



Illinois Department of Transportation

Division of Traffic Safety
3215 Executive Park Drive / P.O. Box 19245 / Springfield, Illinois / 62794-9245

TECHNICAL REPORT

May 2012

Evaluation of FY11 Local Alcohol Program (LAP) Projects in Illinois

**Compiled and Prepared by:
Illinois Department of Transportation
Division of Traffic Safety
Evaluation Unit
1340 North 9th St.
Springfield, Illinois 62702**

Illinois Department of Transportation

Division of Traffic Safety

Evaluation Unit

The Evaluation Unit within the Division of Traffic Safety in the Illinois Department of Transportation focuses on evaluation and monitoring of various highway safety projects and programs in Illinois. The Evaluation Unit conducts research and analyses that enhance the safety and efficiency of transportation by understanding the human factors that are important to transportation programs in Illinois. The main functions of the Unit include the following:

1. Develop an in-depth analysis of motor vehicle related fatalities and injuries in Illinois using several crash related databases (Crash data, FARS, Trauma Registry, and Hospital data, state and local police data).
2. Develop measurable long term and short term goals and objectives for the Highway Safety Program in Illinois using historical crash related databases.
3. Evaluate each highway safety project with enforcement component (e.g., Local Alcohol Program and Strategic Traffic Enforcement Program projects) using crash and citation data provided by local and state police Departments.
4. Evaluate several highway safety programs (e.g., Occupant Protection and Alcohol). This involves evaluating the effects of public policy and intervention programs that promote safe driving.
5. Design and conduct annual observational safety belt and child safety seat surveys for Illinois. This survey is based on a multi-stage random selection of Interstate Highways, US/IL Highways, and several local and residential streets.
6. Provide results of research and evaluation as well as annual enforcement activities to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) as part of the Federal Requirements of State Highway Safety Program in Illinois.
7. Provide statistical consultation to other Sections at the Division of Traffic Safety and other Divisions at IDOT.
8. Publish results of all research and evaluation at the Division and place them as PDF files at IDOT's Website.

This report provides descriptive evaluations of the Local Alcohol Program (LAP) using the fiscal year 2011 monthly enforcement data obtained from the local grantees.

The report was compiled and prepared by the Evaluation staff. Comments or questions may be addressed to Mehdi Nassirpour, Ph.D., Chief of Evaluation Unit, Bureau of Administrative Services, Division of Traffic Safety, Illinois Department of Transportation, 1340 North 9th St., Springfield, Illinois 62702.

Table of Contents

Evaluation of the FY11 Local Alcohol Program Projects	1
LAP Evaluation Summary	2
LAP Projects Overview Section	3
LAP Project Evaluation Section	6
Carol Stream Police Department	7
Charleston Police Department	10
Chicago Police Department - Strikeforce	13
Chicago Heights Police Department	16
Cook County Sheriff's Office	19
Decatur Police Department	22
East Peoria Police Department	25
Elgin Police Department	28
Macon County Sheriff's Office	31
Sangamon County Sheriff's Office	34
Skokie Police Department	37
South Chicago Heights Police Department	40
Springfield Police Department	43
St. Clair County Sheriff's Office	46
Waukegan Police Department	50
Wheeling Police Department	53
Will County Sheriff's Office	56
Wonder Lake Police Department	59

LAP Project Evaluations with Multiple Years of Funding 62

List of Tables

Table 1: Fiscal Year 2011 LAP Overview 5

Table 2: Carol Stream LAP Project Summary Data 9

Table 3: Charleston LAP Project Summary Data 12

Table 4: Chicago Strikeforce Project Summary Data 15

Table 5: Chicago Heights LAP Project Summary Data 18

Table 6: Cook County LAP Project Summary Data 21

Table 7: Decatur LAP Project Summary Data 24

Table 8: East Peoria LAP Project Summary Data 27

Table 9: Elgin LAP Project Summary Data 30

Table 10: Macon County LAP Project Summary Data 33

Table 11: Sangamon County LAP Project Summary Data 36

Table 12: Skokie LAP Project Summary Data 39

Table 13: South Chicago Heights LAP Project Summary Data 42

Table 14: Springfield LAP Project Summary Data 45

Table 15: St. Clair County LAP Project Summary Data 49

Table 16: Waukegan LAP Project Summary Data 52

Table 17: Wheeling LAP Project Summary Data 55

Table 18: Will County LAP Project Summary Data 58

Table 19: Wonder Lake LAP Project Summary Data 61

Table 20: Progress Over Multiple Years of Funding-LAP 70

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE LAPs

The following recommendations are made to enhance the success of future Local Alcohol Program (LAP) projects:

- 1) The Law Enforcement Liaisons (LELs) should continue to review the monthly enforcement data and notify the locals of any project deficiencies.
- 2) More emphasis should be placed on the accomplishments of the enforcement objectives, through more on-site monitoring by the Law Enforcement Liaisons.
- 3) Ensure that all project enforcement data are reported in a timely fashion.
- 4) Counties or cities with an inadequate number of enforcement personnel should not be considered for LAP funding, but should be directed to a different grant program if they are eligible to participate.

**Evaluation of the FY11
Local Alcohol Program (LAP)
Projects in Illinois**

LAP EVALUATION SUMMARY

The Division of Traffic Safety's Local Projects Section funded eighteen Local Alcohol Program (LAP) projects during Fiscal Year 2011. The LAP projects were awarded to Carol Stream, Charleston, Chicago, Chicago Heights, Cook County, Decatur, East Peoria, Elgin, Macon County, Sangamon County, Skokie, South Chicago Heights, Springfield, St. Clair County, Waukegan, Wheeling, Will County, and Wonder Lake. The evaluations of the eighteen enforcement grants were based on the 2011 enforcement data provided in the monthly progress reports (see **Tables 2 through 19**). The following is a list of the criteria which were used to measure the progress made by each LAP project listed in this section:

1. Actual Patrol Hours
2. Motorist contact rate (citations)
3. DUI arrest rate
4. Alcohol-related contact rate
5. DUI processing rate
6. Occupant restraint violations percentage

The program cost for all LAP projects during FY11 was \$1,835,447.32. The total amount spent on enforcement, which includes personal services, fringe benefits, and social security, was \$1,686,922.79. The remaining \$148,524.53 was allocated for equipment, mileage, and conference travel (to traffic enforcement conferences). The average cost per hour was \$66.11 (\$1,884,688.59 divided by 28,508.8 total project hours). The approximate amount of funding spent on actual enforcement was \$1,548,676.33 (the sum of 19,566.0 enforcement hours and 3,859.8 DUI processing hours multiplied by \$66.11 per hour). The average cost of a citation was \$75.48 (\$1,548,676.33 divided by 20,519 citations).

LAP PROJECTS OVERVIEW SECTION

(Please refer to **Table 1** for the following section)

Patrol Hours

The Patrol Hours objective is defined as those hours which are spent pursuing traffic violations plus those hours dedicated to processing DUI arrests.

As shown in **Table 1**, the eight agencies which met their patrol hours objective include the Carol Stream Police Department, the Chicago Police Department, the Cook County Sheriff's Office, the Decatur Police Department, the Macon County Sheriff's Office, the Skokie Police Department, the Springfield Police Department, and the Wonder Lake Police Department. The remaining ten agencies (the Charleston Police Department, the Chicago Heights Police Department, the East Peoria Police Department, the Elgin Police Department, the Sangamon County Sheriff's Office, the South Chicago Heights Police Department, the St. Clair County Sheriff's Office, the Waukegan Police Department, the Wheeling Police Department, and the Will County Sheriff's Office) did not meet their patrol hours objective.

Motorist contact rate

The motorist contact rate indicates how active the enforcement agencies were in the pursuit of traffic violations.

Table 1 shows that thirteen of the eighteen projects (Carol Stream, Charleston, Chicago, Chicago Heights, Cook County, East Peoria, Elgin, Skokie, South Chicago Heights, Waukegan, Wheeling, Will County, and Wonder Lake) met this objective. These projects ranged from making one contact for every 24.3 minutes of patrol (Elgin) to making one contact for every 59.1 minutes of patrol (Charleston).

