME: <u>Charleston PD</u>							FROM: <u>Oct. 1, 2009</u>						
GRANT # <u>AL0-1010-249</u>							TO: <u>Sept. 30, 2010</u>						
TYPE OF CITATION	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING		0	0		0	0	2	5	0		0		7
2. FAIL TO YIELD		0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0		0
3. FAIL TO STOP		1	0		0	2	0	0	1		0		4
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL		0	0		2	0	0	1	0		0		3
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE		0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0		0
6. IMPROPER LANE USE		1	3		3	2	3	2	2		0		16
7. DUI		2	4		4	5	4	2	5		5		31
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION		0	1		3	0	0	0	2		1		7
9. SWORN REPORT		0	0		0	1	1	0	0		0		2
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.		0	7		7	2	8	3	11		9		47
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL		4	15		19	12	18	13	21		15		117
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATED CIT.		0	0		0	3	0	0	2		0		5
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION		1	9		8	1	13	15	4		11		62
14. CHILD RESTRAINT		0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0		0
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS		9	9		29	14	19	24	17		20		141
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL		10	18		37	18	32	39	23		31		208
17. TOTAL CITATIONS		14	33		56	30	50	52	44		46		325
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.		0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0		0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS		0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0		0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS		0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0		0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS		21	32		43	36	59	71	66		89		417
	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS		14	33		56	30	50	52	44		46		325
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS		0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0		0
TOTAL CONTACTS		2	32		43	36	59	71	66		89		398
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS		2	4		4	5	4	2	5		5		31
TOTAL LAP HOURS		36.0	36.0		45.3	42.0	50.0	50.0	67.8		56.3		383.3
DUI PROCESSING HOURS		4.0	8.0		11.3	11.0	13.0	4.0	28.8		10.0		90.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS		32.0	28.0		34.0	31.0	37.0	46.0	39.0		46.0		293.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.3		0.3
BAC AVERAGE		0.00	0.17		0.13	0.14	0.12	0.00	0.15		0.14		0.1250
<b>COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA</b>													
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)		0	0		44	39	2	18	1		15		119
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)		0	0		3	5	4	3	1		2		18
FY10 LAP SUMMARY DATA													
<b>Required:</b>													
One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.											DUI RATE	9.5 hrs.	
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.											ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE	6.5 hrs.	
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest											AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME	2.9 hrs.	
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol											CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)	54.1 mins.	
varies by project											AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS	11.1 hrs.	
30% or greater for restraint violations											OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE	19.1 %	
<b>OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):</b>													
										CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)	44.2 mins.		
										AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS	47.9 hrs.		
										AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS	40.6		
										AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS	0.0		
										AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS	49.8		
										CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO	0.8		
										SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE	2.2 %		

## CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT (Strikeforce)

### Background

The city of Chicago, the third largest city in the United States, is the hub of the transportation network in the Midwest. The city's estimated population of 2.9 million is comprised of a multi-ethnic blend of people from almost every part of the world. Chicago is a leader in commercial, cultural and economic attractions throughout the United States. The city hosts an estimated 32 million visitors each year. Chicago is also the home of the world's busiest international airport, O'Hare.

Due to the large population and influx of visitors each year, Chicago has had many traffic-related problems, including alcohol-related driving violations, speeding violations, and occupant protection violations. The fear of fatal traffic crashes caused by impaired or reckless drivers is a concern throughout all the neighborhoods of Chicago. Traditional methods that expect officers to solve this problem through routine patrol efforts are limited.

In conjunction with their present driving enforcement programs, the Chicago Police Department has had a positive impact on bad driving behavior, but the department still needs to increase enforcement to curb alcohol-related driving behaviors.

The Chicago Police Department requested funding for a Strikeforce program for FY10. These patrols will concentrate on locations throughout the city that are identified as areas which have high DUI and alcohol-related driving violations.

The project expenditures for the Chicago LAP project (Project #AL0-1051-228) for the sixteenth year of funding totaled \$537,234.85. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$507,260.41.

### Police Services

The Chicago Police Department currently has approximately 12,000 sworn police officers. The Chicago Police Department has a full time Traffic Section staffed with 215 sworn members and 115 Traffic Control Aides.

### Project Evaluation

The Chicago Strikeforce project included an enforcement component, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The Chicago Police Department will conduct the strikeforce enforcement bi-monthly on Friday nights for a minimum of 6 hours (between 7:00 P.M. and 3:00 A.M.). There will be 13 officers assigned to one-man vehicles for these periods. Thus, the Chicago Police Department will be required to conduct a minimum of 1,872 patrol hours during FY10.

Accomplishment: *The Chicago Police Department met this objective by conducting the 4,296 hours (the sum of 3,368 patrol hours and 928 DUI processing hours) during FY10.*

Objective 2: The Chicago Police Department will be required to make one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Chicago Police Department met this objective by making one motorist contact for every 38.0 minutes of patrol (3,368 patrol hours divided by 5,311 total citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Division of Traffic Safety sets the DUI performance objective as making one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.

Accomplishment: *The Chicago Police Department met this objective by averaging one DUI arrest for every 9.4 patrol hours (3,368 patrol hours divided by 359 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Division of Traffic Safety sets the alcohol-related contact rate objective as making one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.

Accomplishment: *The Chicago Police Department failed to meet this objective by only averaging one alcohol-related contact for every 7.6 patrol hours (3,368 patrol hours divided by the sum of 359 DUI arrests, 73 illegal transportation citations, 8 sworn reports, and 6 other alcohol-related citations.).*

Objective 5: The Chicago Police Department will be required to maintain a DUI processing time of no more than 2 hours.

Accomplishment: *The Chicago Police Department failed to meet this objective by averaging 2.6 hours to process each DUI arrest (928 DUI processing hours divided by 359 DUI arrests).*

#### Project Overview:

The Chicago Police Department was issued grant funding for Strikeforce Patrols for fiscal year 2009 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The Chicago Police Department was required to meet five objectives: conduct 1,872 patrol hours during FY10, maintain a motorist contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 hours of patrol, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of 2 hours or better. The Chicago Police Department met three of the five objectives.

The three objectives the Chicago Police Department met were the weekly patrol hours objective, the motorist contact rate objective, and the DUI arrest rate objective. The department was required to conduct 1,872 patrol hours during FY10 and met this objective by conducting 4,296 hours (the sum of 3,368 patrol hours and 928 DUI processing hours). The department also met the motorist contact rate objective by issuing one citation for every 38.0 minutes of patrol. Finally, the department met the DUI arrest rate objective by making one DUI for every 9.4 patrol hours.

The two objectives the Chicago Police Department failed to meet were the alcohol-related contact rate objective and the average DUI processing time objective. The Chicago Police Department failed to meet the alcohol-related contact rate objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 7.6 patrol hours. The department also failed to meet the DUI processing objective by averaging 2.6 hours to process each DUI arrest.

## Table 10: Chicago Strikeforce Project Summary Data

<b>FY10 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA</b>													
PROJECT NAME: <u>Chicago PD</u>							FROM: <u>Oct. 1, 2009</u>						
GRANT # <u>AL0-1051-228</u>							TO: <u>Sept. 30, 2010</u>						
TYPE OF CITATION	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	46	54	17	28	18	25	18	63	4	25	16	1	315
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
3. FAIL TO STOP	24	12	0	0	4	4	7	12	12	14	30	1	120
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	10	8	8	0	5	17	18	11	3	27	11	0	118
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	9	8	8	0	3	2	6	12	11	16	23	7	105
7. DUI	37	56	25	31	48	22	38	14	11	24	11	42	359
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	0	0	0	8	15	10	6	3	3	6	6	16	73
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	8
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	268	337	114	336	210	177	165	186	67	0	0	0	1860
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	394	475	172	403	304	257	258	301	111	112	97	75	2959
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	6
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	23	20	9	21	19	59	27	14	5	26	18	2	243
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	9	2	1	0	3	11	2	0	1	2	5	1	37
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	338	467	163	105	120	93	86	115	23	216	184	156	2066
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	370	489	173	127	142	165	117	129	29	244	207	160	2352
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	764	964	345	530	446	422	375	430	140	356	304	235	5311
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	553	1303	404	547	601	291	644	453	239	585	425	617	6662
	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	764	964	345	530	446	422	375	430	140	356	304	235	5311
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	553	56	404	547	601	291	644	453	239	585	425	617	5415
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	37	56	25	31	48	22	38	14	11	24	11	42	359
TOTAL LAP HOURS	704.0	992.0	456.0	672.0	784.0	588.0	720.0	560.0	264.0	648.0	408.0	680.0	7476.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	74.0	112.0	260.0	62.0	96.0	44.0	76.0	28.0	22.0	48.0	22.0	84.0	928.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	406.0	440.0	196.0	286.0	336.0	262.0	296.0	272.0	110.0	288.0	188.0	288.0	3368.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	224.0	440.0	0.0	324.0	352.0	282.0	348.0	260.0	132.0	312.0	198.0	308.0	3180.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.14	0.15	0.11	0.16	0.19	0.13	0.16	0.14	0.16	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.1590
<b>COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA</b>													
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>FY10 LAP SUMMARY DATA</b>													
<b>Required:</b>													
One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.	<b>OBJECTIVES:</b>												
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.	DUI RATE												
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest	ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE												
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol	AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME												
varies by project	CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)												
30% or greater for restraint violations	AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS												
	OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE												
	<b>OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES (not required objectives):</b>												
	CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)												
	AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS												
	AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS												
	AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS												
	AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS												
	CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO												
	SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE												

## CHICAGO HEIGHTS POLICE DEPARTMENT

### Background

The city of Chicago Heights is located just south of the city of Chicago within the southern section of Cook County. Its population numbers approximately 32,000 residents. Major roads located in and around the city include Lincoln Highway (U.S. Highway 30) and Interstate 394. Other highly traveled roads include Chicago Road (Route #1) and Halstead Street.

In the past, Chicago Heights has had problems with alcohol-related driving, but has been making strides to curb these behaviors. The city has been able to employ increased enforcement to focus on this issue through the use of state and federal funds. Also, the money from these grants has been used to purchase training, education, and media (such as billboards) which increase awareness of alcohol-related driving behaviors.

The Chicago Heights Police Department requested funding for a LAP grant for FY10. These patrols will concentrate on locations throughout the city that are identified as areas which have high DUI and alcohol-related driving violations, including Lincoln Highway, Chicago Road, and Halstead Street.

The total expenditures for the Chicago Heights LAP project (Project #AL0-1055-081) in the seventh year of funding totaled \$28,222.91. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$25,929.56.

### Police Services

The Chicago Heights Police Department is presently comprised of 78 sworn personnel and 28 civilian personnel. In 2002, the department established a full-time Traffic Unit with one supervisor and two patrolmen.

### Project Evaluation

The Chicago Heights LAP project included an enforcement component, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The Chicago Heights Police Department will be required to conduct an average of 20 hours of DUI patrol per week.

Accomplishment: *The Chicago Heights Police Department failed to meet this objective by only averaging 11.6 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The Chicago Heights Police Department will be required to maintain a contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Chicago Heights Police Department failed to meet this objective by maintaining a motorist contact rate of one for every 61.8 minutes of patrol (495.5 patrol hours divided by 481 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Chicago Heights Police Department will be required to make one DUI arrest for every ten hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Chicago Heights Police Department met this objective by making one DUI arrest for every 8.3 patrol hours (495.5 patrol hours divided by 60 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Chicago Heights Police Department will be required to make one alcohol-related contact for every six hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Chicago Heights Police Department failed to meet this objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 6.8 patrol hours (495.5 patrol hours divided by the sum of 60 DUI arrests, 11 illegal transportation violations, 1 sworn report, and one other alcohol-related citation).*

Objective 5: The Chicago Heights Police Department will maintain a DUI processing rate of no more than two hours.

Accomplishment: *The Chicago Heights Police Department met this objective by maintaining a DUI processing time of 1.8 hours (107 DUI processing hours divided by 60 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The Chicago Heights Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2010 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The Chicago Heights Police Department was required to meet five objectives: conduct 20 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of 2 hours or less. The Chicago Heights Police Department met two of the five objectives.

The department provided a strong effort pursuing DUI offenders by making one DUI arrest for every 8.3 patrol hours. Also, the department met the DUI processing objective by averaging 1.8 hours to process each DUI arrest.

On the other hand, the Chicago Heights Police Department failed to meet the alcohol-related contact rate objective by only making one alcohol-related contact for every 6.8 patrol hours. The department did not meet the weekly patrol hours objective by only conducting an average of 11.6 patrol hours per week. Finally, the department failed to meet the motorist contact rate objective by only issuing one citation for every 61.8 minutes of patrol.

## Table 11: Chicago Heights LAP Projects Summary Data

FY10 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA													
PROJECT NAME: <u>Chicago Heights PD</u>							FROM: <u>Oct. 1, 2009</u>						
GRANT # <u>AL0-1055-081</u>							TO: <u>Sept. 30, 2010</u>						
TYPE OF CITATION	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	13	3	16	10	7	10	21	6	4	4	6	5	105
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. FAIL TO STOP	0	0	0	3	0	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	9
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	4	1	1	4	5	0	3	3	1	2	1	0	25
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	2	0	0	3	3	4	8	3	1	0	2	0	26
7. DUI	5	1	3	7	6	5	15	5	2	7	3	1	60
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	0	0	0	1	1	3	2	0	1	2	1	0	11
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	0	0	2	1	4	7	5	8	4	0	4	2	37
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	24	5	22	29	26	32	56	26	14	15	17	8	274
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	0	0	0	3	0	1	2	2	0	24	1	0	33
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	20
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	12	1	2	20	12	19	36	10	8	29	2	2	153
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	13	1	2	24	12	20	38	12	8	72	3	2	207
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	37	6	24	53	38	52	94	38	22	87	20	10	481
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	36	6	19	49	23	67	69	44	25	20	15	0	373
	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	37	6	24	53	38	52	94	38	22	87	20	10	481
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	36	1	19	49	23	67	69	44	25	20	15	0	368
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	5	1	3	7	6	5	15	5	2	7	3	1	60
TOTAL LAP HOURS	39.0	9.0	22.0	82.0	36.0	61.0	103.0	51.0	33.5	110.0	34.0	22.0	602.5
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	11.0	2.0	5.0	14.0	6.0	8.0	28.0	9.0	5.0	12.0	5.0	2.0	107.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	28.0	7.0	17.0	68.0	30.0	53.0	75.0	42.0	28.5	98.0	29.0	20.0	495.5
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.16	0.09	0.17	0.16	0.18	0.12	0.15	0.12	0.12	0.14	0.14	0.00	0.1446
<b>COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA</b>													
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	96	87	104	87	85	81	80	106	75	94	96	92	1083
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	5	4	3	1	3	3	1	7	4	6	10	4	51
FY10 LAP SUMMARY DATA													
<b>Required:</b>													
One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.											8.3 hrs.		
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.											6.8 hrs.		
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest											1.8 hrs.		
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol											61.8 mins.		
varies by project											11.6 hrs.		
30% or greater for restraint violations											11.0 %		
 <b>OBJECTIVES:</b>													
DUI RATE											8.3 hrs.		
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE											6.8 hrs.		
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME											1.8 hrs.		
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)											61.8 mins.		
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS											11.6 hrs.		
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE											11.0 %		
 <b>OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES (not required objectives):</b>													
CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)											80.8 mins.		
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS											50.2 hrs.		
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS											40.1		
AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS											0.0		
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS											30.7		
CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO											1.3		
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE											21.8 %		

## COOK COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

### Background

Cook County is located in the northeastern section of Illinois. It covers an area of more than 1,000 square miles. Almost 5.3 million people live in Cook County. Chicago is the largest city in Cook County with a population of almost 2.9 million people. Major roads located in and around the county include Interstates 55, 57, 80, 88, 90, 94, 190, 290, 294, and 355. Cook County also has numerous U.S. Highways and Illinois Routes which spread throughout the county.