Decatur, Macon County, Sangamon County, Springfield Police Department, and St. Clair County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by averaging more than 60 minutes of patrol between contacts.

30 Percent Occupant Restraint Violations

This objective emphasizes the enforcement of both occupant protection laws (safety belt and child safety seats). As shown in **Table 1**, the Skokie Police Department and the St. Clair County Sheriff's Office were the only agencies required to meet this objective. The Skokie Police Department was required to issue more than 30 percent of its citations for occupant protection violations. The Skokie Police Department almost met this objective by issuing approximately 29 percent of its citations for occupant protection violations. The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office was required to issue more than 25 percent of its citation for occupant protection violations, but it only issued 3.7 percent.

DUI Arrest Rate

All of the LAP projects are required to pursue and arrest individuals who are driving under the influence. All of the projects, except St. Clair County, had to make one DUI arrest for every ten patrol hours. The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office was required to make one DUI arrest for every eight patrol hours.

The nine projects which met this objective included Charleston (one DUI arrest for every 8.3 patrol hours), Chicago (one DUI arrest for every 8.6 patrol hours), Cook County (one DUI arrest for every 4.9 patrol hours), Elgin (one DUI arrest for every 3.0 patrol hours), Sangamon County

(one DUI arrest for every 5.1 patrol hours), Springfield (one DUI arrest for every 8.8 patrol hours), Waukegan (one DUI arrest for every 9.1 patrol hours), Will County (one DUI arrest for every 9.1 patrol hours), and Wonder Lake (one DUI arrest for every 7.6 patrol hours).

The nine projects which failed to meet this objective included Carol Stream (one DUI arrest for every 11.4 patrol hours), Chicago Heights (one DUI arrest for every 10.5 patrol hours), Decatur (one DUI arrest for every 10.6 patrol hours), East Peoria (one DUI arrest for every 15.9 patrol hours), Macon County (one DUI arrest for every 11.4 patrol hours), Skokie (one DUI arrest for every 22.5 patrol hours), South Chicago Heights (one DUI arrest for every 10.5 patrol hours), St. Clair County (one DUI arrest for every 11.7 patrol hours), and Wheeling (one DUI arrest for every 14.8 patrol hours).

Alcohol-Related Contact Rate

The Alcohol-Related Contact Rate is also a requirement of the LAP enforcement project specifications unless otherwise specified in the grant agreement. Most of the projects were required to make one alcohol-related contact for every six hours of patrol, except the Carol Stream Police Department, the Chicago Police Department, the Macon County Sheriff's Office, the Sangamon County Sheriff's Office, the Skokie Police Department, the Springfield Police Department, the Wheeling Police Department, and the Will County Sheriff's Office. This objective focuses on those violations that may lead to driver impairment, such as illegal transportation, illegal possession by a minor, drug related offenses, and zero tolerance.

The four projects which met this objective included Cook County, Elgin, Waukegan, and Wonder Lake. The alcohol-related contact rates for these four projects ranged from one alcohol-related contact made for every 2.3 patrol hours (Elgin) to one alcohol-related contact made for every 5.6 patrol hours (Waukegan).

The six projects which failed to meet this objective included Charleston, Chicago Heights, Decatur, East Peoria, South Chicago Heights, and St. Clair County. The alcohol-related contact rates for these seven projects ranged from one alcohol-related contact made for every 6.4 patrol hours (Charleston) to one contact made for every 11.6 patrol hours (East Peoria).

DUI Processing Rate

All eighteen projects had to have a DUI processing time of two hours or less. Fifteen of the eighteen projects met this objective (Carol Stream, Charleston, Chicago, Chicago Heights, Cook County, Decatur, East Peoria, Elgin, Macon County, Sangamon County, Skokie, South Chicago Heights, Springfield, Waukegan, and Wheeling). St. Clair County, Will County, and Wonder Lake failed to meet this objective.

Other Monitored Data

BAC Data

During FY11, there were 2,081 individuals arrested for DUI. The average blood alcohol level (BAC) of those individuals who agreed to be tested was 0.1572.

Vehicles Stopped Contact Rate

Although the Vehicles Stopped Contact Rate is not an objective in the LAP specifications, the Evaluation Unit monitors these data to measure enforcement activity. Over the 19,566 patrol hours, the officers stopped a total of 17,591 vehicles, resulting in a vehicle stop rate of one for every 66.7 minutes.

Table 1: Fiscal Year 2011 LAP Overview

Location (Population)	Project Objectives												Number of Months of Project Data	Total Expenditures	Years of Enforcement Funding
	Patrol Schedule Hours/week		Motorist contact rate Citations & Warnings		DUI Arrest Rate		Alcohol-Related Contact Rate		DUI Processing Time Per DUI		Occupant Restraint Percentage				
	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.			
Carol Stream	> 16.6	16.5	< 60 min.	53.9	< 10.0 hrs.	11.4	< 6.0 hrs.	8.1	< 2.0 hrs.	1.4	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 53,866.42	1
Charleston	>18.0	8.9	< 60 min.	59.1	< 10.0 hrs.	8.3	< 6.0 hrs.	6.4	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0	N/A	N/A	8	\$ 13,576.96	2
Chicago	> 36.0	65.0	< 60 min.	43.7	< 10.0 hrs.	8.6	N/A	N/A	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0	N/A	N/A	11	\$ 502,807.98	17
Chicago Heights	> 18.0	10.7	< 60 min.	42.4	< 10.0 hrs.	10.5	< 6.0 hrs.	7.1	< 2.0 hrs.	1.9	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 29,931.22	8
Cook Co.	> 20.0	22.6	< 60 min.	40.8	< 10.0 hrs.	4.9	< 6.0 hrs.	2.4	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0	N/A	N/A	10	\$ 58,138.04	3
Decatur	> 24.0	24.9	< 60 min.	97.8	< 10.0 hrs.	10.6	< 6.0 hrs.	8.3	< 2.0 hrs.	0.7	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 93,332.26	2
East Peoria	> 17.5	13.9	< 60 min.	56.0	< 10.0 hrs.	15.9	< 6.0 hrs.	11.6	< 2.0 hrs.	1.9	N/A	N/A	8	\$ 27,867.35	2
Elgin	> 30.0	25.7	< 60 min.	24.3	< 10.0 hrs.	3.0	< 6.0 hrs.	2.3	< 2.0 hrs.	1.8	N/A	N/A	11	\$ 87,904.00	6
Macon Co.	> 35.0	36.5	< 60 min.	72.2	< 10.0 hrs.	11.4	N/A	N/A	< 2.0 hrs.	1.5	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 80,927.12	4
Sangamon Co.	> 36.0	19.0	< 60 min.	76.1	< 10.0 hrs.	5.1	N/A	N/A	< 2.0 hrs.	1.7	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 95,081.27	15
Skokie	> 32.0	39.1	< 90 min.	50.0	< 10.0 hrs.	22.5	N/A	N/A	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0	> 30.0	28.9	12	\$ 256,976.78	5
South Chicago Heights	> 16.0	10.7	< 60 min.	42.4	< 10.0 hrs.	10.5	< 6.0 hrs.	7.1	< 2.0 hrs.	1.9	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 13,894.52	1
Springfield	> 57.7	59.3	< 60 min.	179.7	< 10.0 hrs.	8.8	N/A	N/A	< 2.0 hrs.	1.9	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 172,358.82	6
St. Clair Co.	> 43.8	31.4	< 60 min.	109.1	< 8.0 hrs.	11.7	< 8.0 hrs.	7.7	< 2.0 hrs.	2.4	> 25.0	3.7	11	\$ 81,824.10	7
Waukegan	> 80.0	28.1	< 60 min.	54.4	< 10.0 hrs.	9.1	< 6.0 hrs.	5.6	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 74,384.26	8
Wheeling	> 30.0	26.8	< 60 min.	57.7	< 10.0 hrs.	14.8	N/A	N/A	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 77,390.83	3
Will County	> 40.0	30.4	< 60 min.	44.1	< 10.0 hrs.	9.1	N/A	N/A	< 2.0 hrs.	2.3	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 166,473.11	7
Wonder Lake	> 5.8	5.7	< 60 min.	49.2	< 10.0 hrs.	7.6	< 6.0 hrs.	4.8	< 2.0 hrs.	2.8	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 2,865.39	1
Lap Program Summary			< 60 min.	57.2	< 10.0 hrs.	9.4	< 6.0 hrs.	6.7	< 2.0 hrs.	2.2	N/A	N/A		\$1,889,600.43	

Bold, highlighted items indicate objectives that have been met.
N/A indicates that the objective was not required.

LAP PROJECT EVALUATION SECTION

This section provides the following materials for each LAP project:

- 1) Brief Background
- 2) Project Cost
- 3) Project Evaluation
 - a. Proposed Objectives
 - b. Accomplishments
 - c. Brief Conclusion
 - d. Enforcement Data and Information

CAROL STREAM POLICE DEPARTMENT

Background

Carol Stream is located west of Chicago in DuPage County. Approximately 45,000 residents live in Carol Stream. There are six major roads that pass through Carol Stream. These roads include North Avenue, Army Trail Road, Geneva Road, Gary Avenue, County Farm Road, and Lies Road. These roads support most of the major traffic that travels through Carol Stream.

Due to current, local budgetary constraints, Carol Stream had to scale back enforcement activities. Considering that there are many liquor establishments in the area and the large flow of traffic throughout the village, there is the belief that the number of alcohol-related crashes would increase with fewer officers patrolling the local roads. The Carol Stream Police Department looked at different ways to expand enforcement activities for alcohol-related driving behaviors and minimize these driving behaviors.

The Carol Stream Police Department requested funding for a LAP grant for FY11 to provide the department with extra funding to deal with the large volume of traffic crashes and traffic violations, especially alcohol-related and DUI offenses.

The total expenditures for the Carol Stream LAP project (Project #AL1-6325-215) in its first year of funding was \$53,866.42. Total personnel costs for this grant was \$46,244.62.

Police Services

Carol Stream used five officers per campaign who showed the proper skills and productivity for being selected to these enhanced DUI enforcement activities. An additional officer was selected for 56 non-campaign days doing roving patrols during hours where alcohol-related driving behaviors were prevalent.

Project Evaluation

The Carol Stream LAP project included an enforcement component. The objectives and accomplishments for the project were as follows (also refer to **Table 2**):

Objective 1: The Carol Stream Police Department was required to conduct 864 patrol hours throughout the year in the form of roving and saturation patrols. Therefore, the Carol Stream Police Department was required to average approximately 16.6 patrol hours per week.

Accomplishment: *The Carol Stream Police Department met the patrol hours objective by conducting 864 patrol hours throughout FY11.*

Objective 2: The Carol Stream Police Department was required to make at least one traffic contact for every 60 minutes of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Carol Stream Police Department met this objective by making one contact for every 53.9 minutes of patrol (765.0 patrol hours divided by 851 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Carol Stream Police Department was required to write one DUI for every ten hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Carol Stream Police Department failed to meet this objective by averaging one DUI arrest for every 11.4 patrol hours (851 patrol hours divided by 67 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Carol Stream Police Department was required to maintain a DUI processing time of 2 hours or less.

Accomplishment: *The Carol Stream Police Department met this objective by averaging a processing time of 1.4 hours for each DUI arrest (94 hours processing DUIs divided by 67 DUIs).*

Project Overview:

The Carol Stream Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2011 to provide extra funding for enhanced enforcement with a focus on alcohol-related violations.

The Carol Stream Police Department was required to meet four objectives: conduct 864 patrol hours for the year, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes of patrol, make one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, and maintain an average DUI processing time of 2 hours or less. The Carol Stream Police Department met three of its four objectives during fiscal year 2011.

The department met its yearly patrol hours objective by devoting 864 hours to enhanced enforcement during FY11. The department maintained an efficient motorist contact rate by issuing one citation for every 53.9 minutes of patrol. The department also met the average DUI processing time objective by averaging 1.4 hours to process each DUI arrest.

On the other hand, the department failed to meet the DUI arrest rate objective by making one DUI arrest for every 11.4 patrol hours.

Table 2: Carol Stream LAP Project Summary Data

FY11 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Carol Stream
GRANT # AL1-0890-256

FROM: Oct. 1, 2010
TO: Sept. 30, 2011

TYPE OF CITATION	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	9	8	8	3	12	11	1	15	10	10	8	6	101
2. FAIL TO YIELD	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	6
3. FAIL TO STOP	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	1	3	3	0	1	3	3	2	1	2	1	2	22
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	0	4	1	0	1	1	1	8	5	3	6	1	31
7. DUI	5	7	3	3	3	4	2	21	6	3	8	2	67
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	6
9. SWORN REPORT	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	1	5	6	0	0	7	1	13	5	5	4	12	59
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	20	29	23	6	17	30	8	63	28	23	28	25	300
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	6	1	0	0	8	18
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	12	30	20	3	8	17	6	53	28	13	55	13	258
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	4	10
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	8	20	31	6	5	27	21	40	28	8	33	38	265
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	21	51	53	9	13	44	27	100	58	21	91	63	551
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	41	80	76	15	30	74	35	163	86	44	119	88	851
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	38	96	87	9	37	73	46	153	81	57	412	63	1152

	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	41	80	76	15	30	74	35	163	86	44	119	88	851
TOTAL CONTACTS	38	96	87	9	37	73	46	153	81	57	412	63	1152
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	5	7	3	3	3	4	2	21	6	3	8	2	67
TOTAL LAP HOURS	32.0	84.0	80.0	12.0	24.0	96.0	32.0	180.0	96.0	36.0	104.0	88.0	864.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	7.0	7.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	6.0	3.0	31.0	9.0	4.0	12.0	3.0	94.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	24.0	77.0	76.0	8.0	20.0	86.0	29.0	149.0	87.0	32.0	92.0	85.0	765.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.12	0.13	0.12	0.09	0.13	0.11	0.14	0.14	0.18	0.1352
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	46	33	68	51	45	27	38	27	56	41	39	55	526
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	8	3	9	5	9	7	5	10	13	9	4	5	87

FY11 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.

Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest

One contact every 60 minutes of patrol

Avg 16.6 patrol hours per week

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE	11.4 hrs.
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE	8.1 hrs.
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME	1.4 hrs.
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)	53.9 mins.
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS	16.5 hrs.
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE	31.5 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)	39.8 mins.
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS	71.6 hrs.
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS	70.9
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS	96.0
CITATIONS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO	0.7
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE	11.9 %

CHARLESTON POLICE DEPARTMENT

Background

Charleston is located in Coles County and has a population close to 22,000 residents. Charleston also is home to Eastern Illinois University which has a student population of more than 12,000. The student population comprises more than one-third of the population in the city of Charleston during the school year. County highways 130 and 16 pass through Charleston.

Considering that Eastern Illinois University's student population comprises more than one-third of the total population of the city during the school year, the population of those in their late teens and early twenties is overrepresented. Those who are in their late teens and early twenties tend to exhibit more reckless driving behaviors. Along these lines, in recent year, there has been a growing issue with the total of alcohol-related driving incidents. The overall traffic crashes have risen from 447 in 2007 to 482 in 2009.

To combat the issue of alcohol-related driving, the city of Charleston requested funding for a LAP grant for FY11. These grant funds were devoted to increasing the public information and education discussing the negative effects of drinking and driving. Also, this grant allowed the Charleston Police Department a chance to put extra patrols on the streets to combat this issue.

The project expenditures for the Charleston LAP project (Project #AL1-1010-247) for the first year of funding was \$13,576.96. Total personnel costs for this grant was \$11,711.94.