Driving while intoxicated has been an issue in Cook County for many years. From 2004 through 2007, more than 120 alcohol-related driving fatalities occurred per year. More than one third of the total fatalities were alcohol-related during that time period. Furthermore, of those fatalities that were alcohol-related, more than 75 percent of those fatalities were over the legal limit of .08. To combat this problem, in recent years, the Cook County Sheriff's Office has received funding for enhanced enforcement from the Division of Traffic Safety. In 2008, not only was there a decrease in total fatalities, there was also a significant decrease in the total alcohol-related fatalities. Although major strides have been made to curb this behavior in Cook County, it still is a major issue.

The Cook County Sheriff's Office requested funding for a LAP grant for FY10. These patrols will concentrate on locations throughout the city that are identified as areas which have high DUI and alcohol-related driving violations.

The total expenditures for the Cook County Sheriff's Office project (Project #AL0-0016-088) in the second year of funding totaled \$56,816.15. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$56,643.00.

### Police Services

The Cook County Sheriff's Office recently formed a DUI traffic unit comprised of three uniformed police officers whose job is to enforce traffic laws with a focus on alcohol-related driving.

### Project Evaluation

The Cook County LAP project included an enforcement component, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The Cook County Sheriff's Office will be required to conduct an average of 20 hours of DUI patrol per week.

Accomplishment: *The Cook County Sheriff's Office met this objective by averaging 21.4 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The Cook County Sheriff's Office will be required to maintain a contact rate of one citation issued for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Cook County Sheriff's Office met this objective by maintaining a motorist contact rate of one citation issued for every 35.4 minutes of*

*patrol (657 patrol hours divided by 1,115 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Cook County Sheriff's Office will be required to make one DUI arrest for every ten hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Cook County Sheriff's Office met this objective by making one DUI arrest for every 3.7 patrol hours (657 patrol hours divided by 180 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Cook County Sheriff's Office will be required to make one alcohol-related contact for every six hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Cook County Sheriff's Office met this objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 1.9 patrol hours (657 patrol hours divided by the sum of 180 DUI arrests, 50 illegal transportation violations, 110 sworn reports, and 12 other alcohol related citations).*

Objective 5: The Cook County Sheriff's Office will maintain a DUI processing rate of no more than two hours.

Accomplishment: *The Cook County Sheriff's Office met this objective by maintaining a DUI processing time of 2.0 hours (360 DUI processing hours divided by 180 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The Cook County Sheriff's Office was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2010 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The Cook County Sheriff's Office was required to meet five objectives: conduct 20 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every ten patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every six patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of two hours or less. The Cook County Sheriff's Office all five objectives.

The department met the motorist contact rate objective by making one contact for every 35.4 minutes of patrol. Also, the department provided a strong effort pursuing DUI offenders and other alcohol-related violations. The department averaged one DUI arrest for every 3.7 patrol hours and one alcohol-related contact for every 1.9 patrol hours. Finally, the department met the DUI processing time objective by averaging 2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest. The department also met the weekly patrol hours objective by averaging 21.4 patrol hours per week.

## Table 12: Cook County LAP Projects Summary Data

FY10 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA														
PROJECT NAME: <u>Cook County SO</u>							FROM: <u>Oct. 1, 2009</u>							
GRANT # <u>AL0-0016-088</u>							TO: <u>Sept. 30, 2010</u>							
TYPE OF CITATION	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL	
1. SPEEDING	✗	5	10	10	7	6	8	2	7	1	13	17	86	
2. FAIL TO YIELD	✗	3	3	1	2	1	0	4	1	0	4	0	19	
3. FAIL TO STOP	✗	2	2	6	1	1	1	2	0	1	3	3	22	
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	✗	0	1	3	0	2	1	1	3	0	3	1	15	
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	✗	0	3	3	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	11	
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	✗	1	11	19	11	25	7	5	9	6	12	13	119	
7. DUI	✗	7	21	27	14	22	16	12	15	13	17	16	180	
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	✗	0	4	7	4	6	6	3	3	2	7	8	50	
9. SWORN REPORT	✗	4	15	27	9	11	9	6	9	7	10	3	110	
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	✗	8	14	26	17	23	14	10	11	13	19	11	166	
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	✗	30	84	129	65	98	63	45	58	44	89	73	778	
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	✗	1	2	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	2	1	12	
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	✗	0	1	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	8	20	
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	✗	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	✗	10	27	43	30	36	21	19	25	19	49	26	305	
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	✗	11	30	49	30	38	25	20	25	20	54	35	337	
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	✗	41	114	178	95	136	88	65	83	64	143	108	1115	
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	✗	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	✗	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	✗	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	✗	9	46	unk	40	53	38	38	33	33	73	unk	363	
	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL	
TOTAL CITATIONS	✗	41	114	178	95	136	88	65	83	64	143	108	1115	
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	✗	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL CONTACTS	✗	7	46	unk	40	53	38	38	33	33	73	unk	361	
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	✗	7	21	27	14	22	16	12	15	13	17	16	180	
TOTAL LAP HOURS	✗	43.0	114.0	158.0	64.0	122.0	71.0	51.0	58.0	70.0	127.0	139.0	1017.0	
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	✗	14.0	42.0	54.0	28.0	44.0	32.0	24.0	30.0	26.0	34.0	32.0	360.0	
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	✗	29.0	72.0	104.0	36.0	78.0	39.0	27.0	28.0	44.0	93.0	107.0	657.0	
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	✗	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
BAC AVERAGE	✗	0.18	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.14	0.15	0.17	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.1556	
<b>COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA</b>														
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	✗	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	✗	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
FY10 LAP SUMMARY DATA														
<b>Required:</b>														
One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.											<b>OBJECTIVES:</b>			
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.											DUI RATE			3.7 hrs.
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest											ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE			1.9 hrs.
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol											AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME			2.0 hrs.
varies by project											CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)			35.4 mins.
30% or greater for restraint violations											AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS			21.4 hrs.
										OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE			1.8 %	
<b>OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES (not required objectives):</b>														
										CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)			109.2 mins.	
										AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS			92.5 hrs.	
										AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS			101.4	
										AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS			0.0	
										AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS			32.8	
										CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO			3.1	
										SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE			7.7 %	

## DECATUR POLICE DEPARTMENT

### Background

Decatur is located within Macon County and is home to 76,199 residents. Not only is it the largest city within Macon County, it is also the county seat. State Routes 36 and 20, as well as Interstate 72, run through or around Decatur. Millikin University is located within Decatur. Decatur is also home to the corporate headquarters of Archer Daniels Midland Company, one of the largest agribusinesses in the world.

Decatur has experienced a growing number of DUI incidents in recent years. The number of DUI arrests has increased from 86 in 2005 to 646 in 2008. Due to the many responsibilities of the Decatur Police Department, it has been difficult for the Department to continually address the growing trend of alcohol-related traffic incidents.

As a means to combat the increasing trend of alcohol-related traffic incidents, the Decatur Police Department requested funding for a LAP grant, which would allow them to specifically devote money to one officer specifically trained in DUI enforcement techniques.

The total expenditures for the Decatur LAP project (Project #AL0-1410-137) in its first year of funding totaled \$91,709.30. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$83,372.80.

### Police Services

The Decatur Police Department employs 164 sworn officers and has 27 marked squad cars and one marked transport unit. One hundred and two of the officers are assigned to the Patrol Division. One certified DUI officer will be assigned to the LAP grant for enhanced DUI enforcement

### Project Evaluation

The Decatur LAP project included an enforcement component, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The Decatur Police Department will be required to conduct an average of 24 hours of DUI patrol per week.

Accomplishment: *The Decatur Police Department just failed to meet this objective by only averaging 23.8 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The Decatur Police Department will be required to maintain a contact rate of one citation issued for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Decatur Police Department failed to meet this objective by issuing one citation for every 102.5 minutes of patrol (1,104 patrol hours divided by 646 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Decatur Police Department will be required to make one DUI arrest for every ten hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Decatur Police Department met this objective by making one DUI arrest for every 5.7 patrol hours (1,104 patrol hours divided by 176 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Decatur Police Department will be required to make one alcohol-related contact for every six hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Decatur Police Department met this objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 5.2 patrol hours (1,104 patrol hours divided by the sum of 176 DUI arrests, 3 sworn reports, and 13 other alcohol-related citations).*

Objective 5: The Decatur Police Department will maintain a DUI processing rate of no more than two hours.

Accomplishment: *The Decatur Police Department met this objective by maintaining a DUI processing time of 0.7 hour (131 DUI processing hours divided by 176 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The Decatur Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2010 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The Decatur Police Department was required to meet five objectives: conduct 24 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 hours of patrol, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 hours of patrol, and maintain a DUI processing time of 2 hours or less. The Decatur Police Department met three of the five objectives.

The department met all of the alcohol-related objectives, but failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective and the motorist contact rate objective. The department met the DUI arrest rate objective by making one DUI arrest for every 5.7 patrol hours. Furthermore, the department met the alcohol-related contact rate objective by making one DUI arrest for every 5.2 patrol hours. Finally, the department met the DUI processing time objective by taking only 0.7 hour to process each DUI arrest.

On the other hand, the department failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective by only conducted an average of 23.8 patrol hours per week. Also, the department failed to meet the motorist contact rate objective by only issuing one citation for every 102.5 minutes of patrol.

## Table 13: Decatur LAP Projects Summary Data

### FY10 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Decatur  
GRANT # AL0-1410-137

FROM: Oct. 1, 2009  
TO: Sept. 30, 2010

TYPE OF CITATION	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	2	1	4	10	4	8	5	2	5	3	2	11	57
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. FAIL TO STOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	2	4	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	2	0	4	17
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	8	5	8	10	5	7	5	4	4	4	0	1	61
7. DUI	21	27	18	20	13	13	20	7	4	12	14	7	176
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	3
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	5	22	5	16	10	7	10	2	8	7	12	14	118
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	38	60	37	57	33	36	41	15	22	28	28	38	433
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	1	0	2	0	1	3	1	0	2	2	1	13
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	1	2	1	6	1	2	2	1	0	1	0	1	18
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	36	10	8	35	13	5	15	12	14	7	20	7	182
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	37	13	9	43	14	8	20	14	14	10	22	9	213
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	75	73	46	100	47	44	61	29	36	38	50	47	646
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	57	65	33	59	32	45	39	32	53	37	51	52	555

	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	75	73	46	100	47	44	61	29	36	38	50	47	646
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	57	27	33	59	32	45	39	32	53	37	51	52	517
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	21	27	18	20	32	13	20	7	4	12	14	7	195
TOTAL LAP HOURS	161.0	178.0	104.0	171.0	142.0	136.0	128.0	104.0	152.0	136.0	184.0	136.0	1732.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	24.0	19.0	11.0	16.0	9.0	9.0	12.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	10.0	5.0	131.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	93.0	123.0	54.0	137.0	82.0	89.0	84.0	57.0	52.0	81.0	142.0	110.0	1104.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	44.0	36.0	39.0	28.0	51.0	38.0	32.0	41.0	96.0	49.0	32.0	21.0	507.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.12	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.16	0.13	0.10	0.14	0.16	0.28	0.10	0.1608
<b>COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA</b>													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	254	223	233	298	218	178	202	225	246	211	223	213	2724
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	51	31	33	49	45	36	37	62	46	30	43	50	513

### FY10 LAP SUMMARY DATA

**Required:**

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.  
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.  
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest  
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol  
varies by project  
30% or greater for restraint violations

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE 5.7 hrs.  
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 5.2 hrs.  
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 0.7 hrs.  
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS) 102.5 mins.  
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 23.8 hrs.  
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 2.8 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS) 128.1 mins.  
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS 102.9 hrs.  
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS 53.8  
AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS 0.0  
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS 43.1  
CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO 1.2  
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE 8.8 %

## EAST PEORIA POLICE DEPARTMENT

### Background

East Peoria is located in Tazewell County and is home to more than 23,000 residents. Located just across the Illinois River from downtown Peoria, East Peoria has many businesses including Caterpillar facilities, a riverboat casino, Illinois Central College, and a community college. Major roadways through and around East Peoria include Interstate 74 and U.S. Route 150.

Due to the large amount of nighttime entertainment amenities in and around East Peoria, there is a growing issue with the number of alcohol-related driving incidents. Since the East Peoria Police Department does not have a dedicated traffic enforcement unit, it has been difficult for the department to deal with the growing issue of alcohol-related driving incidents. This lack of dedicated traffic enforcement has allowed the problem to not only subsist, but gradually worsen.

As a means to combat this issue, the East Peoria Police Department has requested funding for enhanced DUI enforcement through the LAP program. This program will provide the East Peoria Police Department the necessary resources to tackle the issue of alcohol-related driving behaviors. Also, through this grant, the East Peoria Police Department will be able to provide more public information and education on the dangers of alcohol-related driving to local businesses.

The total expenditures for the East Peoria LAP project (Project #AL0-1650-155) in its first year of funding totaled \$87,849.82. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$78,243.32.

### Police Services

The East Peoria Police Department employs 42 sworn officers. There are 34 squad cars provided to officers living within the city limits and 5 pool cars for backup or general department use.

### Project Evaluation

The East Peoria LAP project included an enforcement component, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The East Peoria Police Department will be required to conduct an average of 35 hours of DUI patrol per week.

Accomplishment: *The East Peoria Police Department just failed to meet this objective by averaging 33.8 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The East Peoria Police Department will be required to maintain a contact rate of one citation issued for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The East Peoria Police Department met this objective by maintaining a motorist contact rate of one citation issued for every 52.7 minutes of patrol (1,367 patrol hours divided by 1,557 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The East Peoria Police Department will be required to make one DUI arrest for every ten hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The East Peoria Police Department met this objective by making one DUI arrest for every 7.1 patrol hours (1,367 patrol hours divided by 192 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The East Peoria Police Department will be required to make one alcohol-related contact for every six hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Elgin Police Department met this objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 5.0 patrol hours (1,367 patrol hours divided by the sum of 192 DUI arrests, 29 illegal transportation violations, 1 sworn reports, and 50 other alcohol-related citations).*

Objective 5: The East Peoria Police Department will maintain a DUI processing rate of no more than two hours.

Accomplishment: *The East Peoria Police Department met this objective by maintaining a DUI processing time of 2.0 hours (391 DUI processing hours divided by 192 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The East Peoria Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2010 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The East Peoria Police Department was required to meet five objectives: conduct 35 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 hours of patrol, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 hours of patrol, and maintain a DUI processing time of 2 hours or less. The East Peoria Police Department met four of the five objectives.

The department met the motorist contact rate objective by issuing one citation for every 52.7 minutes of patrol. Also, the department provided a strong effort pursuing DUI offenders and other alcohol-related violations. The department averaged one DUI arrest for every 7.1 patrol hours and one alcohol-related contact for every 5.0 patrol hours. Also, the department met the DUI processing time objective by averaging 2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest.