Police Services

The Charleston Police Department currently has approximately 33 sworn police officers of which 25 are dedicated to street patrols. All officers are equipped with video squad cars and PBT's (portable breath testers).

Project Evaluation

The Charleston project included an enforcement component, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows (also refer to **Table 3**):

Objective 1: The Charleston Police Department was required to conduct an average of 18 hours of DUI patrol per week.

Accomplishment: *The Charleston Police Department failed to meet this objective by averaging 8.9 patrol hours per week (the sum of 250 patrol hours and 59 DUI processing hours) during FY11.*

Objective 2: The Charleston Police Department was required to make one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Charleston Police Department met this objective by making one motorist contact for every 59.1 minutes of patrol (250 patrol hours divided by 254 total citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Charleston Police Department was required to make one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.

Accomplishment: *The Charleston Police Department met this objective by averaging one DUI arrest for every 8.3 patrol hours (250 patrol hours divided by 30 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Charleston Police Department was required to make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.

Accomplishment: *The Charleston Police Department just failed to meet this objective by averaging one alcohol-related contact for every 6.4 patrol hours (250 patrol hours divided by the sum of 30 DUI arrests, 6 illegal transportation citations, and 3 other alcohol-related citations).*

Objective 5: The Charleston Police Department was required to maintain a DUI processing time of no more than 2 hours.

Accomplishment: *The Charleston Police Department met this objective by averaging 2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest (59 DUI processing hours divided by 30 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The Charleston Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2011 to provide extra funding for enhanced enforcement with a focus on alcohol-related violations.

The Charleston Police Department was required to meet five objectives: average 18 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 hours of patrol, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of 2 hours or better. The Charleston Police Department met three of the five objectives.

The three objectives the Charleston Police Department met were the DUI arrest rate objective, the motorist contact rate objective, and the DUI processing time objective. The department averaged 8.3 patrol hours for every DUI arrest. The department also met the motorist contact rate objective by issuing one citation for every 59.1 minutes of patrol. The department met the DUI processing time objective by taking exactly two hours to process each DUI arrest.

The two objectives the Charleston Police Department failed to meet were the alcohol-related contact rate objective and the average weekly patrol hours objective. The Charleston Police Department only made one alcohol-related contact for every 6.4 patrol hours. Furthermore, the Charleston Police Department failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective by averaging 8.9 patrol hours per week.

Table 3: Charleston LAP Project Summary Data

FY11 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Charleston
GRANT # AL1-1010-247

FROM: Oct. 1, 2010
TO: Sept. 30, 2011

TYPE OF CITATION	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING		0	0	1		0	0	1	0		0		2
2. FAIL TO YIELD		1	0	0		0	0	0	0		0		1
3. FAIL TO STOP		1	0	0		0	0	0	1		1		3
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL		1	1	1		0	0	0	0		1		4
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE		0	0	1		0	0	0	0		0		1
6. IMPROPER LANE USE		3	2	2		3	1	1	1		4		17
7. DUI		7	2	4		5	4	3	1		4		30
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION		1	2	2		0	1	0	0		0		6
9. SWORN REPORT		0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0		0
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.		8	2	3		4	7	4	3		9		40
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL		22	9	14		12	13	9	6		19		104
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.		0	0	0		0	1	1	0		1		3
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION		8	4	5		1	0	7	13		11		49
14. CHILD RESTRAINT		0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0		0
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS		22	8	13		12	7	16	11		9		98
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL		30	12	18		13	8	24	24		21		150
17. TOTAL CITATIONS		52	21	32		25	21	33	30		40		254
21. TOTAL CONTACTS		67	40	33		35	44	50	47		46		362

	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS		52	21	32		25	21	33	30		40		254
TOTAL CONTACTS		7	40	33		35	44	50	47		46		302
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS		7	2	4		5	4	3	1		4		30
TOTAL LAP HOURS		48.0	36.0	30.0		30.0	30.0	48.0	48.0		42.0		312.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS		13.0	4.0	8.0		10.0	8.0	6.0	2.0		8.0		59.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS		32.0	32.0	22.0		20.0	22.0	42.0	46.0		34.0		250.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS		3.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		3.0
BAC AVERAGE		0.11	0.15	0.18		0.20	0.15	0.20	0.13		0.15		0.1565
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)		18	16	14		0	27	28	16		11		130
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)		1	3	3		0	5	6	5		2		25

FY11 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol
Avg 18 patrol hours per week

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE 8.3 hrs.
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 6.4 hrs.
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 2.0 hrs.
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS) 59.1 mins.
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 8.9 hrs.
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 19.3 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS) 49.7 mins.
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS 38.6 hrs.
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS 31.8
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS 37.8
CITATIONS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO 0.8
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE 0.8 %

CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT (Strikeforce)

Background

The city of Chicago, the third largest city in the United States, is the hub of the transportation network in the Midwest. The city's estimated population of 2.9 million is comprised of a multi-ethnic blend of people from almost every part of the world. Chicago is a leader in commercial, cultural and economic attractions throughout the United States. The city hosts an estimated 32 million visitors each year. Chicago is also the home of the world's busiest international airport, O'Hare.

Due to the large population and influx of visitors each year, Chicago has had many traffic-related problems, including alcohol-related driving violations, speeding violations, and occupant protection violations. The fear of fatal traffic crashes caused by impaired or reckless drivers is a concern throughout all the neighborhoods of Chicago. Traditional methods that expect officers to solve this problem through routine patrol efforts are limited.

In conjunction with their present driving enforcement programs, the Chicago Police Department has had a positive impact on poor driving behaviors, but the department still needs to increase enforcement to curb alcohol-related driving behaviors.

The Chicago Police Department requested funding for a Strikeforce program for FY11. These patrols concentrated on locations throughout the city that have been identified as areas which have high DUI and alcohol-related driving violations.

The project expenditures for the Chicago LAP project (Project #AL1-1051-235) for the seventeenth year of funding was \$502,807.98. Total personnel costs for this grant was \$472,560.68.

Police Services

The Chicago Police Department currently has approximately 12,000 sworn police officers. The Chicago Police Department has a full time Traffic Section staffed with 215 sworn members and 115 Traffic Control Aides.

Project Evaluation

The Chicago Strikeforce project included an enforcement component, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows (also refer to **Table 4**):

Objective 1: The Chicago Police Department conducted the strikeforce enforcement bi-monthly on Friday nights for a minimum of 6 hours (between 7:00 P.M. and 3:00 A.M.). There were 13 officers assigned to one-man vehicles for these periods. Thus, the Chicago Police Department was required to conduct a minimum of 1,872 patrol hours during FY11.

Accomplishment: *The Chicago Police Department met this objective by conducting the 3,098 hours (the sum of 2,514 patrol hours and 584 DUI processing hours) during FY11.*

Objective 2: The Chicago Police Department was required to make one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Chicago Police Department met this objective by making one motorist contact for every 43.7 minutes of patrol (2,514 patrol hours divided by 3,454 total citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Division of Traffic Safety set the DUI performance objective as making one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.

Accomplishment: *The Chicago Police Department met this objective by averaging one DUI arrest for every 8.6 patrol hours (2,514 patrol hours divided by 292 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Chicago Police Department was required to maintain a DUI processing time of no more than 2 hours.

Accomplishment: *The Chicago Police Department met this objective by averaging 2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest (584 DUI processing hours divided by 292 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The Chicago Police Department was issued grant funding for Strikeforce Patrols for fiscal year 2011 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The Chicago Police Department was required to meet four objectives: conduct 1,872 patrol hours during FY11, maintain a motorist contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of 2 hours or less. The Chicago Police Department met all four objectives.

The Chicago Police Department managed to meet all its objectives. The department met the patrol hours objective by conducting more than 2,500 patrol hours during FY11. The department also met the motorist contact rate objective by issuing one citation for every 43.7 minutes of patrol. The Chicago Police Department actively pursued DUI offenders by making one DUI arrest for every 8.2 patrol hours. Finally, the Chicago Police Department met the DUI processing time objective by averaging two hours to process each DUI arrest.