The department just failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective by averaging 33.5 patrol hours per week.

## Table 14: East Peoria LAP Projects Summary Data

### FY10 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: East Peoria  
GRANT # AL0-1650-155

FROM: Oct. 1, 2009  
TO: Sept. 30, 2010

TYPE OF CITATION	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	18	40	45	45	22	24	24	19	15	9	8	4	273
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	2	8	0	0	17
3. FAIL TO STOP	0	0	1	2	1	0	1	1	2	1	2	7	18
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	0	2	0	8	2	2	5	3	5	3	0	3	33
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	4
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	1	6	2	2	3	4	3	9	15	30	15	15	105
7. DUI	5	17	11	12	12	11	23	20	22	22	25	12	192
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	1	2	4	4	1	3	0	6	2	3	3	0	29
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	11	14	8	13	15	18	21	27	38	82	45	23	315
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	36	81	71	86	58	63	81	88	102	158	98	65	987
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	0	1	3	7	5	3	8	8	3	7	5	50
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	8
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	4
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	4	36	27	29	48	42	68	56	20	79	59	40	508
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	4	39	28	32	55	47	71	64	30	86	67	47	570
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	40	120	99	118	113	110	152	152	132	244	165	112	1557
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	49	102	80	108	70	94	97	83	54	68	46	32	883

	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	40	120	99	118	113	110	152	152	132	244	165	112	1557
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	49	102	80	108	70	94	97	83	54	68	46	32	883
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	5	17	11	12	12	11	23	20	22	22	25	12	192
TOTAL LAP HOURS	72.0	165.0	144.0	168.0	149.0	168.0	148.0	168.0	136.0	176.0	152.0	112.0	1758.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	10.0	39.0	22.0	24.0	26.0	22.0	46.0	40.0	44.0	44.0	50.0	24.0	391.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	62.0	126.0	122.0	144.0	123.0	146.0	102.0	128.0	92.0	132.0	102.0	88.0	1367.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.18	0.19	0.17	0.16	0.11	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.16	0.15	0.1459
<b>COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA</b>													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	81	90	95	87	62	69	65	84	82	66	56	64	901
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	11	16	8	8	7	10	10	14	21	12	14	16	147

### FY10 LAP SUMMARY DATA

**Required:**

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.  
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.  
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest  
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol  
varies by project  
30% or greater for restraint violations

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE 7.1 hrs.  
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 5.0 hrs.  
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 2.0 hrs.  
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS) 52.7 mins.  
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 33.8 hrs.  
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 0.8 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS) 102.8 mins.  
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS 146.5 hrs.  
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS 129.8  
AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS 0.0  
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS 66.5  
CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO 2.0  
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE 17.5 %

## ELGIN POLICE DEPARTMENT

### Background

The city of Elgin is the eighth largest city in Illinois with a population of 106,000. It is partially located in Cook County, the largest county in the state, and Kane County, the fifth largest county in the state. State Routes 31, 25 and 20, and Interstate 90 run through the city of Elgin. Being located in the northwest suburbs of Chicago, the city is host to several large-scale special events throughout the year.

Since 1995, the city of Elgin has been experiencing a growth in population, as well as an expansion of the city limits. With a large influx of people traveling in and out of the area, the roadways are very congested. This leads to a larger number of traffic violations and traffic crashes.

The city of Elgin has also had problems with alcohol-related violations and crashes. In the last eight years, twenty of the fifty-three traffic fatalities were alcohol-related. As recently as 2007/2008, the department made 207 DUI arrests. The city of Elgin is trying to combat these problems through increased enforcement and educational campaigns focusing on traffic safety.

The total expenditures for the Elgin LAP project (Project #AL0-1720-102) in its fifth year of funding totaled \$92,672.72. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$83,392.67.

### Police Services

The Elgin Police Department employs 189 sworn officers and has 68 marked squad cars. Four of these officers are assigned to the Traffic Investigations Unit. Each of the four officers has been certified in the use and calibration of radar/laser detectors, installation and use of child safety seats, teaching seat belt courses and public speaking on drinking and driving.

### Project Evaluation

The Elgin LAP project included an enforcement component, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The Elgin Police Department will be required to conduct an average of 30 hours of DUI patrol per week.

Accomplishment: *The Elgin Police Department failed to meet this objective by only averaging 23.7 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The Elgin Police Department will be required to maintain a contact rate of one citation issued for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Elgin Police Department met this objective by maintaining a motorist contact rate of one citation issued for every 24.3 minutes of patrol (707 patrol hours divided by 1,747 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Elgin Police Department will be required to make one DUI arrest for every ten hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Elgin Police Department met this objective by making one DUI arrest for every 3.0 patrol hours (707 patrol hours divided by 233 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Elgin Police Department will be required to make one alcohol-related contact for every six hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Elgin Police Department met this objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 2.3 patrol hours (707 patrol hours divided by the sum of 233 DUI arrests, 49 illegal transportation violations, 16 sworn reports, and 6 other alcohol-related citations).*

Objective 5: The Elgin Police Department will maintain a DUI processing rate of no more than two hours.

Accomplishment: *The Elgin Police Department met this objective by maintaining a DUI processing time of 1.8 hours (422 DUI processing hours divided by 233 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The Elgin Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2010 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The Elgin Police Department was required to meet five objectives: conduct 35 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 hours of patrol, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 hours of patrol, and maintain a DUI processing time of 2 hours or less. The Elgin Police Department met four of the five objectives.

The department met the motorist contact rate objective by issuing one citation for every 24.3 minutes of patrol. Also, the department provided a strong effort pursuing DUI offenders and other alcohol-related violations. The department averaged one DUI arrest for every 3.0 patrol hours and one alcohol-related contact for every 2.3 patrol hours. Also, the department maintained a low DUI processing time by averaging 1.8 hours to process each DUI arrest.

The department failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective by only averaging 23.7 patrol hours per week.

## Table 15: Elgin LAP Projects Summary Data

### FY10 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Elgin PD  
GRANT # AL0-1720-102

FROM: Oct. 1, 2009  
TO: Sept. 30, 2010

TYPE OF CITATION	2009	2009	2009	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	ANNUAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP		TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	25	37	28	34	25	36	24	X	23	42	45	38		357
2. FAIL TO YIELD	2	1	0	11	3	2	0	X	1	1	0	0		21
3. FAIL TO STOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	X	0	0	0	0		0
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	14	10	7	13	10	14	4	X	6	19	17	13		127
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	X	1	2	3	0		8
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	19	14	13	21	14	19	19	X	18	27	23	9		196
7. DUI	30	12	11	10	14	30	23	X	20	32	34	17		233
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	5	4	3	5	3	5	6	X	4	6	7	1		49
9. SWORN REPORT	1	2	1	3	1	0	1	X	2	2	3	0		16
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	17	8	11	18	8	8	16	X	11	12	11	7		127
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	114	88	74	115	78	115	93	X	86	143	143	85		1134
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	X	1	0	1	0		6
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	12	11	9	11	9	11	9	X	7	15	10	9		113
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	1	1	0	0	2	0	1	X	3	8	1	0		17
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	48	46	23	56	54	36	29	X	28	57	57	43		477
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	65	58	32	67	65	47	39	X	39	80	69	52		613
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	179	146	106	182	143	162	132	X	125	223	212	137		1747
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	X	0	0	0	0		0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	X	0	0	0	0		0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	X	0	0	0	0		0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	547	302	210	210	195	197	217	X	unk	310	132	195		2515

	2009	2009	2009	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	ANNUAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP		TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	179	146	106	182	143	162	132	X	125	223	212	137		1747
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	X	0	0	0	0		0
TOTAL CONTACTS	547	302	210	210	195	197	217	X	unk	310	132	195		2515
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	30	12	11	10	14	30	23	X	20	32	34	17		233
TOTAL LAP HOURS	120.0	80.0	80.0	105.0	89.0	85.0	85.0	X	85.0	178.0	147.0	93.0		1147.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	46.0	24.0	24.0	22.0	28.0	36.0	46.0	X	46.0	62.0	64.0	24.0		422.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	74.0	56.0	56.0	83.0	61.0	49.0	39.0	X	39.0	116.0	83.0	51.0		707.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	X	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.0		18.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.20	0.12	0.15	0.19	0.15	0.16	0.17	X	0.17	0.18	0.19	0.14		0.1699
<b>COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA</b>														0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	X	0	0	0	0		0
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	X	0	0	0	0		0

### FY10 LAP SUMMARY DATA

**Required:**

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.  
 One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.  
 Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest  
 One contact every 60 minutes of patrol  
 varies by project  
 30% or greater for restraint violations

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE 3.0 hrs.  
 ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 2.3 hrs.  
 AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 1.8 hrs.  
 CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS) 24.3 mins.  
 AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 23.7 hrs.  
 OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 7.4 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS) 16.9 mins.  
 AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS 102.6 hrs.  
 AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS 158.8  
 AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS 0.0  
 AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS 228.6  
 CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO 0.7  
 SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE 20.4 %

## MACON COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

### Background

Macon County is located in central Illinois just east of the state capital, Springfield. Macon County encompasses 650 square miles and houses a population of more than 110,000. The largest city in Macon County is Decatur with a population of more than 85,000.

Macon County has had problems with alcohol-related violations and crashes. In 2007, the Macon County Sheriff's Office issued 220 DUI citations and 89 citations for illegal transportation of alcohol. To complicate this issue, there are 226 establishments throughout Macon County which have liquor licenses. The Macon County Sheriff's Office is currently only able to fund four deputies for full-time traffic enforcement. Due to the limited number of deputies that are dedicated to traffic enforcement and the amount of establishments with liquor licenses spread out across the county, it makes it very difficult for these deputies to pursue alcohol-related driving citations.

To work on alleviating the issue of alcohol-related driving in Macon County, the Macon County Sheriff's Office requested funding for a local alcohol project (LAP) grant so they would be able to devote more time and effort to deterring alcohol-related driving behaviors.

The Macon County Sheriff's Office was awarded a LAP grant. This grant will allow the department to expend more resources to deal with the high prevalence of alcohol-related driving within the county.

The total expenditures for the Macon County LAP project (Project #AL0-0058-257) in its third year of funding totaled \$79,582.00. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$70,207.

### Police Services

The Macon County Sheriff's Office employs 50 deputies and 17 marked vehicles. Four of these officers are assigned to the traffic patrol unit. The patrol squads are equipped with in-car video cameras and PBT's. Along with these four officers, the LAP grant will allow the Macon County Sheriff's Office to assign a full-time experienced officer in the enforcement of alcohol traffic laws. Furthermore, it will increase the total departmental uniformed patrols to complement the traffic patrol unit.

### Project Evaluation

The Macon County LAP project included an enforcement component, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The Macon County Sheriff's Office will be required to conduct an average of 35 hours of DUI patrol per week.

Accomplishment: *The Macon County Sheriff's Office met this objective by averaging 40.1 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The Macon County Sheriff's Office will be required to maintain a contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Macon County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by maintaining a motorist contact rate of one for every 91.2 minutes of patrol (1,760 patrol hours divided by 1,158 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Macon County Sheriff's Office will be required to make one DUI arrest for every ten hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Macon County Sheriff's Office just failed to meet this objective by making only one DUI arrest for every 10.7 patrol hours (1,760 patrol hours divided by 165 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Macon County Sheriff's Office will be required to make one alcohol-related contact for every six hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Macon County Sheriff's Office just failed to meet this objective by making only one alcohol-related contact for every 6.2 patrol hours (1,760 patrol hours divided by the sum of 165 DUI arrests, 50 illegal transportation violations, 4 sworn reports, and 64 other alcohol-related citations).*

Objective 5: The Macon County Sheriff's Office will maintain a DUI processing rate of no more than two hours.

Accomplishment: *The Macon County Sheriff's Office met this objective by maintaining a DUI processing time of 2.0 hours (326 DUI processing hours divided by 165 DUI arrests).*

#### Project Overview:

The Macon County Sheriff's Office was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2010 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The Macon County Sheriff's Office was required to meet five objectives: conduct 35 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of one citation issued for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 hours of patrol, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 hours of patrol, and maintain a DUI processing time of no more than two hours. The Macon County Sheriff's Office met two of the five objectives.

The Macon County Sheriff's Office met the weekly patrol hours objective by averaging 40.1 patrol hours per week. Also, the sheriff's office met the average DUI processing time objective by averaging 2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest.

On the other hand, the sheriff's office failed to meet the DUI arrest rate objective, the alcohol-related contact rate objective, and the motorist contact rate objective. While the sheriff's office failed to meet the DUI arrest rate and the alcohol-related contact rate objectives, the sheriff's office did come close to meeting those objectives by averaging one DUI arrest for every 10.7 patrol hours and one alcohol-related contact for every 6.2 patrol hours. Finally, the sheriff's office failed to meet the motorist contact rate objective by only issuing one citation for every 91.2 patrol hours.

## Table 16: Macon County LAP Projects Summary Data

FY10 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA													
PROJECT NAME: <u>Macon County</u>							FROM: <u>Oct. 1, 2009</u>						
GRANT # <u>AL0-0058-257</u>							TO: <u>Sept. 30, 2010</u>						
TYPE OF CITATION	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	3	4	4	5	4	4	6	7	2	5	2	3	49
2. FAIL TO YIELD	1	1	1	3	0	2	3	0	2	0	1	0	14
3. FAIL TO STOP	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	2	0	1	9
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	3	1	3	1	2	0	2	0	1	2	0	6	21
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	3	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	7
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	7	6	5	7	8	6	7	5	8	4	4	12	79
7. DUI	19	12	3	13	10	10	19	15	14	19	16	15	165
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	4	1	0	4	1	11	3	3	9	5	5	4	50
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	4
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	1	5	2	5	10	8	9	5	9	4	15	21	94
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	41	32	18	39	36	42	51	39	48	41	43	62	492
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	10	5	2	5	2	13	8	9	2	0	6	2	64
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	3	6	4	0	7	12	7	44	4	0	3	6	96
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	0	1	0	3	4	2	6	4	2	0	0	22
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	39	26	19	35	34	71	48	53	42	29	45	43	484
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	52	37	26	40	46	100	65	112	52	31	54	51	666
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	93	69	44	79	82	142	116	151	100	72	97	113	1158
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	45	71	33	46	45	88	79	63	116	89	93	109	877
	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	93	69	44	79	82	142	116	151	100	72	97	113	1158
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	45	71	33	46	45	88	79	63	116	89	93	109	877
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	19	12	3	13	10	10	19	15	14	19	16	15	165
TOTAL LAP HOURS	190.0	150.0	184.0	120.0	120.0	168.0	225.0	189.0	208.0	192.0	211.0	161.0	2118.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	40.0	30.0	6.0	26.0	18.0	38.0	50.0	34.0	20.0	18.0	31.0	15.0	326.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	134.0	120.0	178.0	94.0	102.0	130.0	175.0	155.0	188.0	158.0	180.0	146.0	1760.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	32.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.16	0.12	0.14	0.21	0.17	0.16	0.13	0.15	0.21	0.13	0.16	0.18	0.1592
<b>COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA</b>													
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	212	219	328	368	283	123	187	249	209	202	204	196	2780
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	44	51	54	55	53	37	51	55	59	42	57	60	618

### FY10 LAP SUMMARY DATA

**Required:**

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.  
 One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.  
 Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest  
 One contact every 60 minutes of patrol  
 varies by project  
 30% or greater for restraint violations

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE 10.7 hrs.  
 ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 6.2 hrs.  
 AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 2.0 hrs.  
 CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS) 91.2 mins.  
 AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 40.1 hrs.  
 OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 10.2 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS) 129.1 mins.  
 AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS 173.8 hrs.  
 AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS 96.5  
 AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS 0.0  
 AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS 68.2  
 CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO 1.4  
 SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE 4.2 %

## SANGAMON COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

### Background

Sangamon County is situated in central Illinois encompassing 879 square miles and has a population of approximately 190,000. The largest municipality in Sangamon County is the City of Springfield having a population of 111,000. Springfield is the state capital as well as the county seat. Sangamon County includes twenty-three other towns/villages within its boundaries.