Table 4: Chicago Strikeforce Project Summary Data

FY11 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Chicago
GRANT # AL1-1051-235

FROM: Oct. 1, 2010
TO: Sept. 30, 2011

TYPE OF CITATION	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	13	X	1	8	12	28	35	1	12	30	33	38	211
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	X	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. FAIL TO STOP	0	X	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	0	X	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	X	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	0	X	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. DUI	11	X	24	28	16	18	43	11	28	41	34	38	292
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	0	X	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. SWORN REPORT	0	X	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	83	X	0	171	164	129	233	123	191	425	206	186	1911
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	107	X	25	207	192	175	311	135	231	496	273	262	2414
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	X	0	3	0	1	1	1	1	3	2	2	14
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	2	X	20	17	29	18	42	11	16	102	26	52	335
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	2	X	3	5	1	4	1	2	4	15	8	2	47
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	27	X	138	76	69	48	94	0	0	0	100	92	644
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	31	X	161	101	99	71	138	14	21	120	136	148	1040
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	138	X	186	308	291	246	449	149	252	616	409	410	3454
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	63	X	51		130	130	194	76	81	327	141	188	1381

	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	138	X	186	308	291	246	449	149	252	616	409	410	3454
TOTAL CONTACTS	63	X	51	0	130	130	194	76	81	327	141	188	1381
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	11	X	24	28	16	18	43	11	28	41	34	38	292
TOTAL LAP HOURS	288.0	X	432.0	648.0	408.0	424.0	808.0	296.0	528.0	955.0	751.0	851.0	6389.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	22.0	X	48.0	56.0	32.0	36.0	86.0	22.0	56.0	82.0	68.0	76.0	584.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	134.0	X	180.0	268.0	178.0	186.0	334.0	140.0	226.0	422.0	134.0	312.0	2514.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	132.0	X	204.0	324.0	198.0	202.0	388.0	134.0	248.0	451.0	549.0	463.0	3293.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.22	X	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.16	0.12	0.15	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.1420
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	N/A	X	N/A	0									
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	N/A	X	N/A	0									

FY11 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.

Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest

One contact every 60 minutes of patrol

Avg 36 patrol hours per week (1,872 hour per year)

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE	8.6 hrs.
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE	8.2 hrs.
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME	2.0 hrs.
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)	43.7 mins.
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS	65.0 hrs.
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE	11.1 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)	109.2 mins.
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS	281.6 hrs.
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS	314.0
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS	125.5
CITATIONS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO	2.5
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE	6.1 %

CHICAGO HEIGHTS POLICE DEPARTMENT

Background

The city of Chicago Heights is located just south of the city of Chicago within the southern section of Cook County. It has a population of approximately 32,000 residents. Major roads located in and around the city include Lincoln Highway (U.S. Highway 30) and Interstate 394. Other highly traveled roads include Chicago Road (Route #1) and Halstead Street.

In the past, Chicago Heights has had problems with alcohol-related driving, but has been making strides to curb these behaviors. The city has been able to employ increased enforcement to focus on this issue through the use of state and federal funds. Also, the money from these grants has been used to purchase training, education, and media (such as billboards) which increase awareness of alcohol-related driving behaviors.

The Chicago Heights Police Department requested funding for a LAP grant for FY11. These patrols concentrated on locations throughout the city that had been identified as areas which had high DUI and alcohol-related driving violations, including Lincoln Highway, Chicago Road, and Halstead Street.

The total expenditures for the Chicago Heights LAP project (Project #AL1-1055-061) in the eighth year of funding was \$29,931.22. Total personnel costs for this grant was \$27,955.98.

Police Services

The Chicago Heights Police Department is presently comprised of 81 sworn personnel and 22 civilian personnel. In 2002, the department established a full-time Traffic Unit with one supervisor and two patrolmen.

Project Evaluation

The Chicago Heights LAP project included an enforcement component, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows (also refer to **Table 5**):

Objective 1: The Chicago Heights Police Department was required to conduct an average of 18 hours of DUI patrol per week.

Accomplishment: *The Chicago Heights Police Department failed to meet this objective by only averaging 13.4 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The Chicago Heights Police Department was required to maintain a contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Chicago Heights Police Department met this objective by maintaining a motorist contact rate of one for every 59.3 minutes of patrol (607.0 patrol hours divided by 614 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Chicago Heights Police Department was required to make one DUI arrest for every ten patrol hours.

Accomplishment: *The Chicago Heights Police Department met this objective by making one DUI arrest for every 10.0 patrol hours (607.0 patrol hours divided by 61 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Chicago Heights Police Department was required to make one alcohol-related contact for every six hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Chicago Heights Police Department failed to meet this objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 7.1 patrol hours (471 patrol hours divided by the sum of 45 DUI arrests, 14 illegal transportation violations, 6 sworn reports, and one other alcohol-related citation).*

Objective 5: The Chicago Heights Police Department was required to maintain a DUI processing rate of no more than two hours.

Accomplishment: *The Chicago Heights Police Department met this objective by maintaining a DUI processing time of 1.4 hours (88 DUI processing hours divided by 61 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The Chicago Heights Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2011 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The Chicago Heights Police Department was required to meet five objectives: conduct 18 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of 2 hours or less. The Chicago Heights Police Department met three of the five objectives.

The department met the motorist contact rate objective by averaging one citation issued for every 59.3 minutes of patrol. The department also met the DUI arrest rate objective by making one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours. Finally, the department met the DUI processing objective by averaging 1.4 hours to process each DUI arrest.

On the other hand, the Chicago Heights Police Department failed to meet the alcohol-related contact rate objective by only making one alcohol-related contact for every 9.8 patrol hours. Furthermore, the department did not meet the weekly patrol hours objective by only conducting an average of 13.4 patrol hours per week.

Table 5: Chicago Heights LAP Projects Summary Data

FY11 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Chicago Heights
GRANT # AL1-1055-061

FROM: Oct. 1, 2010
TO: Sept. 30, 2011

TYPE OF CITATION	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	9	4	0	12	12	25	15	16	17	5	6	8	129
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. FAIL TO STOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. DUI	3	2	0	6	6	13	10	6	4	5	2	4	61
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	10	15	0	33	14	31	18	13	10	13	8	10	175
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	22	21	1	51	32	69	43	35	31	23	16	22	366
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	19	19	0	27	0	1	0	2	0	28	7	1	104
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	6	3	0	8	0	1	0	0	0	18	6	0	42
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	12	5	1	12	15	7	7	12	5	10	7	8	101
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	37	27	1	48	15	9	7	14	5	56	20	9	248
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	59	48	2	99	47	78	50	49	36	79	36	31	614
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	24	10	4	63	47	66	49	60	44	21	17	38	443

	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	59	48	2	99	47	78	50	49	36	79	36	31	614
TOTAL CONTACTS	24	2	4	63	47	66	49	60	44	21	17	38	435
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	3	2	0	6	6	13	10	6	4	5	2	4	61
TOTAL LAP HOURS	73.0	50.0	13.0	106.0	48.0	72.0	67.0	57.0	38.0	89.0	40.0	42.0	695.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	6.0	2.0	0.0	9.0	9.0	18.0	15.0	10.0	6.0	4.0	3.0	6.0	88.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	67.0	48.0	13.0	97.0	39.0	54.0	52.0	47.0	32.0	85.0	37.0	36.0	607.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.17	0.12	0.00	0.18	0.13	0.17	0.14	0.21	0.15	0.18	0.14	0.13	0.1604
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	N/A	N/A	103	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	103
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	N/A	N/A	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3

FY11 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol
Avg 18 patrol hours per week

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE 10.0 hrs.
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 9.8 hrs.
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 1.4 hrs.
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS) 59.3 mins.
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 13.4 hrs.
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 23.8 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS) 83.7 mins.
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS 57.9 hrs.
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS 51.2
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS 36.3
CITATIONS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO 1.4
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE 21.0 %

COOK COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Background

Cook County is located in the northeastern section of Illinois. It covers an area of more than 1,000 square miles. Almost 5.3 million people live in Cook County. Chicago is the largest city in Cook County with a population of almost 2.9 million people. Major roads located in and around the county include Interstates 55, 57, 80, 88, 90, 94, 190, 290, 294, and 355. Cook County also has numerous U.S. Highways and Illinois Routes which spread throughout the county.