Driving while impaired (either under the influence of alcohol or drugs) has been a problem in Sangamon County. Springfield and Sangamon County host several events that increase the traffic flow tremendously. During these events, additional problems with drinking and driving occur. To highlight this issue, during FY08, the Sangamon County Sheriff's Office handled 12 DUI related fatalities, 23 alcohol-related personal injury crashes, and 16 alcohol-related property crashes. To combat this problem the Sangamon County Sheriff's Office requested funding for a LAP grant for FY10.

The total expenditures for the Sangamon County LAP project (Project #AL0-0084-219) in the fourteenth year of funding totaled \$87,757.82. Personnel costs for this grant totaled \$78,100.52.

### Police Services

The Sangamon County Sheriff's Department has a total of 74 deputies. Fifty-four deputies are assigned to the Patrol Division with general police responsibilities.

### Project Evaluation

The Sangamon County LAP included enforcement components, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: Conduct approximately 2,070 hours of which 80% or 1,650 hours will be actual patrol by sheriff deputies for enhanced DUI, safety belt, and child passenger restraint enforcement.

Accomplishment: *The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by only conducting 1,069.5 patrol hours (853.3 actual patrol hours plus 216.3 DUI processing hours).*

Objective 2: The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office will be required to make a minimum of one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by making one contact for every 86.3 minutes of patrol (853.3 actual patrol hours divided by 678 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office will be required to make one DUI arrest for every ten hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office met this objective by making one DUI arrest for every 8.5 patrol hours (853.3 patrol hours divided by 100 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office will be required to make one alcohol-related contact for every six hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 6.6 patrol hours (853.3 patrol hours divided by the sum of 100 total DUI citations, 14 illegal transportation violations, 6 sworn reports, and 10 other alcohol-related citations).*

Objective 5: The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office shall be required to average a DUI processing time of no more than two hours for each DUI arrest.

Accomplishment: *The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by averaging 2.2 hours to process each DUI arrest (216.3 DUI processing hours divided by 100 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2010 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The sheriff's office was required to meet five objectives: conduct 1,650 patrol hours, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of no more than two hours. The sheriff's office met one of the five objectives.

The sheriff's office met the DUI arrest rate objective by averaging one DUI arrest for every 8.5 patrol hours.

The sheriff's office failed to meet the patrol hours objective by only conducting 1,069.5 patrol hours of the required 1,650 patrol hours. The sheriff's office failed to meet the alcohol-related contact rate objective by only making one alcohol-related contact for every 6.6 patrol hours. Also, the sheriff's office failed to meet the DUI processing time objective by averaging 2.2 hours to process each DUI arrest. The sheriff's office failed to meet the motorist contact rate objective by making one contact (citation/written warning) for every 86.3 minutes of patrol.

# Table 17: Sangamon County LAP Project Summary Data

## FY10 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Sangamon County SO FROM: Oct. 1, 2009  
 GRANT # AL0-0084-219 TO: Sept. 30, 2010

TYPE OF CITATION	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	20	12	10	10	10	15	13	11	10	11	6	10	138
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. FAIL TO STOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	6	6	0	4	3	1	2	4	11	1	0	1	39
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	4	4	2	1	3	6	4	5	4	6	4	2	45
7. DUI	7	10	6	8	8	7	10	6	11	8	10	9	100
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	0	1	1	4	0	0	4	0	1	0	3	0	14
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	1	0	1	6
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	6	4	3	6	3	4	4	4	5	7	9	4	59
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	43	37	22	33	28	33	37	31	44	34	32	27	401
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	3	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	10
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	5
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	8	17	8	12	11	11	15	13	14	22	28	17	176
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	11	19	8	13	13	11	15	13	17	23	29	20	192
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	54	56	30	46	41	44	52	44	61	57	61	47	593
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	49	49	26	54	38	50	37	39	38	61	51	39	531

	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	54	56	30	46	41	44	52	44	61	57	61	47	593
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	49	49	26	54	38	50	37	39	38	61	51	39	531
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	7	10	6	8	8	7	10	6	11	8	10	9	100
TOTAL LAP HOURS	156.0	144.0	120.0	181.0	116.5	131.5	138.3	139.5	164.0	164.0	144.0	131.3	1730.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	14.0	21.0	12.0	21.5	23.5	14.3	18.3	15.0	24.3	18.0	19.3	15.3	216.3
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	84.0	65.0	66.0	95.5	54.8	65.0	71.5	75.8	71.3	86.0	63.5	55.0	853.3
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	58.0	58.0	42.0	64.0	38.3	52.3	48.5	48.8	68.5	60.0	61.3	61.0	660.5
BAC AVERAGE	0.10	0.17	0.14	0.19	0.18	0.17	0.13	0.22	0.16	0.18	0.15	0.21	0.1658
<b>COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA</b>													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	70	89	94	86	76	41	49	55	53	62	54	52	781
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	14	11	19	11	10	8	14	19	17	12	13	14	162

### FY10 LAP SUMMARY DATA

**Required:**

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.  
 One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.  
 Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest  
 One contact every 60 minutes of patrol  
 varies by project  
 30% or greater for restraint violations

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE 8.5 hrs.  
 ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 6.6 hrs.  
 AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 2.2 hrs.  
 CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS) 86.3 mins.  
 AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 20.6 hrs.  
 OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 1.0 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS) 104.1 mins.  
 AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS 89.1 hrs.  
 AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS 49.4  
 AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS 0.0  
 AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS 41.0  
 CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO 1.2  
 SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE 23.3 %

## SKOKIE POLICE DEPARTMENT

### Background

The village of Skokie is a suburb located 16 miles northwest of downtown Chicago and covers approximately 10.5 square miles of land. Based on 2000 census information, the population of Skokie is 63,348. Also, Skokie has approximately 136.2 miles of non-arterial and 42.6 miles of arterial streets including Interstate 94 (I-94).

Within recent years, the Skokie Police Department noticed that its general patrol division staff was inadequate to deal with the increasing occurrence of negative driving behaviors, including DUI and alcohol-related driving, and traffic crashes within Skokie. In the years 2006 through 2008, the village of Skokie experienced 9,008 traffic crashes. Of those crashes, eight resulted in fatalities and 946 resulted in some injury. To deal with the traffic situation, the Skokie Police Department created a Traffic Unit. This unit devotes its time and efforts on traffic crash investigation and prevention.

With the impact the Traffic Unit has had on negative driving behaviors within Skokie, the Skokie Police Department requested funding in the form of a local alcohol project (LAP) grant to provide more resources to combat the prevalence of alcohol-related driving behaviors within the village.

The Skokie Police Department has been awarded a LAP grant for FY10. This grant will allow the department to expend more resources to deal with DUI and alcohol-related driving within the village of Skokie.

The total expenditures for the Skokie LAP project (Project #AL0-5365-020) in the fourth year of funding totaled \$131,450.55. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$122,263.50.

### Police Services

The Skokie Police Department has 113 sworn officers with 52 officers assigned to patrol duties including DUI enforcement. The Skokie Police Department has a fleet of 20 marked police vehicles.

### Project Evaluation

The Skokie LAP project included an enforcement component. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The Skokie Police Department will schedule and conduct 32 to 40 hours of hire-back patrols, per week, concentrating on DUI enforcement mobilizations.

Accomplishment: *The Skokie Police Department met the weekly patrol hours objective by averaging 35.0 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The Skokie Police Department will be required to make one contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Skokie Police Department met this objective by making one contact for every 36.0 minutes of patrol (1,621.3 patrol hours divided by 2,702 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Skokie Police Department will be required to make one DUI arrest for every ten hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Skokie Police Department failed to meet this objective by making one DUI arrest for every 15.6 patrol hours (1,621.3 patrol hours divided by 104 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Skokie Police Department will be required to make one alcohol-related contact for every six hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Skokie Police Department failed to meet this objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 7.6 patrol hours (1,621.3 patrol hours divided by the sum of 104 DUI arrests, 28 illegal transportation citations, 8 sworn reports, and 73 other alcohol-related citations).*

Objective 5: The Skokie Police Department shall be required to average a DUI processing time of no more than two hours for each DUI arrest.

Accomplishment: *The Skokie Police Department met this objective by averaging 1.9 hours to process each DUI arrest (198.0 DUI processing hours divided by 104 DUI arrests).*

#### Project Overview:

The Skokie Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2010 to provide extra funding to focus on specific violations, namely DUI and alcohol-related violations.

The Skokie Police Department was required to meet five objectives: conduct more than 32 patrol hours per week, make one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol, make one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of less than two hours. The Skokie Police Department met three of the five objectives during fiscal year 2010.

The department met the weekly patrol hours objective, the motorist contact rate objective, and the DUI processing time objective. The Skokie Police Department conducted on average 35.0 patrol hours per week. The department also made one motorist contact for every 36.0 minutes of patrol. Finally, the department averaged 1.9 hours to process each DUI arrest.

On the other hand, the department failed to meet the alcohol-related objectives. The department only made one DUI arrest for every 15.6 patrol hours and only made one alcohol-related contact for every 7.6 patrol hours.

## Table 18: Skokie LAP Project Summary Data

FY10 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA														
PROJECT NAME: <u>Skokie PD</u>							FROM: <u>Oct. 1, 2009</u>							
GRANT # <u>AL0-5365-020</u>							TO: <u>Sept. 30, 2010</u>							
TYPE OF CITATION	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL	
1. SPEEDING	76	41	35	65	59	47	38	54	19	52	76	48	610	
2. FAIL TO YIELD	2	2	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	8	
3. FAIL TO STOP	5	7	3	5	2	2	5	2	1	0	4	1	37	
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	16	16	14	13	23	13	5	17	4	4	17	10	152	
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	30	2	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	36	
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	59	5	25	37	44	34	30	46	23	26	64	38	431	
7. DUI	5	10	7	13	8	11	5	5	8	5	17	10	104	
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	5	5	0	1	3	1	1	2	2	1	3	4	28	
9. SWORN REPORT	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	8	
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	44	35	33	30	37	45	34	41	27	23	46	34	429	
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	214	151	122	167	176	154	119	168	85	113	229	145	1843	
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	8	5	11	8	15	9	4	5	1	1	5	1	73	
13. SAFETYBELT VIOLATION	13	6	9	13	11	17	10	17	14	6	23	5	144	
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	2	1	0	0	2	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	10	
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	76	44	56	60	63	88	26	29	43	39	39	69	632	
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	99	56	76	81	91	114	41	53	58	48	67	75	859	
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	313	207	198	248	267	268	160	221	143	161	296	220	2702	
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	242	151	127	184	190	212	135	167	116	139	240	180	2083	
	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL	
TOTAL CITATIONS	313	207	198	248	267	268	160	221	143	161	296	220	2702	
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL CONTACTS	242	151	127	184	190	212	135	167	116	139	240	180	2083	
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	5	10	7	13	8	11	5	5	8	5	17	10	104	
TOTAL LAP HOURS	207.3	165.5	190.8	211.3	199.8	215.8	159.5	144.5	101.5	163.5	264.5	190.5	2214.3	
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	10.0	20.0	14.0	26.0	16.0	22.0	10.0	10.0	16.0	10.0	24.0	20.0	198.0	
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	158.8	114.5	127.8	151.3	127.3	146.8	127.5	112.5	63.5	137.5	204.5	149.5	1621.3	
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	38.5	31.0	49.0	34.0	56.5	47.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	16.0	36.0	21.0	395.0	
BAC AVERAGE	0.15	0.15	0.12	0.14	0.16	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.15	0.14	0.18	0.1423	
<b>COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA</b>														
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	176	143	197	141	167	168	134	149	188	170	180	144	1957	
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	21	23	24	14	23	23	28	27	28	27	26	29	293	
FY10 LAP SUMMARY DATA														
<b>Required:</b>														
One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.	<b>OBJECTIVES:</b>												DUI RATE	15.6 hrs.
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.	ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE													7.6 hrs.
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest	AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME													1.9 hrs.
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol	CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)													36.0 mins.
varies by project	AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS													35.0 hrs.
30% or greater for restraint violations	OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE													5.7 %
<b>OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES (not required objectives):</b>														
	CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)													50.1 mins.
	AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS													151.6 hrs.
	AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS													225.2
	AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS													0.0
	AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS													161.8
	CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO													1.4
	SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE													22.6 %

## SPRINGFIELD POLICE DEPARTMENT

### Background

The city of Springfield is centrally located within Sangamon County and has a population of approximately 117,000 residents. Springfield is the state capital as well as the county seat. Major roads in and around Springfield include Interstates 55 and 72 and State Routes 97 and 4. Other major roads in Springfield include Dirksen Parkway, Wabash Avenue, Stevenson Drive, and Sangamon Avenue. Many of the major attractions in Springfield include sites dedicated to Abraham Lincoln. The city also hosts many events throughout the year which bring an influx of visitors.

The city has had problems with alcohol-related driving behaviors in the past. Recently, Springfield was ranked 7<sup>th</sup> overall on the Division of Traffic Safety's over-representation list of alcohol-related crashes. From 1999 to 2001, the yearly average of alcohol-related crashes for the city was 74. As well as having a problem with alcohol-related driving behaviors, the city averages more than 1,000 hit and run crashes each year and it is presumed that a number of these are alcohol-related. Following the introduction of the LAP grant in Springfield in 2003, there was a decrease in the number of alcohol-related fatalities. Recent years have shown a slight increase in the number of alcohol-related fatalities furthering the need to continue this grant. To help the Springfield Police Department with this problem, the department has requested funding for a LAP grant for FY10.

The total expenditures for the Springfield LAP project (Project #AL0-5480-067) in the fifth year of funding totaled \$159,372.93. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$143,923.93.

### Police Services

The Springfield Police Department Traffic Services Section has two officers dedicated as full-time DUI officers. The Traffic Services Section has two additional officers that address complaints and patrol high-crash locations.

### Project Evaluation

The Springfield LAP included enforcement components, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The Springfield Police Department will be required to conduct approximately 3,150 hours of actual patrol annually in dedicated traffic/DUI, passenger and child restraint enforcement efforts.

Accomplishment: *The Springfield Police Department failed to meet this objective, but it did conduct 2,718 patrol hours during FY10.*

Objective 2: The Springfield Police Department will be required to make one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Springfield Police Department failed to meet this objective by making one contact for every 179.3 minutes of patrol (2,080 patrol hours divided by 696 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Springfield Police Department will be required to make one DUI arrest for every ten hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Springfield Police Department met this objective by making one DUI arrest for every 7.8 patrol hours.*

Objective 4: The Springfield Police Department will be required to make one alcohol-related contact for every six hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Springfield Police Department failed to meet this objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 6.4 patrol hours (2,080 patrol hours divided by the sum of 267 total DUI citations, 43 illegal transportation citations, and 17 other alcohol-related citations).*

Objective 5: The Springfield Police Department will be required to have a DUI processing rate of no more than two hours per DUI arrest.

Accomplishment: *The Springfield Police Department failed to meet this objective by only averaging 2.4 hours to process each DUI arrest.*

#### Project Overview:

The Springfield Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2010 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations. The department was required to meet five objectives: conduct 3,120 patrol hours, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one citation issued for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every six patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of no more than two hours. The department met one of their five objectives.

During fiscal year 2010, the Springfield Police Department only met one objective. The department met the DUI arrest rate objective by making one DUI arrest for every 7.8 patrol hours.

The department failed to meet the patrol hours objective by conducting only 2,718 patrol hours of the required 3,150 patrol hours. The department failed to meet the motorist contact rate objective by only issuing one citation for every 179.3 minutes of patrol. The department failed to meet the alcohol-related contact rate objective by only issuing one alcohol-related contact for every 6.4 patrol hours. Finally, the department failed to meet the DUI processing time objective by averaging 2.4 hours to process each DUI arrest.

## Table 19: Springfield LAP Project Summary Data

### FY10 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Springfield PD  
GRANT # AL0-5480-067

FROM: Oct. 1, 2009  
TO: Sept. 30, 2010

TYPE OF CITATION	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	6	2	8	1	4	3	2	2	3	5	8	4	48
2. FAIL TO YIELD	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	9
3. FAIL TO STOP	5	0	0	5	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	15
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	0	2	3	0	1	3	2	4	2	1	0	2	20
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	3	0	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	1	3	3	25
7. DUI	19	14	24	21	24	25	24	33	16	21	21	25	267
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	1	2	7	2	4	5	0	4	2	3	2	11	43
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	0	0	1	0	5	1	1	1	0	0	5	1	15
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	36	20	45	32	43	41	31	48	25	33	40	48	442
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	1	0	1	1	4	1	2	0	0	3	0	4	17
13. SAFETYBELT VIOLATION	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	3	6	13
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	4	1	11
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	18	19	6	7	27	28	12	19	7	17	27	26	213
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	20	20	7	8	31	30	17	23	7	20	34	37	254
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	56	40	52	40	74	71	48	71	32	53	74	85	696
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	37	28	44	52	62	76	65	69	38	62	64	80	677

	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	56	40	52	40	74	71	48	71	32	53	74	85	696
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	37	28	44	52	62	76	65	69	38	62	64	80	677
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	19	14	24	21	24	25	24	33	16	21	21	25	267
TOTAL LAP HOURS	188.0	202.0	245.0	264.0	237.0	258.0	194.0	226.0	148.0	247.0	227.0	282.0	2718.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	37.0	28.0	48.0	44.0	55.0	50.0	48.0	160.0	32.0	42.0	44.0	50.0	638.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	151.0	174.0	197.0	220.0	182.0	208.0	146.0	66.0	116.0	205.0	183.0	232.0	2080.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.17	0.21	0.20	0.19	0.18	0.20	0.16	0.17	0.15	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.1760
<b>COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA</b>													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	445	412	543	427	361	435	424	436	423	445	443	410	5204
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	58	79	97	62	72	103	104	87	90	105	94	102	1053

### FY10 LAP SUMMARY DATA

**Required:**

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.  
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.  
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest  
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol  
varies by project  
30% or greater for restraint violations

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE 7.8 hrs.  
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 6.4 hrs.  
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 2.4 hrs.  
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS) 179.3 mins.  
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 52.3 hrs.  
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 3.4 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS) 184.3 mins.  
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS 226.5 hrs.  
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS 58.0  
AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS 0.0  
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS 56.4  
CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO 1.0  
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE 6.9 %

## ST. CLAIR COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

### Background

St. Clair County is located in southwestern Illinois along the Mississippi River across from St. Louis. The population of St. Clair County numbers 256,082 residents. The two largest cities in the county are Belleville with a population of more than 41,000 and East St. Louis with a population of more than 31,000. Due to its proximity to St. Louis, the county notices a large volume of traffic flow throughout the year for people attending sporting venues, festivals, and other such events.

Due to the county's predominately high crime rate, the Sheriff's Office uses most of its resources to focus on this problem and does not spend as much time focusing on alcohol-related driving enforcement. Still, the county does have problems with alcohol-related driving. During 2001, St. Clair County had 29 alcohol-related fatalities, 369 alcohol-related driving injuries, and 297 alcohol-related driving property damage crashes.

To combat the predominately high alcohol-related driving problem in the county, the St. Clair County Sheriff's Office requested funding for a LAP grant for FY10. The LAP grant will provide the Sheriff's Office with extra funding for patrols to concentrate on locations throughout the county that are identified as areas which have high DUI and alcohol-related driving violations.

The total expenditures for the St. Clair County LAP project (Project #AL00082-004) in the sixth year of funding totaled \$136,981.31. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$126,022.84.

### Police Services

The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office consists of 128 full-time sworn Deputies, 20 civilian employees, and 39 Auxiliary Deputies. The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office provides a full service Patrol Division, Correction's Division, Civil Process Section, and Court Bailiff Services.

### Project Evaluation

The St. Clair County LAP included enforcement components, plus alcohol-related components. The sheriff's office failed to submit their July 2010 enforcement information in a timely manner, so the following information is only for 11 months of enforcement data. The objectives and accomplishments as follows:

Objective 1: The St. Clair County Sheriff's office will be required to conduct 2,280 hours of extra patrol annually in dedicated traffic/DUI, passenger and child restraint efforts or an average of 43.8 patrol hours per week.

Accomplishment: *The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by only averaging 35.0 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office shall be required to make a minimum of one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by making one contact for every 85.7 minutes of patrol (1,346 patrol hours divided by 942 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office shall be required to make one DUI arrest for every eight hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by averaging one DUI arrest for every 8.4 patrol hours (1,346 patrol hours divided by 161 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office shall be required to make one alcohol-related contact for every six hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office met this objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours (1,346 patrol hours divided by the sum of 161 DUI citations, 36 illegal transportation violations, and 28 sworn reports).*

Objective 5: The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office shall be required to maintain a DUI processing time of no more than two hours for each DUI arrest.

Accomplishment: *The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office met this objective by averaging a DUI processing time of 2.0 hours for each DUI arrest (321 DUI processing hours divided by 161 DUI arrests).*

Objective 6: The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office shall be required to issue at least 25 percent of all enforcement citations for occupant restraint violations.

Accomplishment: *The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by issuing 6.4 percent of all citations for occupant restraint violations (the sum of 56 seat belt violations and 4 child restraint violations divided by 942 total citations multiplied by 100 percent).*

#### Project Overview:

The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2010 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The sheriff's office was required to meet six objectives: conduct 2,280 patrol hours (or average 43.8 patrol hours per week), maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes of patrol, make one DUI arrest for every 8 patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, maintain a DUI processing time of less than two hours, and issue more than 25 percent of all citations for occupant restraint violations. The sheriff's office met two of the six objectives.

The sheriff's office met the alcohol-related contact rate object and the DUI processing time objective. The sheriff's office met the alcohol-related contact rate objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours. The sheriff's office also met the average DUI processing time objective by averaging 2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest.

On the other hand, the sheriff's office failed to meet the motorist contact rate objective, the weekly patrol hours objective, the DUI arrest rate objective, and the occupant restraint

percentage objective. The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office made one motorist contact for every 85.7 minutes of patrol. The sheriff's office failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective by only averaging 35 patrol hours per week. Also, the sheriff's office failed to meet the DUI arrest rate objective by averaging 8.4 hours to make one DUI arrest. Although the sheriff's office was required to issue more than 25 percent of its citations for occupant restraint violations, it only issued 6.4 percent of all its citations for occupant restraint violations.

## Table 20: St. Clair County LAP Project Summary Data

### FY10 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: St.Clair County SO  
GRANT # AL0-0082-004

FROM: Oct. 1, 2009  
TO: Sept. 30, 2010

TYPE OF CITATION	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	21	33	13	19	7	13	15	18	11		16	9	175
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	1	0		1	0	6
3. FAIL TO STOP	4	9	2	3	2	2	3	4	2		2	1	34
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	2	4	7	4	1	5	0	3	2		4	4	36
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0		0	0	1
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	13	12	9	6	8	12	8	6	10		7	4	95
7. DUI	20	16	23	10	11	16	17	15	11		13	9	161
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	6	1	6	0	1	5	4	7	0		3	3	36
9. SWORN REPORT	1	4	1	1	1	7	4	2	1		4	2	28
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	15	25	19	22	13	25	31	26	17		25	21	239
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	82	104	80	67	44	87	83	82	54		75	53	811
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	4	4	10	3	0	5	2	13	3		4	8	56
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0		1	0	4
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	14	10	4	5	7	8	3	8	0		9	3	71
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	18	14	14	8	7	13	5	24	3		14	11	131
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	100	118	94	75	51	100	88	106	57		89	64	942
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	172	185	157	144	96	168	162	164	114		145		1507

	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	100	118	94	75	51	100	88	106	57		89	64	942
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	172	185	157	144	96	168	162	164	114		145	0	1507
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	20	16	23	10	11	16	17	15	11		13	9	161
TOTAL LAP HOURS	194.0	197.0	170.0	155.0	113.0	175.0	189.0	171.0	126.0		139.0	118.0	1747.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	44.0	39.0	30.0	22.0	23.0	34.0	40.0	27.0	22.0		24.0	16.0	321.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	138.0	151.0	131.0	133.0	86.0	134.0	140.0	140.0	94.0		108.0	91.0	1346.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	12.0	7.0	9.0	0.0	4.0	7.0	9.0	4.0	10.0		7.0	11.0	80.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.14	0.16	0.19	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.18	0.16	0.17		0.14	0.17	0.1586
<b>COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA</b>													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0

### FY10 LAP SUMMARY DATA

**Required:**

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.  
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.  
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest  
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol  
varies by project  
30% or greater for restraint violations

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE 8.4 hrs.  
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 6.0 hrs.  
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 2.0 hrs.  
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS) 85.7 mins.  
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 35.0 hrs.  
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 6.4 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS) 53.6 mins.  
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS 151.5 hrs.  
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS 85.6  
AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS 0.0  
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS 137.0  
CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO 0.6  
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE 18.6 %

## WAUKEGAN POLICE DEPARTMENT

### Background

Waukegan, located in Lake County, is situated along the western shore of Lake Michigan and has a population of more than 91,000 residents. The city is situated 40 miles north of Chicago and 60 miles south of Milwaukee. These cities can be reached by the Tri-State Tollway (Interstate 94). The other major roadways in Waukegan are State Routes 120, 131, and 137.

As of March 2004, a total of 94 businesses held liquor licenses in Waukegan. These liquor licenses grant businesses closing times between 2:00 a.m. to 4:00 a.m. throughout the week (based on the classification of the business). Due to the amount of businesses in the area able to serve alcohol, there has been a serious problem with alcohol-related drinking and driving. As recently as 2007, the Waukegan Police Department made approximately 432 DUI arrests. Almost 400 of those arrests had a BAC of .134 or greater. In 2007 and 2008, Waukegan had two DUI-related traffic fatalities and notice more than 600 injury crashes each year.

The Waukegan Police Department requested funding for a LAP grant for FY10. The LAP grant will help the department combat the high prevalence of alcohol-related drinking and driving through increased enforcement.

The total expenditures for the Waukegan LAP project (Project #AL0-6075-003) in its seventh year of funding totaled \$188,932.91. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$179,058.21.

### Police Services

The Waukegan Police Department has 74 patrol officers. Two full-time officers are assigned to work on the LAP project.

### Project Evaluation

The Waukegan LAP included enforcement components, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The Waukegan Police Department will assign two officers to patrol 40 enforcement hours per week per officer of dedicated DUI patrol for a total of 80 hours per week.

Accomplishment: *The Waukegan Police Department failed to meet this objective by only averaging 50.8 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The Waukegan Police Department will be required to make one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Waukegan Police Department met this objective by making one motorist contact for every 36.4 minutes of patrol (1,960 patrol hours divided by 3,234 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Waukegan Police Department will be required to make one DUI arrest for every 10 hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Waukegan Police Department met this objective by making one DUI arrest for every 5.8 patrol hours (1,960 patrol hours divided by 339 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Waukegan Police Department will be required to make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Waukegan Police Department met this objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 4.6 patrol hours (1,960 patrol hours divided by the sum of 339 total DUI citations, 60 illegal transportation violations, 7 sworn reports, and 23 other alcohol-related citations).*

Objective 5: The Waukegan Police Department shall be required to maintain a DUI processing time of no more than two hours for each DUI arrest.

Accomplishment: *The Waukegan Police Department met this objective by averaging 2 hours to process each DUI arrest (680 DUI processing hours divided by 339 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The Waukegan Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2010 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The department was required to meet five objectives: average 80 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes of patrol, make one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of less than two hours. The department met four of the five objectives.

The department met the motorist contact rate objective, the DUI arrest rate objective, the alcohol-related contact rate objective, and the DUI processing time objective. The department met the motorist contact rate objective by issuing one citation for every 36.4 minutes of patrol. The department met both the DUI arrest rate and alcohol-related contact rate objectives by averaging one DUI arrest for every 5.8 patrol hours and one alcohol-related contact for every 4.6 patrol hours. Finally, the department met the DUI processing time objective by averaging a DUI processing time of 2.0.

The only objective the department failed to meet was the weekly patrol hours objective. The department only averaged 50.8 patrol hours per week.