Driving while intoxicated has been an issue in Cook County for many years. From 2004 through 2007, more than 120 alcohol-related driving fatalities occurred per year. More than one third of the total fatalities were alcohol-related during that time period. Furthermore, of those fatalities that were alcohol-related, more than 75 percent of those fatalities were over the legal limit of .08. To combat this problem, in recent years, the Cook County Sheriff's Office has received funding for enhanced enforcement from the Division of Traffic Safety. In 2008, not only was there a decrease in total fatalities, there was also a significant decrease in the total alcohol-related fatalities. Although major strides have been made to curb this behavior in Cook County, it still is a major issue.

The Cook County Sheriff's Office requested funding for a LAP grant for FY11. These patrols concentrated on locations throughout the city that were identified as areas which have high DUI and alcohol-related driving violations.

The total expenditures for the Cook County Sheriff's Office project (Project #AL1-0016-231) in the third year of funding was \$58,138.04. Total personnel costs for this grant was \$56,106.33.

Police Services

The Cook County Sheriff's Office recently formed a DUI traffic unit comprised of three uniformed police officers whose job is to enforce traffic laws with a focus on alcohol-related driving.

Project Evaluation

The Cook County LAP project included an enforcement component, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows (also refer to **Table 6**):

Objective 1: The Cook County Sheriff's Office was required to conduct an average of 20 hours of DUI patrol per week.

Accomplishment: *The Cook County Sheriff's Office met this objective by averaging 22.6 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The Cook County Sheriff's Office was required to maintain a contact rate of one citation issued for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Cook County Sheriff's Office met this objective by maintaining a motorist contact rate of one citation issued for every 40.8 minutes of patrol (696 patrol hours divided by 1,024 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Cook County Sheriff's Office was required to make one DUI arrest for every ten patrol hours.

Accomplishment: *The Cook County Sheriff's Office met this objective by making one DUI arrest for every 4.9 patrol hours (696 patrol hours divided by 142 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Cook County Sheriff's Office was required to make one alcohol-related contact for every six patrol hours.

Accomplishment: *The Cook County Sheriff's Office met this objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 2.4 patrol hours (696 patrol hours divided by the sum of 142 DUI arrests, 33 illegal transportation violations, 97 sworn reports, and 13 other alcohol related citations).*

Objective 5: The Cook County Sheriff's Office was required to maintain a DUI processing rate of no more than two hours.

Accomplishment: *The Cook County Sheriff's Office met this objective by maintaining a DUI processing time of 2.0 hours (284 DUI processing hours divided by 142 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The Cook County Sheriff's Office was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2011 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The Cook County Sheriff's Office was required to meet five objectives: conduct 20 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every ten patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every six patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of two hours or less. The Cook County Sheriff's Office met all five objectives.

The department met the motorist contact rate objective by making one contact for every 40.8 minutes of patrol. Also, the department provided a strong effort pursuing DUI offenders and other alcohol-related violations. The department averaged one DUI arrest for every 4.9 patrol hours and one alcohol-related contact for every 2.4 patrol hours. The department met the DUI processing time objective by averaging 2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest. The department also met the weekly patrol hours objective by averaging 22.6 patrol hours per week.

Table 6: Cook County LAP Projects Summary Data

FY11 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Cook County
GRANT # AL1-0016-231

FROM: Oct. 1, 2010
TO: Sept. 30, 2011

TYPE OF CITATION	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING			4	11	5	12	17	21	13	4	4	8	99
2. FAIL TO YIELD			1	0	0	2	1	5	4	3	2	1	19
3. FAIL TO STOP			0	0	0	4	0	1	0	5	4	0	14
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL			0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	2	7
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE			0	0	0	0	2	2	1	3	1	1	10
6. IMPROPER LANE USE			4	7	9	11	6	8	10	10	7	12	84
7. DUI			3	14	9	17	10	17	20	22	14	16	142
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION			1	6	3	4	3	5	4	4	1	2	33
9. SWORN REPORT			2	12	7	11	8	14	16	13	7	7	97
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.			6	19	12	23	16	24	21	49	25	22	217
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL			21	69	45	84	63	97	91	115	66	71	722
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.			0	1	1	1	2	0	3	1	3	1	13
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION			0	2	0	1	5	4	1	0	1	0	14
14. CHILD RESTRAINT			0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS			4	22	13	34	40	31	37	32	26	35	274
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL			4	26	14	36	47	35	41	33	30	36	302
17. TOTAL CITATIONS			25	95	59	120	110	132	132	148	96	107	1024
21. TOTAL CONTACTS			16	0	48	92	74	74	82	181	142	200	909

	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS			25	95	59	120	110	132	132	148	96	107	1024
TOTAL CONTACTS			16	0	48	92	74	74	82	181	142	200	909
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS			3	14	9	17	10	17	20	22	14	16	142
TOTAL LAP HOURS			23.0	79.0	62.0	116.0	69.0	119.0	107.0	155.0	130.0	120.0	980.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS			6.0	28.0	18.0	34.0	20.0	34.0	40.0	44.0	28.0	32.0	284.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS			17.0	51.0	44.0	82.0	49.0	85.0	67.0	111.0	102.0	88.0	696.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BAC AVERAGE			0.00	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.16	0.17	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.1461
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

FY11 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol
Avg 20 patrol hours per week

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE 4.9 hrs.
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 2.4 hrs.
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 2.0 hrs.
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS) 40.8 mins.
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 22.6 hrs.
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 1.5 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS) 45.9 mins.
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS 98.0 hrs.
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS 102.4
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS 90.9
CITATIONS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO 1.1
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE 9.7 %

DECATUR POLICE DEPARTMENT

Background

Decatur is located within Macon County and is home to 76,199 residents. Not only is it the largest city within Macon County, it is also the county seat. State Routes 36 and 20, as well as Interstate 72, run through or around Decatur. Millikin University is located within Decatur. Decatur is also home to the corporate headquarters of Archer Daniels Midland Company, one of the largest agribusinesses in the world.

Decatur has experienced a growing number of DUI incidents in recent years. The number of DUI arrests has increased from 86 in 2005 to 646 in 2008. Due to the many responsibilities of the Decatur Police Department, it has been difficult for the Department to continually address the growing trend of alcohol-related traffic incidents.

As a means to combat the increasing trend of alcohol-related traffic incidents, the Decatur Police Department requested funding for a LAP grant, which would allow them to specifically devote money to one officer specifically trained in DUI enforcement techniques.

The total expenditures for the Decatur LAP project (Project #AL1-1410-171) in its first year of funding was \$93,332.26. Total personnel costs for this grant was \$88,282.26.

Police Services

The Decatur Police Department employs 164 sworn officers and has 27 marked squad cars and one marked transport unit. One hundred and two of the officers are assigned to the Patrol Division. One certified DUI officer will be assigned to the LAP grant for enhanced DUI enforcement.

Project Evaluation

The Decatur LAP project included an enforcement component, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows (also refer to **Table 7**):

Objective 1: The Decatur Police Department was required to conduct an average of 24 hours of DUI patrol per week.