## Table 21: Waukegan LAP Project Summary Data

FY10 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA														
PROJECT NAME: <u>Waukegan PD</u>							FROM: <u>Oct. 1, 2009</u>							
GRANT # <u>AL0-6075-003</u>							TO: <u>Sept. 30, 2010</u>							
TYPE OF CITATION	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL	
1. SPEEDING	18	21	11	12	10	5	20	10	11	11	10	8	147	
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	1	0	1	6	
3. FAIL TO STOP	1	2	3	5	1	0	3	3	0	4	1	3	26	
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	3	4	4	0	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	1	23	
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	5	14	9	16	11	11	10	12	6	15	12	14	135	
7. DUI	21	28	27	38	18	20	26	36	21	39	29	36	339	
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	2	7	3	1	4	3	9	9	6	9	2	5	60	
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	4	7	
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	110	147	107	162	89	78	106	143	83	156	111	155	1447	
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	160	223	164	234	134	118	177	218	133	237	168	227	2193	
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	1	2	2	1	1	4	3	0	5	2	2	23	
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	1	1	0	1	0	2	1	5	1	3	1	3	19	
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	3	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	9	
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	47	73	77	84	41	43	70	76	120	100	172	87	990	
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	48	78	82	87	42	47	75	84	121	110	175	92	1041	
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	208	301	246	321	176	165	252	302	254	347	343	319	3234	
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	310	368	674	369	367	310	307	279	351	417	314	326	4392	
	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL	
TOTAL CITATIONS	208	301	246	321	176	165	252	302	254	347	343	319	3234	
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL CONTACTS	310	28	674	369	367	310	307	279	351	417	314	326	4052	
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	21	28	27	38	18	20	26	36	21	39	29	36	339	
TOTAL LAP HOURS	232.0	320.0	304.0	344.0	312.0	256.0	296.0	296.0	296.0	320.0	248.0	304.0	3528.0	
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	42.0	58.0	54.0	76.0	36.0	40.0	54.0	71.0	45.0	74.0	56.0	74.0	680.0	
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	132.0	182.0	174.0	182.0	194.0	151.0	168.0	152.0	177.0	168.0	128.0	152.0	1960.0	
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	58.0	80.0	76.0	86.0	82.0	65.0	74.0	73.0	74.0	78.0	64.0	78.0	888.0	
BAC AVERAGE	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.18	0.18	0.15	0.19	0.12	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.1591	
<b>COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA</b>														
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	12	4	6	6	7	3	7	6	7	9	8	5	80	
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	2	4	6	5	3	0	1	2	3	0	4	5	35	
FY10 LAP SUMMARY DATA														
<b>Required:</b>														
One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.	<b>OBJECTIVES:</b>										DUI RATE			5.8 hrs.
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.	ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE													4.6 hrs.
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest	AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME													2.0 hrs.
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol	CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)													36.4 mins.
varies by project	AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS													50.8 hrs.
30% or greater for restraint violations	OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE													0.9 %
<b>OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES (not required objectives):</b>														
CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)													29.0 mins.	
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS													220.0 hrs.	
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS													269.5	
AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS													0.0	
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS													337.7	
CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO													0.8	
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE													4.5 %	

## WHEELING POLICE DEPARTMENT

### Background

The Village of Wheeling is a community of approximately 39,000 residents covering nearly 10 square miles of northern Cook/southern Lake Counties. The community is bisected by five State thoroughfares and a combined freight and commuter rail line. Additionally, Interstate 294 comprises the eastern border of the Village while Palwaukee Airport, the third busiest in Illinois, lies within the southern portion of the community at Route 21 and Palatine Road.

The major industrial base of the community compounds traffic flow with additional commuter traffic and commercial truck traffic. Commercial truck traffic servicing the industrial base is also significant, drawn to three major trucking terminals and 20 industrial parks.

The Wheeling Police Department requested funding for a LAP grant for FY10. The LAP grant will help the department combat the high prevalence of alcohol-related drinking and driving through increased enforcement.

The Wheeling Police Department has been awarded a LAP grant. This grant will allow the department to expend more resources to deal with the high volume of crashes in the community.

The total expenditures for the Wheeling LAP project (Project #AL0-6200-069) in the second year of funding totaled \$99,666.28. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$99,666.28.

### Police Services

The Wheeling Police Department currently employs 68 sworn officers. The Wheeling Police Department maintains a fleet of 34 vehicles including 14 marked patrol squad cars and two dedicated traffic unit squad cars.

### Project Evaluation

The Wheeling LAP included enforcement components, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The Wheeling Police Department will conduct 1,872 patrol hours throughout the year averaging 30 patrol hours per week.

Accomplishment: *The Wheeling Police Department met this objective by averaging 33.1 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The Wheeling Police Department will be required to make one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Wheeling Police Department met this objective by making one motorist contact for every 49.5 minutes of patrol (1,435.5 patrol hours divided by 1,761 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Wheeling Police Department will be required to make one DUI arrest for every 10 hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Wheeling Police Department failed to meet this objective by making only one DUI arrest for every 11.0 patrol hours (1,435.5 patrol hours divided by 132 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Wheeling Police Department will be required to make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Wheeling Police Department failed to meet this objective by making only one alcohol-related contact for every 9.8 patrol hours (1,435.5 patrol hours divided by the sum of 132 total DUI citations, 6 illegal transportation violations, 2 sworn reports, and 9 other alcohol-related citations).*

Objective 5: The Wheeling Police Department shall be required to maintain a DUI processing time of no more than 2 hours for each DUI arrest.

Accomplishment: *The Wheeling Police Department met this objective by averaging 2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest (264 DUI processing hours divided by 132 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The Wheeling Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2010 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The Wheeling Police Department was required to meet five objectives: average 36 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes of patrol, make one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of less than two hours. The department met two of the five objectives.

The department met the the motorist contact rate objective and the DUI processing time objective. The department met the motorist contact rate objective by issuing one citation for every 49.5 minutes of patrol. The department met the DUI processing time objective by averaging 2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest.

The department failed to meet the DUI arrest rate objective and the alcohol-related contact rate objective. Finally, the department failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective. The department failed to meet the DUI arrest rate objective by only making one DUI arrest for every 11.0 patrol hours. Also, the department failed to meet the alcohol-related contact rate objective by making only one alcohol-related contact for every 9.8 patrol hours. Finally, the department failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective by averaging 33.1 patrol hours per week.

## Table 22: Wheeling County LAP Project Summary Data

### FY10 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Wheeling PD  
GRANT # AL0-6200-069

FROM: Oct. 1, 2009  
TO: Sept. 30, 2010

TYPE OF CITATION	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	51	35	30	28	36	22	27	39	52	39	52	73	484
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	3	3	0	8
3. FAIL TO STOP	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	4	0	1	1	2	3	3	1	5	6	5	7	38
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	6	6	0	0	17
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	31	26	25	16	15	20	15	18	22	18	25	33	264
7. DUI	10	10	6	13	9	13	16	7	14	10	13	11	132
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	1	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	10	9	7	11	4	16	12	12	17	31	33	34	196
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	107	83	73	69	70	75	76	77	116	113	131	160	1150
12. OTHER ALCOHOL RELATED CIT.	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	2	1	2	9
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	0	2	17	9	16	9	16	53	30	56	5	7	220
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	3
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	22	20	28	15	23	6	25	34	35	41	35	95	379
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	22	22	45	24	40	17	42	88	65	99	42	105	611
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	129	105	118	93	110	92	118	165	181	212	173	265	1761
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	123	94	216	98	80	65	34	299	173	220	136	416	1954

	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	129	105	118	93	110	92	118	165	181	212	173	265	1761
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	123	10	216	98	80	65	34	299	173	220	136	416	1870
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	10	10	6	13	9	13	16	7	14	10	13	11	132
TOTAL LAP HOURS	112.0	96.0	158.0	112.0	104.0	87.0	126.0	161.0	165.0	176.0	151.5	269.0	1717.5
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	20.0	20.0	12.0	26.0	18.0	26.0	32.0	14.0	28.0	20.0	26.0	22.0	264.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	92.0	76.0	146.0	86.0	86.0	61.0	94.0	147.0	137.0	156.0	125.5	247.0	1453.5
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.20	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.17	0.15	0.17	0.19	0.15	0.18	0.15	0.19	0.1658
<b>COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA</b>													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	71	51	77	58	57	54	46	50	60	50	43	50	667
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	8	7	12	4	6	7	6	6	6	7	12	13	94

### FY10 LAP SUMMARY DATA

**Required:**

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.  
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.  
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest  
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol  
varies by project  
30% or greater for restraint violations

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE 11.0 hrs.  
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 9.8 hrs.  
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 2.0 hrs.  
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS) 49.5 mins.  
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 33.1 hrs.  
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 12.7 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS) 46.6 mins.  
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS 143.1 hrs.  
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS 146.8  
AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS 0.0  
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS 155.8  
CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO 0.9  
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE 27.5 %

## WILL COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

### Background

Will County is located in the northeastern section of Illinois just south of Chicago. The population of Will County, as listed in the 2000 U.S. Census, was 502,266. The largest city in the county is Joliet with a population of 106,221. Within the county there are regional and urban bus lines and a network of over 120 miles of rail lines which provide easy access to the rest of Chicagoland. Major roads through the county include Interstates 80, 57, and 55. The county has many attractions and venues which bring an increase of visitors and traffic.

Due to the increase in population in the county, as well as an increase in traffic flow, the number of traffic-related injuries and fatalities has risen dramatically in the county. Along with the increased traffic-related fatalities and injuries, there has been an increase in the prevalence of these fatalities and injuries being alcohol-related with a large percentage of these crashes involving the younger population.

The Will County Sheriff's Office requested funding for a LAP grant for FY10. The Sheriff's Office wants to dedicate one full-time deputy to work on DUI enforcement.

The total expenditures for the Will County LAP project (Project #AL0-0099-194) in its sixth year of funding totaled \$152,512.44. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$139,693.14.

### Police Services

Currently, the Will County Sheriff's Office has 201 sworn police officers utilizing 95 patrol cars. All Deputies assigned to patrol services have completed a minimum basic instruction course in crash investigation and are certified radar unit operators. Traffic Division personnel have completed Traffic Reconstruction courses as well.

### Project Evaluation

The Will County LAP included enforcement components, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The Will County Sheriff's Office will assign a deputy to conduct 40 hours per week.

Accomplishment: *The Will County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by averaging 29.2 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The Will County Sheriff's Office will be required to make one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Will County Sheriff's Office met this objective by making one motorist contact for every 40.3 minutes of patrol (1,178 patrol hours divided by 1,754 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Will County Sheriff's Office will be required to make one DUI arrest for every 10 hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Will County Sheriff's Office met this objective by making one DUI arrest for every 7.6 patrol hours (1,178 patrol hours divided by 154 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Will County Sheriff's Office will be required to make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Will County Sheriff's Office almost met this objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 6.1 patrol hours (1,178 patrol hours divided by the sum of 154 total DUI citations, 15 illegal transportation violations, 5 sworn reports, and 18 other alcohol-related citations).*

Objective 5: The Will County Sheriff's Office shall be required to maintain a DUI processing time of no more than 2 hours for each DUI arrest.

Accomplishment: *The Will County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by averaging 2.2 hours to process each DUI arrest (338 DUI processing hours divided by 154 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The Will County Sheriff's Office was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2010 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The sheriff's office was required to meet five objectives: average 40 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes of patrol, make one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of less than two hours. The department met two of the five objectives.

The sheriff's office met the motorist contact rate objective and the DUI arrest rate objective. The sheriff's office met the motorist contact rate objective by issuing one citation for every 40.3 minutes of patrol. Also, the sheriff's office met the DUI arrest rate objective by averaging one DUI arrest for every 7.6 patrol hours.

The sheriff's office failed to meet the alcohol-related contact rate objective, the DUI processing time objective, and the weekly patrol hours objective. The sheriff's office just failed to meet the alcohol-related contact rate objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 6.1 patrol hours. Also, the sheriff's office failed to meet the DUI processing objective by taking 2.2 hours to process each DUI arrest. Finally, the Will County Sheriff's Office failed to meet the 40 patrol hours per week objective by only averaging 29.2 patrol hours per week.

## Table 23: Will County LAP Project Summary Data

### FY10 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Will County SO  
GRANT # AL0-0099-194

FROM: Oct. 1, 2009  
TO: Sept. 30, 2010

TYPE OF CITATION	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	42	41	45	36	59	60	59	56	40	48	64	59	609
2. FAIL TO YIELD	2	1	0	4	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	12
3. FAIL TO STOP	1	0	1	1	1	2	13	12	25	8	17	31	112
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	3	7	5	1	7	9	9	3	1	4	6	4	59
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	2	2	1	2	0	3	2	1	0	0	2	0	15
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	8	6	5	2	5	3	3	5	3	5	4	11	60
7. DUI	16	13	13	10	11	18	20	13	10	12	5	13	154
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	1	1	0	0	1	1	3	1	3	3	1	0	15
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	5
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	12	10	94	17	15	13	11	10	12	20	4	7	225
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	87	81	166	73	101	110	120	102	95	102	103	126	1266
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	2	3	2	2	0	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	18
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	1	2	3	1	5	0	0	18	2	4	5	2	43
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	1	0	1	0	3	0	5	4	0	0	3	1	18
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	31	27	32	30	30	31	43	29	45	42	55	14	409
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	35	32	38	33	38	32	50	52	49	47	64	18	488
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	122	113	204	106	139	142	170	154	144	149	167	144	1754
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	74	81	78	89	123	125	144	145	115	125	126	140	1365

	2009 OCT	2009 NOV	2009 DEC	2010 JAN	2010 FEB	2010 MAR	2010 APR	2010 MAY	2010 JUN	2010 JUL	2010 AUG	2010 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	122	113	204	106	139	142	170	154	144	149	167	144	1754
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	74	81	78	89	123	125	144	145	115	125	126	140	1297
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	16	13	13	10	11	18	20	13	10	12	5	13	154
TOTAL LAP HOURS	136.0	120.0	172.0	128.0	152.0	168.0	176.0	160.0	136.0	128.0	128.0	160.0	1764.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	30.0	29.0	33.0	23.0	26.0	38.0	45.0	29.0	23.0	23.0	11.0	28.0	338.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	82.0	75.0	108.0	85.0	101.0	105.0	115.0	112.0	92.0	94.0	90.0	119.0	1178.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	24.0	16.0	31.0	20.0	25.0	25.0	16.0	29.0	21.0	11.0	27.0	13.0	258.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.18	0.19	0.17	0.15	0.18	0.15	0.17	0.18	0.20	0.17	0.15	0.14	0.1686
<b>COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA</b>													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	146	156	260	144	150	104	109	153	141	129	122	128	1742
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	27	21	23	25	19	20	20	28	14	10	13	18	238

### FY10 LAP SUMMARY DATA

**Required:**

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.  
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.  
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest  
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol  
varies by project  
30% or greater for restraint violations

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE 7.6 hrs.  
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 6.1 hrs.  
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 2.2 hrs.  
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS) 40.3 mins.  
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 29.2 hrs.  
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 3.5 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS) 54.5 mins.  
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS 126.3 hrs.  
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS 146.2  
AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS 0.0  
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS 108.1  
CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO 1.4  
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE 34.7 %

## LAP PROJECT EVALUATIONS WITH MULTIPLE YEARS OF FUNDING

Thirteen out of the sixteen LAP projects (Buffalo Grove, Chicago, Chicago Heights, Cook County, Elgin, Macon County, Sangamon County, Skokie, Springfield, St. Clair County, Waukegan, Wheeling, and Will County) have received funding for multiple years.

The following materials provide information on the LAP projects that received funding for the last several years (**see Table 21**).

### **Buffalo Grove**

The Buffalo Grove Police Department has received funding for a LAP grant for the previous five years. The department has been very active in pursuing alcohol-related and DUI offenders.

During all four years of funding, the only objective the Buffalo Grove Police Department failed to meet was the weekly patrol hours objective in the first year of funding. From FY07 through FY09, the department consistently met all of the objectives. In FY10, the department only met two of the five goals. Although the department failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective in the first year of funding, in the prior four years it has consistently conducted more than 28 patrol hours per week. The department consistently met the motorist contact rate objective during the first four years of funding, but failed to meet the objective in FY10. During the first four years of funding, the department consistently met the DUI arrest rate and alcohol-related contact rate objectives, but, in FY10, the department failed to meet those objectives. In FY08, the department had its best DUI arrest rate by averaging one DUI arrest for every 5.1 patrol hours. Similarly, the department had its best alcohol-related contact rate in FY08 by averaging one alcohol-related contact for every 4.4 patrol hours. Finally, across all five years of funding, the department has consistently met the DUI processing objective by averaging better than two hours to process each DUI arrest.

### **Chicago – Strikeforce**

The Chicago Police Department has received funding for projects with LAP objectives for the past 16 years. Although the enforcement detail for the Chicago Police Department has changed over the years of funding from saturation patrols to strikeforce, the objectives have remained similar. The department has maintained a good contact rate over the 16 years, but has failed to produce consistent results for the other objectives.

The department has maintained a good contact rate over the 16 years of funding. The department had its best contact rate during FY96 and FY08 at one contact made for every 17.2 minutes of patrol. During the other 14 years, the department has averaged better than one contact for every 38.0 minutes of patrol. The department met the weekly patrol hours objective in the first eight years of funding, but during the following five years, the department has failed to meet this objective. The department has done a better job meeting this objective by meeting this objective in the most recent years.

The department was required to issue more than 30 percent of all citations for occupant restraint violations, but the department was only able to meet this objective in the first year of funding. The Chicago Police Department did not need to meet this objective in the most recent years (FY08 through FY10). Also, the Chicago Police Department was required to actively pursue alcohol-related driving violators by maintaining a DUI arrest rate of more than one for every 10 patrol hours and an alcohol-related contact rate of more than one for every 6 patrol

hours. The department had failed to meet these objectives. In FY10, the Chicago Police Department met the DUI arrest rate objective by making one DUI arrest for every 9.4 patrol hours. Although the department failed to meet the alcohol-related contact rate in FY10, it had its best alcohol-related contact rate by averaging one alcohol-related contact for every 7.6 patrol hours. FY07 through FY10 were the only years the Chicago Police Department provided sufficient information to calculate the DUI processing time. Due to the extremely high DUI processing times, the Chicago Police Department has sent deficient information to accurately calculate the DUI processing time.

### **Chicago Heights**

The Chicago Heights Police Department has received funding for a LAP grant for the past seven years. The department has been very active in pursuing alcohol-related driving violators.

The Chicago Heights Police Department has met all of the objectives in the first seven years of funding, except the weekly patrol hours objective. The occupant restraint percentage objective was excluded from the project since FY09. The department has consistently met the motorist contact rate objective during the first six years of funding, but failed to meet this objective in FY10. Over the first six years of funding, the department's motorist contact rate has ranged from a low of one contact made for every 30.6 minutes of patrol in FY04 to a high of one contact made for every 51.3 minutes of patrol in FY09. In FY10, the department failed to meet this objective by only making one motorist contact for every 61.8 minutes of patrol. The department has been very active in the pursuit of alcohol-related driving violations. During all seven years of funding, the department has consistently averaged better than one DUI arrest for every 8.3 hours. Although the department met the alcohol-related contact rate objective during the first six years of funding, the department failed to meet this objective in FY10. During the first six years of funding, the department consistently averaged better than one alcohol-related contact for every 4.9 patrol hours. On the other hand, in FY10, the department only made one alcohol-related contact for every 6.8 patrol hours. Finally, the department has averaged better than 2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest.

The Chicago Heights Police Department has failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective during the first seven years of funding. The department was required to conduct an average of 35 patrol hours per week dedicated to enhanced DUI enforcement, but the department's weekly patrols have consistently decreased from year to year with the department posting its lowest weekly patrol hours average during FY09 at 8.9 patrol hours per week. The department did conduct slightly more patrol hours during FY10, but the hours remain very low in comparison to the required objective hours.

### **Cook County**

The Cook County Sheriff's Office has received funding for a LAP grant for the past two years. The sheriff's office has been very active in pursuing alcohol-related driving violators.

During both years of funding, the only objective the Cook County Sheriff's Office failed to meet was the weekly patrol hours objective in the first year. Although the sheriff's office failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective in the first year, it met this objective in the second year by averaging 21.4 patrol hours per week. The sheriff's office has consistently met the motorist contact rate objective. It has averaged better than one contact for every 38.7 minutes of patrol during both years. The sheriff's office has been very active in the pursuit of alcohol-related violations during both years of funding. During both years of funding, the sheriff's office

averaged better than 3.7 patrol hours to make one DUI arrest. Furthermore, the sheriff's office averaged better than 1.9 patrol hours to make one alcohol-related contact during both years of funding. Finally, the sheriff's office has met the DUI processing time objective during both years of funding.

## **Elgin**

The Elgin Police Department has received funding for a LAP grant for the past six years.

The department has consistently been meeting four of the five objectives during the prior three years of funding. During these past three years, the department has met the motorist contact rate objective, the DUI arrest rate objective, the alcohol-related contact rate objective, and the DUI processing time objective. met two objectives: the motorist contact rate objective and the DUI processing time objective. The department posted its best motorist contact rate in FY08 at one contact made for every 23.5 minutes of patrol. Similarly, the department posted its best DUI processing time objective during FY08 at 1.3 hours to process each DUI arrest.

Although the department did not meet the DUI arrest rate objective and alcohol-related contact rate objective during the first three years of funding, the department significantly improved these objectives in FY08 and maintained this level through FY09. Prior to FY08, the department's best DUI arrest rate was one DUI arrest made for every 18.7 patrol hours, but, in FY08, the department improved its DUI arrest rate to one made for every 2.7 patrol hours. Continuing this trend, in FY09, the department averaged one DUI arrest for every 3.1 patrol hours. A similar pattern is shown for the alcohol-related contact rate. During its first three years of funding, the Elgin Police Department averaged one alcohol-related contact for about every 10 patrol hours, but, in FY08, the department averaged one alcohol-related contact for every 1.9 patrol hours. The department continued this trend in FY09 by making one alcohol-related contact for every 2.3 patrol hours.

The department has consistently missed the weekly patrol hours week objective during all five years of funding. Although the department has consistently missed this objective, the department came close to meeting this objective in FY06.

## **Macon County**

The Macon County Sheriff's Office has received funding for a LAP grant for the past three years.

In the first two years of funding, the sheriff's office consistently met the DUI arrest rate objective, the alcohol-related contact rate objective, and the DUI processing time objective. During the first two years of funding, the sheriff's office averaged better than one DUI arrest made for every 8.0 patrol hours and better than one alcohol-related contact made for every 4.8 patrol hours. On the other hand, in FY10, the Macon County Sheriff's Office failed to meet the DUI arrest rate objective and the alcohol-related contact rate objective, but it did meet the DUI processing time objective.

The sheriff's office has also had mixed results with its weekly patrol hours objective and its motorist contact rate objective. While the sheriff's office failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective during its first two years of funding, in FY10, the sheriff's office met this objective by averaging 40.1 patrol hours per week. It should be noted that while the sheriff's office did not meet the weekly patrol hours objective during the first two years, it did come close to meeting

the objective during both years. The sheriff's office has been unable to meet the motorist contact rate objective. It was close to meeting this objective during the first two years, but was not close during the most recent year.

### **Sangamon County**

The Illinois Department of Transportation has awarded LAP funding to Sangamon County for increased DUI and alcohol-related driving offense enforcement for the past fourteen years. The enforcement objectives for the grant have remained similar over all fourteen years. In the first three years, this project consistently met four (motorist contact rate, DUI arrest rate, alcohol-related contact rate, and DUI processing time) of the six objectives. Over the fourteen years of funding, the Sangamon County LAP project has had mixed results, but it has shown more consistent results related to alcohol-related driving violations in recent years.

Although the sheriff's office failed to actively pursue alcohol-related driving offenses from 2000 to 2003, in recent years, the Sangamon County Sheriff's Office has been more active in the pursuit of alcohol-related driving offenses. Since FY04, the sheriff's office has consistently made one DUI arrest for less than every nine patrol hours. Since 2004, the DUI arrest rate has ranged from one DUI arrest made for every 3.9 patrol hours in FY05 to one DUI arrest made for every 8.5 patrol hours in FY10. While the sheriff's office failed to meet the alcohol-related contact rate in FY10, since 2004, it has been very active pursuing alcohol-related offenses. Since 2004, the alcohol-related contact rate has ranged from one alcohol-related contact made for every 2.7 hours in FY05 to one alcohol-related contact made for every 6.6 hours in FY10.

The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office has been unable to consistently meet the patrol hours per week objective, the motorist contact rate objective, and the DUI processing time objective. The sheriff's office has been required to average more than 35 patrol hours per week, but only met this objective during FY01 through FY03. The sheriff's office had met or was close to meeting the motorist contact rate objective from FY97 through FY08, but in FY09 and FY10, the sheriff's office averaged almost an hour and a half between motorist contacts. Typically, the sheriff's office has had a DUI processing time close to the two hour objective, but has only met the objective four years out of the thirteen years of funding.

### **Skokie**

The Skokie Police Department has received funding for a LAP grant for the previous four years. The department did a much better job of meeting its objectives in FY07 than in the following three years.

Over the four years, the department consistently met the weekly patrol hours objective, the motorist contact rate objective, and the DUI processing time objective. The department has averaged between 25.9 patrol hours a week (FY07) to 36.0 patrol hours per week (in FY10). During all four years of funding, the department averaged better than one motorist contact made for every 36.0 minutes of patrol. The department has consistently averaged less than two hours to process each DUI arrest during all four years of funding.

Although the department met the DUI arrest rate and alcohol-related contact rate objectives during the first year of funding, the department failed to meet these objectives during the following three years of funding. In its first year of funding, the department averaged one DUI arrest for every 6.8 patrol hours and one alcohol-related contact for every 5.1 patrol hours. Since then, the department failed to meet these objectives. From FY08 through FY10, the

department averaged greater than one DUI arrest for every 14.4 patrol hours. Similarly, from FY08 through FY10, the department failed to meet the alcohol-related contact rate. In FY10, the department improved the alcohol-related contact rate by only taking 7.6 patrol hours to make one alcohol-related contact.

## **Springfield**

The Springfield Police Department has received funding for a LAP grant for the previous five years. The department had its best performance in FY06. Since then, the department has had a hard time meeting its objectives.

In FY06, the Springfield Police Department met three of its six objectives including the DUI arrest rate objective, the alcohol-related contact rate objective, and the DUI processing time objective. In FY07, the department only met the DUI processing time objective. In FY08, the department met the DUI processing time objective and the occupant restraint percentage objective. In FY09, the department met the DUI arrest rate objective and the DUI processing time objective. In FY10, the department only met the DUI arrest rate objective.

Over the five years of funding, the department has not met the weekly patrol hours objective and the motorist contact rate objective. The department was scheduled to conduct more than 100 patrol hours per week during the first three years of funding, but, at best, the department only averaged 70.3 patrol hours per week in FY07 during those three years. In FY09 and FY10, the department was scheduled to conduct only 60 patrol hours per week and came close to meeting this objective by averaging 53.1 patrol hours per week in FY09 and 52.3 patrol hours per week in FY10. Similarly, the department posted its best motorist contact rate in FY07 by making one motorist contact for every 65.1 minutes of patrol, but during FY09 and FY10, the department averaged worse than one motorist contact for every 166.9 minutes of patrol.

The Springfield Police Department only met the DUI arrest rate and alcohol-related contact rate objectives in FY06 by averaging one DUI arrest for every 5.3 patrol hours and one alcohol-related contact for every 3.9 patrol hours. During the two previous years, the department failed to meet both of these objectives. The department averaged one DUI arrest for every 11.7 patrol hours in FY07 and one DUI arrest for every 13.6 patrol hours in FY08. In the two most recent years, the department met the DUI arrest rate objective by making one DUI arrest for every 8.5 patrol hours (FY09) and one DUI arrest for every 7.8 patrol hours (in FY10). Regarding the alcohol-related contact rate, since FY08, the department's alcohol-related contact rate has consistently improved.

With regards to the occupant restraint percentage objective, the Springfield Police Department has consistently improved in this area during the first three years of funding. In FY06, the department only averaged 4.8 percent of its citations for occupant restraint violations. In FY07, the department's occupant restraint percentage increased to 28.5. In FY08, the department met the occupant restraint objective by issuing more than 40 percent of all of its citations for occupant restraint violations. During FY09 and FY10, the department did not need to meet this objective.

## **St. Clair County**

The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office has received funding for a LAP grant for the previous six years. The sheriff's office has had mixed results over the six years of funding, but showed improvements during FY09.

The St. Clair County Sheriff's has met the weekly patrol hours objective for four of the past six years. The sheriff's office met the motorist contact rate during its first three years, but failed to meet this objective since then. The sheriff's office only met the DUI arrest rate objective during FY09. The sheriff's office has met the alcohol-related contact rate five of the past six years. It has only met the DUI processing time objective three of the six years. The sheriff's office has never met the occupant restraint percentage objective.

Although the sheriff's office failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective during the first year of funding, it has consistently averaged more than 45 patrol hours per week from FY06 through FY09, but the sheriff's office failed to meet this objective in FY10. With regards to the motorist contact rate, the sheriff's office met this objective during the first three years of funding, but failed to meet this objective from FY08 through FY10.

With regards to the alcohol-related objectives, the St. Clair County Sheriff's Office has improved their DUI arrest rate over the years. In FY09, the sheriff's office finally met the DUI arrest rate objective by averaging one DUI arrest for every 7.4 patrol hours. Although the sheriff's office failed to meet the DUI arrest rate objective in FY10, the sheriff's office was still active pursuing DUI offenders. The sheriff's office has consistently met the alcohol-related contact rate objective. The only time the sheriff's office failed to meet this objective was FY06 when it averaged 6.5 patrol hours for every alcohol-related contact. The sheriff's office has met the DUI processing time objective for three of the six years. It met this objective during FY06, FY07, and FY10. Although the sheriff's office failed to meet this objective in FY05, FY08, and FY09, it came close to meeting the objective during those years.

The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office has failed to meet the occupant restraint percentage objective. Over the six years of funding, the percentage of citations that the St. Clair County Sheriff's Office has issued for occupant restraint violations has progressively decreased reaching a low of 6.4 percent during FY09.

## **Waukegan**

The Waukegan Police Department has received funding for a LAP grant for seven of the past eight years, excluding FY08. The department has been very active in pursuing alcohol-related driving violations. The department has consistently met the motorist contact rate objective, the DUI arrest rate objective, and the alcohol-related contact rate objective. The department has failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective. Also, the department failed to meet the DUI processing time objective in the first three years of funding, but it met this objective since FY06.

The department has consistently met the alcohol-related objectives over the seven years of funding. The department's DUI arrest rate has ranged from making one DUI arrest for every 2.5 patrol hours in FY03 to making one DUI arrest for every 5.8 patrol hours during FY10. Also, the department has maintained a high alcohol-related contact rate by making from one alcohol-related contact for every 1.4 patrol hours in FY03 to making one alcohol-related contact for every 4.6 patrol hours in FY10. Although the department failed to meet the motorist contact rate objective in FY07 by making one motorist contact for every 62.0 minutes of patrol, during the other six years, the department's motorist contact rate has ranged from making one motorist contact for every 30.7 minutes of patrol in FY03 to making one motorist contact for every 53.6 minutes of patrol in FY06.

The department was unable to meet the DUI processing objective for the first three years of funding, but met this objective since FY06. The department has never met the weekly patrol hours objective over the seven years of funding.

### **Wheeling**

The Wheeling Police Department has received funding for a LAP grant for the past two years.

During FY09, the department met four of the five objectives, but, in FY10, it only met two of the five objectives. The two objectives the department met during the two years of funding include the motorist contact rate objective and the DUI processing time objective. In FY09, the department also met the weekly patrol hours objective and the the DUI arrest rate objective.

The department has averaged better than one contact for every 49.5 minutes of patrol during both years of funding. Furthermore, the department has averaged 2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest during both years of funding. On the other hand, the department met the weekly patrol hours and the DUI arrest rate objectives in FY09, but failed to meet these objectives in FY10. The department failed has never met the alcohol-related contact rate objective.