Accomplishment: *The Decatur Police Department met this objective by averaging 24.9 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The Decatur Police Department was required to maintain a contact rate of one citation issued for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Decatur Police Department failed to meet this objective by issuing one citation for every 97.8 minutes of patrol (1,214 patrol hours divided by 745 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Decatur Police Department was required to make one DUI arrest for every ten hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Decatur Police Department failed to meet this objective by making one DUI arrest for every 10.6 patrol hours (1,214.0 patrol hours divided by 115 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Decatur Police Department was required to make one alcohol-related contact for every six hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Decatur Police Department failed to meet this objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 8.3 patrol hours (1,214 patrol hours divided by the sum of 115 DUI arrests, 19 illegal transportation violations, 3 sworn reports, and 10 other alcohol-related citations).*

Objective 5: The Decatur Police Department was required to maintain a DUI processing rate of no more than two hours.

Accomplishment: *The Decatur Police Department met this objective by maintaining a DUI processing time of 0.7 hour (284 DUI processing hours divided by 142 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The Decatur Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2011 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The Decatur Police Department was required to meet five objectives: conduct 24 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 hours of patrol, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of 2 hours or less. The Decatur Police Department met two of the five objectives.

The department met the weekly patrol hours and the DUI processing time objectives. The department averaged 24.9 patrol hours per week. Also, the department met the DUI processing time objective by only taking 0.7 hour to process each DUI arrest.

On the other hand, the department failed to meet the motorist contact rate objective, the DUI arrest rate objective, and the alcohol-related contact rate objective. The department failed to meet the motorist contact rate objective by only issuing one citation for every 97.8 minutes of patrol. The department failed to meet the DUI arrest rate objective by only making one DUI arrest for every 10.6 patrol hours. Finally, the department failed to meet the alcohol-related contact rate objective by only making one alcohol-related contact for every 8.3 patrol hours.

Table 7: Decatur LAP Projects Summary Data

FY11 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Decatur
GRANT # AL1-1410-171

FROM: Oct. 1, 2010
TO: Sept. 30, 2011

TYPE OF CITATION	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	7	6	4	5	13	14	4	10	14	7	4	4	92
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
3. FAIL TO STOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	1	1	0	7
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	0	1	1	1	1	4	0	1	0	2	6	1	18
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	5	1	4	4	8	8	6	4	5	10	8	2	65
7. DUI	15	2	8	14	13	16	6	9	10	12	8	2	115
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	1	1	0	0	2	4	2	3	1	2	2	1	19
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	3
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	11	3	4	11	14	28	12	16	24	20	30	18	191
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	39	14	21	35	51	74	31	46	59	54	61	28	513
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	0	3	0	0	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	10
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	2	0	1	7	5	11	6	4	7	3	3	0	49
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	15	9	12	13	20	24	12	14	14	19	14	7	173
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	17	9	16	20	25	39	20	19	21	22	17	7	232
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	56	23	37	55	76	113	51	65	80	76	78	35	745
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	42	28	26	46	45	72	26	30	43	39	40	29	466

	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	56	23	37	55	76	113	51	65	80	76	78	35	745
TOTAL CONTACTS	42	2	26	46	45	72	26	30	43	39	40	29	440
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	15	2	8	14	13	16	6	9	10	12	8	2	115
TOTAL LAP HOURS	115.0	64.0	80.0	160.0	112.0	166.0	55.0	109.0	144.0	125.0	150.0	90.0	1370.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	9.0	1.0	6.0	28.0	3.0	7.0	2.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	8.0	1.0	78.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	104.0	63.0	74.0	132.0	99.0	149.0	50.0	92.0	133.0	117.0	125.0	76.0	1214.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	3.0	13.0	7.0	3.0	17.0	13.0	78.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.13	0.21	0.14	0.14	0.16	0.16	0.14	0.12	0.16	0.14	0.17	0.00	0.1450
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	216	195	258	263	251	229	217	222	288	230	240	224	2833
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	36	43	41	29	28	44	41	46	48	42	48	42	488

FY11 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol
Avg 24 patrol hours per week

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE 10.6 hrs.
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 8.3 hrs.
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 0.7 hrs.
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS) 97.8 mins.
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 24.9 hrs.
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 6.6 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS) 165.5 mins.
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS 107.7 hrs.
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS 62.1
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS 36.7
CITATIONS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO 1.7
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE 12.3 %

EAST PEORIA POLICE DEPARTMENT

Background

East Peoria is located in Tazewell County and is home to more than 23,000 residents. Located just across the Illinois River from downtown Peoria, East Peoria has many businesses including Caterpillar facilities, a riverboat casino, Illinois Central College, and a community college. Major roadways through and around East Peoria include Interstate 74 and U.S. Route 150.

Due to the large amount of nighttime entertainment amenities in and around East Peoria, there has been a growing issue with the number of alcohol-related driving incidents. Since the East Peoria Police Department does not have a dedicated traffic enforcement unit, it has been difficult for the department to deal with the growing issue of alcohol-related driving incidents. This lack of dedicated traffic enforcement has allowed the problem to not only subsist, but gradually worsen.

As a means to combat this issue, the East Peoria Police Department requested funding for enhanced DUI enforcement through the LAP program. This program provided the East Peoria Police Department the necessary resources to tackle the issue of alcohol-related driving behaviors. Also, through this grant, the East Peoria Police Department was able to provide more public information and education on the dangers of alcohol-related driving to local businesses.

The total expenditures for the East Peoria LAP project (Project #AL1-1650-115) in its second year of funding was \$27,867.35. Total personnel costs for this grant was \$24,479.85.

Police Services

The East Peoria Police Department employs 42 sworn officers. There are 34 squad cars provided to officers living within the city limits and 5 pool cars for backup or general department use.

Project Evaluation

The East Peoria LAP project included an enforcement component, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows (also refer to **Table 8**):

Objective 1: The East Peoria Police Department was required to conduct 560 patrol hours throughout the year across eight campaigns. The department was required to average approximately 17.5 patrol hours per week.

Accomplishment: *The East Peoria Police Department failed to meet this objective by only averaging 13.9 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The East Peoria Police Department was required to maintain a contact rate of one citation issued for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The East Peoria Police Department met this objective by maintaining a motorist contact rate of one citation issued for every 56.0 minutes of patrol (430 patrol hours divided by 461 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The East Peoria Police Department was required to make one DUI arrest for every ten hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The East Peoria Police Department failed to meet this objective by making one DUI arrest for every 15.9 patrol hours (430 patrol hours divided by 27 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The East Peoria Police Department was required to make one alcohol-related contact for every six hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The East Peoria Police Department failed to meet this objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 11.6 patrol hours (430 patrol hours divided by the sum of 27 DUI arrests, 5 illegal transportation violations, 2 sworn reports, and 3 other alcohol-related citations).*

Objective 5: The East Peoria Police Department was required to maintain a DUI processing rate of no more than two hours.

Accomplishment: *The East Peoria Police Department met this objective by maintaining a DUI processing time of 1.9 hours (50 DUI processing hours divided by 27 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The East Peoria Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2011 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The East Peoria Police Department was required to meet five objectives: conduct 17.5 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 hours of patrol, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 hours of patrol, and maintain a DUI processing time of 2 hours or less. The East Peoria Police Department met two of the five objectives.

The department met the motorist contact rate objective by issuing one citation for every 56.0 minutes of patrol. Also, the department met the DUI processing time objective by averaging 1.9 hours to process each DUI arrest.

On the other hand, the department failed to meet three of the objectives. The department failed to meet the DUI arrest rate objective by only making one DUI arrest for every 15.9 patrol hours. Similarly, the department failed to meet the alcohol-related contact rate objective by only making one alcohol-related contact for every 11.6 patrol hours. Finally, the department failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective by only conducting 13.9 patrol hours per week.