### **Will County**

The Will County Sheriff's Office has received funding for a LAP grant for the previous six years. During the first two years of funding in FY05 and FY06, the sheriff's office met three of the five objectives each year. On the other hand, during FY07 and FY08, the sheriff's office only met one objective across those two years. Since FY09, the sheriff's office has produced better results.

The only objective Will County Sheriff's Office has consistently met has been the motorist contact rate objective. Although the sheriff's office failed to meet this objective during FY07, it averaged better than one motorist contact made for every 55 minutes of patrol in the other five years.

The Will County Sheriff's Office met the DUI arrest rate objective during the first two years of funding, but failed to meet this objective during FY07 and FY08. The sheriff's office improved in FY09 and FY10 and met this objective by averaging one DUI arrest for every 7.7 patrol hours and 7.6 patrol hours respectively. The sheriff's office only met the alcohol-related contact rate objective during the first year of funding and the most recent year of funding, FY09. Although the sheriff's office had averaged 2.1 hours to process each DUI arrest during the first four years of funding, the sheriff's office met this objective during FY09 by averaging 1.9 hours to process each DUI arrest, but, again, failed to meet this objective in FY10. The sheriff's office has not met the weekly patrol hours objective by averaging slightly more than 20 patrol hours per week during the first six years of funding, but during FY10, the department averaged 29.2 patrol hours per week.

## Table 24: Progress Over Multiple Years of Funding - LAP

Location Project Year	Project Objectives												Months of Project Data
	Patrol Schedule Hours/week		Motorist contact rate Citations & Warnings		DUI Arrest Rate		Alcohol-Related Contact Rate		DUI Processing Time Per DUI		Occupant Restraint Percentage		
	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	
<b>Buffalo Grove</b>													
FY10	> 25.0	<b>36.0</b>	< 60 min.	70.1 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	14.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	10.7 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	12
FY09	> 25.0	<b>34.6</b>	< 60 min.	<b>54.3 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>6.2 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>5.4 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	12
FY08	> 25.0	<b>28.6</b>	< 60 min.	<b>58.1 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>5.1 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>4.4 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	12
FY07	> 25.0	<b>30.9</b>	< 60 min.	<b>41.9 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>8.8 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>6.0 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.8 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	12
FY06	> 25.0	21.9	< 60 min.	<b>44.6 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>8.5 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>4.9 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	12
<b>Chicago</b>													
FY10	> 36.0	<b>82.7</b>	< 60 min.	<b>38.0 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>9.4 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	7.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.6 hrs.	> 30.0	5.3	12
FY09	> 36.0	36.1	< 60 min.	<b>20.2 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	14.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	14.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	3.7 hrs.	> 30.0	6.1	12
FY08	> 36.0	<b>47.3</b>	< 60 min.	<b>17.2 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	12.5 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	12.5 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	7.0 hrs.	> 30.0	6.9	12
FY07	> 58.1	45.0	< 60 min.	<b>22.0 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	13.0 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	11.4 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	4.1 hrs.	> 30.0	9.2	12
FY06	> 58.1	42.6	< 60 min.	<b>29.9 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	20.7 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	11.3 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	N/A	> 30.0	18.4	9
FY05	> 58.1	47.2	< 60 min.	<b>34.6 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	16.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	9.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	N/A	> 30.0	10.7	12
FY04	> 58.2	49.8	< 60 min.	<b>23.8 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	38.0 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	26.9 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	N/A	> 30.0	14.4	12
FY03	> 58.2	54.9	< 60 min.	<b>25.0 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	29.7 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	18.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	N/A	> 30.0	14.3	12
FY02	> 58.2	<b>61.8</b>	< 60 min.	<b>29.0 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	31.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	23.1 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	N/A	> 30.0	15.9	12
FY01	> 49.9	<b>64.3</b>	< 60 min.	<b>26.0 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	24.2 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	15.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	N/A	> 30.0	15.7	12
FY00	> 49.9	<b>57.9</b>	< 60 min.	<b>28.8 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	73.3 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	47.7 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	N/A	> 30.0	19.7	12
FY99	> 49.9	<b>52.0</b>	< 60 min.	<b>27.2 min.</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	> 30.0	23.3	12
FY98	> 58.2	<b>58.2</b>	< 60 min.	<b>28.9 min.</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	> 30.0	24.7	12
FY97	> 33.0	<b>37.1</b>	< 60 min.	<b>19.4 min.</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	> 30.0	19.4	12
FY96	> 33.0	<b>35.2</b>	< 60 min.	<b>17.2 min.</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	> 20.0	18.4	12
FY95	> 30.0	<b>42.7</b>	< 60 min.	<b>20.9 min.</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	> 20.0	<b>20.0</b>	12
<b>Chicago Heights</b>													
FY10	> 35.0	11.6	< 60 min.	<b>61.8 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>8.3 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	6.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.8 hrs.</b>	> 30.0	11.0	12
FY09	> 35.0	8.9	< 60 min.	<b>51.3 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>5.6 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>4.9 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0 hrs.</b>	> 30.0	1.5	12
FY08	> 35.0	11.4	< 60 min.	<b>50.9 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>5.6 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>4.3 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.6 hrs.</b>	> 30.0	2.6	12
FY07	> 35.0	15.1	< 60 min.	<b>42.2 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>5.0 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>3.9 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.7 hrs.</b>	> 30.0	7.1	12
FY06	> 35.0	12.8	< 60 min.	<b>36.8 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>4.9 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>2.8 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.7 hrs.</b>	> 30.0	2.6	12
FY05	> 35.0	20.5	< 60 min.	<b>39.6 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>4.3 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>2.2 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.6 hrs.</b>	> 30.0	2.7	12
FY04	> 35.0	24.2	< 60 min.	<b>30.6 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>4.1 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>1.6 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.5 hrs.</b>	> 30.0	5.5	12
<b>Cook County</b>													
FY10	> 20.0	<b>21.4</b>	< 60 min.	<b>35.4 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>3.7 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>1.9 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	12
FY09	> 20.0	13.8	< 60 min.	<b>38.7 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>3.6 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>1.7 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	12

Bold indicates objectives that have been met.

**Table 24: Progress Over Multiple Years of Funding – LAP - continued**

Location Project Year	Project Objectives												
	Patrol Schedule Hours/week		Motorist contact rate Citations & Warnings		DUI Arrest Rate		Alcohol-Related Contact Rate		DUI Processing Time Per DUI		Occupant Restraint Percentage		Months of Project Data
	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	
<b>Elgin</b>													
FY10	> 35.0	23.7	< 60 min.	<b>24.3 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>3.0 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>2.3 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.8 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	12
FY09	> 35.0	24.5	< 60 min.	<b>24.1 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>3.1 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>2.3 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.5 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	12
FY08	> 35.0	17.3	< 60 min.	<b>23.5 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>2.7 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>1.9 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.3 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	11
FY07	> 35.0	31.2	< 60 min.	<b>48.8 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	23.1 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	10.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.9 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	5
FY06	> 29.1	<b>29.0</b>	< 60 min.	<b>45.6 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	21.4 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	10.3 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.9 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	5
FY05	> 29.1	18.3	< 60 min.	<b>49.2 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	18.7 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	10.2 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	6
<b>Macon County</b>													
FY10	> 35.0	<b>40.1</b>	< 60 min.	91.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	10.7 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	6.2 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	12
FY09	> 35.0	29.9	< 60 min.	69.7 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>7.2 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>4.2 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.5 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	12
FY08	> 35.0	33.6	< 60 min.	68.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>8.0 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>4.8 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.6 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	12
<b>Sangamon County</b>													
FY10	> 35.0	20.6	< 60 min.	86.3 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>8.5 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	6.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.2 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY09	> 35.0	28.5	< 60 min.	90.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>5.8 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>5.0 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	2.6 hrs.	> 30.0	0.3	12
FY08	> 35.0	33.5	< 60 min.	62.7 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>4.9 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>4.4 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	3.1 hrs.	> 30.0	2.9	12
FY07	> 35.0	30.7	< 60 min.	61.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>4.8 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>3.9 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	2.9 hrs.	> 30.0	0.4	12
FY06	> 35.0	30.9	< 60 min.	66.0 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>4.1 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>4.0 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	2.4 hrs.	> 30.0	0.4	12
FY05	> 35.0	31.0	< 60 min.	66.3 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>3.9 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>2.7 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	2.4 hrs.	> 30.0	0.1	12
FY04	> 35.0	30.6	< 60 min.	<b>59.4 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>4.2 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>2.9 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0 hrs.</b>	> 30.0	0.1	12
FY03	> 35.0	<b>55.1</b>	< 60 min.	<b>47.3 min.</b>	< 8.0 hrs.	52.4 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	19.7 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.1 hrs.	> 30.0	11.7	8
FY02	> 35.0	<b>41.3</b>	< 60 min.	<b>39.8 min.</b>	< 8.0 hrs.	18.2 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	8.0 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.2 hrs.	> 30.0	12.4	10
FY01	> 35.0	<b>47.2</b>	< 60 min.	<b>48.8 min.</b>	< 8.0 hrs.	11.1 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	6.3 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	3.3 hrs.	> 30.0	16.6	12
FY00	> 35.0	23.4	< 60 min.	63.9 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	11.7 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	6.4 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.8 hrs.	> 30.0	18.2	9
FY99	> 35.0	21.3	< 60 min.	<b>45.1 min.</b>	< 8.0 hrs.	<b>7.8 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>4.6 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.7 hrs.</b>	> 30.0	13.0	15
FY98	> 35.0	22.0	< 60 min.	<b>50.8 min.</b>	< 8.0 hrs.	<b>4.9 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>3.3 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.5 hrs.</b>	> 30.0	6.0	15
FY97	> 35.0	27.5	< 60 min.	<b>39.1 min.</b>	< 8.0 hrs.	<b>5.2 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>3.5 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.4 hrs.</b>	> 30.0	8.4	10
<b>Skokie</b>													
FY10	> 24.0	<b>35.0</b>	< 60 min.	<b>36.0 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	15.6 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	7.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.9 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	12
FY09	> 24.0	<b>37.1</b>	< 60 min.	<b>34.6 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	18.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	11.5 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	12
FY08	> 24.0	<b>25.9</b>	< 60 min.	<b>30.9 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	14.4 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	10.7 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	12
FY07	> 24.0	<b>28.4</b>	< 60 min.	<b>25.9 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>6.8 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>5.1 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.9 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	12

Bold indicates objectives that have been met.

**Table 24: Progress Over Multiple Years of Funding – LAP - continued**

Location Project Year	Project Objectives												
	Patrol Schedule Hours/week		Motorist contact rate Citations & Warnings		DUI Arrest Rate		Alcohol-Related Contact Rate		DUI Processing Time Per DUI		Occupant Restraint Percentage		Months of Project Data
	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	
<b>Springfield</b>													
FY10	> 100.0	52.3	< 60 min.	179.3 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>7.8 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	6.4 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.4 hrs.	> 30.0	3.4	12
FY09	> 100.0	53.1	< 60 min.	166.9 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>8.5 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	6.4 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0 hrs.</b>	> 30.0	5.4	12
FY08	> 100.0	68.5	< 60 min.	69.3 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	13.6 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	11.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.7 hrs.</b>	> 30.0	<b>40.7</b>	12
FY07	> 100.0	70.3	< 60 min.	65.1 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	11.7 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	9.4 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.3 hrs.</b>	> 30.0	28.5	12
FY06	> 100.0	34.7	< 60 min.	97.4 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>5.3 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>3.9 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>0.9 hrs.</b>	> 30.0	4.8	11
<b>St. Clair Co.</b>													
FY10	> 43.8	35.0	< 60 min.	85.7 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	8.4 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>6.0 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0 hrs.</b>	> 25.0	6.4	11
FY09	> 43.8	<b>52.0</b>	< 60 min.	82.6 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	<b>7.4 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>5.1 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	2.2 hrs.	> 25.0	6.5	11
FY08	> 43.8	<b>47.0</b>	< 60 min.	64.1 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	8.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>5.1 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	2.4 hrs.	> 25.0	9.1	10
FY07	> 43.8	<b>49.5</b>	< 60 min.	<b>51.4 min.</b>	< 8.0 hrs.	11.9 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>4.8 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0 hrs.</b>	> 25.0	16.7	10
FY06	> 43.8	<b>45.6</b>	< 60 min.	<b>43.9 min.</b>	< 8.0 hrs.	15.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	6.5 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0 hrs.</b>	> 25.0	15.2	12
FY05	> 43.8	32.9	< 60 min.	<b>39.4 min.</b>	< 8.0 hrs.	10.4 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>4.6 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	2.1 hrs.	> 25.0	21.8	12
<b>Waukegan</b>													
FY10	> 80.0**	50.8**	< 60 min.	<b>36.4 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>5.8 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>4.6 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	12
FY09	> 80.0**	48.8**	< 60 min.	<b>52.2 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>4.8 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>3.9 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.9 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	12
FY07	> 80.0**	50.5**	< 60 min.	62.0 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>4.8 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>3.8 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.8 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	12
FY06	> 80.0**	58.5**	< 60 min.	<b>53.6 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>4.8 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>3.7 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.8 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	12
FY05	> 80.0**	51.7**	< 60 min.	<b>51.2 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>4.2 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>2.2 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	2.3 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY04	> 80.0**	56.6**	< 60 min.	<b>35.4 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>2.8 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>1.5 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	2.4 hrs.	N/A	N/A	11
FY03	> 80.0**	55.4**	< 60 min.	<b>30.7 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>2.5 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>1.4 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	2.2 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
<b>Wheeling</b>													
FY10	> 36.0	33.1	< 60 min.	<b>49.5 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	11.0 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	9.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	12
FY09	> 36.0	<b>38.5</b>	< 60 min.	<b>38.2 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>9.8 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	8.1 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>2.0 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	12

**Bold indicates objectives that have been met.**

\*\* Waukegan dedicated two full-time officers to LAP patrol for FY03 through FY10. The actual patrol hours per week does not include vacation, sick leave, or personal time.

**Table 22: Progress Over Multiple Years of Funding – LAP - continued**

Location Project Year	Project Objectives												
	Patrol Schedule Hours/week		Motorist contact rate Citations & Warnings		DUI Arrest Rate		Alcohol-Related Contact Rate		DUI Processing Time Per DUI		Occupant Restraint Percentage		Months of Project Data
	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	
<b>Will County</b>													
FY10	> 40.0	29.2	< 60 min.	<b>40.3 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>7.6 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	6.1 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.2 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY09	> 40.0	24.2	< 60 min.	<b>36.7 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>7.7 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>5.9 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	<b>1.9 hrs.</b>	N/A	N/A	12
FY08	> 40.0	20.9	< 60 min.	<b>55.5 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	12.4 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	9.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.1 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY07	> 40.0	22.7	< 60 min.	64.8 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	11.4 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	8.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.1 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY06	> 40.0	23.8	< 60 min.	<b>51.8 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>8.8 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	7.5 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.1 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY05	> 40.0	21.2	< 60 min.	<b>44.2 min.</b>	< 10.0 hrs.	<b>6.9 hrs.</b>	< 6.0 hrs.	<b>3.8 hrs.</b>	< 2.0 hrs.	2.1 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12