Table 8: East Peoria LAP Projects Summary Data

FY11 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: East Peoria
GRANT # AL1-1650-115

FROM: Oct. 1, 2010
TO: Sept. 30, 2011

TYPE OF CITATION	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING		23	8	9		21	22	19	13		20		135
2. FAIL TO YIELD		0	0	0		1	0	1	1		1		4
3. FAIL TO STOP		1	3	0		2	0	2	1		0		9
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL		5	1	2		2	4	0	2		0		16
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE		0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0		0
6. IMPROPER LANE USE		2	3	2		4	1	0	3		2		17
7. DUI		5	3	3		2	2	3	3		6		27
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION		0	0	0		4	0	0	1		0		5
9. SWORN REPORT		0	0	0		1	0	0	1		0		2
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.		6	6	7		11	8	11	3		5		57
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL		42	24	23		48	37	36	28		34		272
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.		0	0	1		0	1	0	1		0		3
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION		8	0	0		3	2	2	0		0		15
14. CHILD RESTRAINT		0	0	2		0	0	0	2		1		5
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS		21	13	8		28	26	25	26		19		166
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL		29	13	11		31	29	27	29		20		189
17. TOTAL CITATIONS		71	37	34		79	66	63	57		54		461
21. TOTAL CONTACTS		64	29	20		78	78	77	60		76		482

	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS		71	37	34		79	66	63	57		54		461
TOTAL CONTACTS		5	29	20		78	78	77	60		76		423
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS		5	3	3		2	2	3	3		6		27
TOTAL LAP HOURS		60.0	36.0	20.0		72.0	71.0	75.0	70.0		76.0		480.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS		9.0	5.0	6.0		4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0		13.0		50.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS		51.0	31.0	14.0		68.0	67.0	71.0	65.0		63.0		430.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
BAC AVERAGE		0.11	0.23	0.10		0.13	0.13	0.00	0.26		0.11		0.1298
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)		93	102	75		48	26	93	65		74		576
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)		21	16	15		9	2	12	13		8		96

FY11 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol
Avg 17.5 patrol hours per week

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE 15.9 hrs.
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 11.6 hrs.
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 1.9 hrs.
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS) 56.0 mins.
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 13.9 hrs.
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 4.3 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS) 61.0 mins.
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS 60.0 hrs.
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS 57.6
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS 52.9
CITATIONS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO 1.1
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE 29.3 %

ELGIN POLICE DEPARTMENT

Background

The city of Elgin is the eighth largest city in Illinois with a population of 108,000. It is partially located in Cook County, the largest county in the state, and Kane County, the fifth largest county in the state. State Routes 31, 25 and 20, and Interstate 90 run through the city of Elgin. Being located in the northwest suburbs of Chicago, the city is host to several large-scale special events throughout the year.

Since 1995, the city of Elgin has been experiencing a growth in population, as well as an expansion of the city limits. With a large influx of people traveling in and out of the area, the roadways are very congested. This leads to a larger number of traffic violations and traffic crashes.

The city of Elgin has also had problems with alcohol-related violations and crashes. In the last eight years, twenty of the fifty-three traffic fatalities were alcohol-related. As recently as 2007/2008, the department made 207 DUI arrests. The city of Elgin is trying to combat these problems through increased enforcement and educational campaigns focusing on traffic safety.

The total expenditures for the Elgin LAP project (Project #AL1-1720-085) in its fifth year of funding was \$97,396. Total personnel costs for this grant was \$87,904.

Police Services

The Elgin Police Department employs 189 sworn officers and has 68 marked squad cars. Four of these officers are assigned to the Traffic Investigations Unit. Each of the four officers has been certified in the use and calibration of radar/laser detectors, installation and use of child safety seats, teaching seat belt courses and public speaking on drinking and driving.

Project Evaluation

The Elgin LAP project included an enforcement component, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows (also refer to **Table 9**):

Objective 1: The Elgin Police Department was required to conduct an average of 30 hours of DUI patrol per week.

Accomplishment: *The Elgin Police Department failed to meet this objective by only averaging 25.7 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The Elgin Police Department was required to maintain a contact rate of one citation issued for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Elgin Police Department met this objective by maintaining a motorist contact rate of one citation issued for every 22.2 minutes of patrol (887.8 patrol hours divided by 2,394 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Elgin Police Department was required to make one DUI arrest for every ten hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Elgin Police Department met this objective by making one DUI arrest for every 5.1 patrol hours (887.8 patrol hours divided by 175 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Elgin Police Department was required to make one alcohol-related contact for every six hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Elgin Police Department met this objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 3.8 patrol hours (887.8 patrol hours divided by the sum of 175 DUI arrests, 32 illegal transportation violations, 3 sworn reports, and 22 other alcohol-related citations).*

Objective 5: The Elgin Police Department was required to maintain a DUI processing rate of no more than two hours.

Accomplishment: *The Elgin Police Department met this objective by maintaining a DUI processing time of 1.9 hours (337 DUI processing hours divided by 175 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The Elgin Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2011 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The Elgin Police Department was required to meet five objectives: conduct 30 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of 2 hours or less. The Elgin Police Department met four of the five objectives.

The department met the motorist contact rate objective by issuing one citation for every 22.2 minutes of patrol. Also, the department provided a strong effort pursuing DUI offenders and other alcohol-related violations. The department averaged one DUI arrest for every 5.1 patrol hours and one alcohol-related contact for every 3.8 patrol hours. Also, the department maintained a low DUI processing time by averaging 1.9 hours to process each DUI arrest.

The department failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective by only averaging 25.7 patrol hours per week.

Table 9: Elgin LAP Projects Summary Data

FY11 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Elgin
GRANT # AL1-1720-085

FROM: Oct. 1, 2010
TO: Sept. 30, 2011

TYPE OF CITATION	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	0	0	15	26	32	20	27	34	54	74	60	66	408
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	0	1	4	1	5	0	1	0	1	2	1	16
3. FAIL TO STOP	0	0	4	0	2	1	2	0	0	1	3	2	15
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	0	0	4	22	16	13	22	23	34	29	10	14	187
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	3	3	21	43	41	46	48	49	43	43	36	29	402
7. DUI	6	6	9	15	18	18	25	21	15	13	18	17	175
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	1	1	5	4	5	3	2	0	0	5	3	4	32
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	0	0	2	25	9	38	29	31	27	31	31	15	238
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	10	10	62	139	124	145	157	159	173	198	163	148	1478
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	0	0	0	5	5	1	1	1	4	4	1	22
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	0	0	0	2	2	7	4	8	8	0	0	0	31
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	1	6
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	21	21	45	60	119	52	72	129	61	81	71	146	857
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	21	21	45	62	126	64	79	140	71	85	75	148	916
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	31	31	107	201	250	209	236	299	244	283	238	296	2394
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	4	4	74	143	119	166	109	91	134	134	127	141	1242

	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	31	31	107	201	250	209	236	299	244	283	238	296	2394
TOTAL CONTACTS	4	4	74	143	119	166	109	91	134	134	127	141	1242
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	6	6	9	15	18	18	25	21	15	13	18	17	175
TOTAL LAP HOURS	39.8	39.8	72.0	125.0	122.0	136.0	128.0	194.0	137.0	150.0	150.0	199.0	1452.8
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	5.0	5.0	20.0	30.0	36.0	36.0	50.0	36.0	30.0	24.0	36.0	34.0	337.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	34.8	34.8	42.0	82.0	74.0	90.0	63.0	117.0	95.0	112.0	82.0	96.0	887.8
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	0.0	0.0	10.0	13.0	12.0	10.0	15.0	41.0	12.0	14.0	32.0	69.0	228.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.14	0.19	0.15	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.1609
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	222	222	7	201	164	125	0	0	0	0	0	0	719
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	29	29	2	29	53	21	0	0	0	0	9	0	143

FY11 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol
Avg 30 patrol hours per week

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE 5.1 hrs.
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 3.8 hrs.
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 1.9 hrs.
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS) 22.2 mins.
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 25.7 hrs.
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 1.5 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS) 42.9 mins.
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS 111.3 hrs.
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS 217.6
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS 112.9
CITATIONS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO 1.9
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE 17.0 %

MACON COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Background

Macon County is located in central Illinois just east of the state capital, Springfield. Macon County encompasses 650 square miles and houses a population of more than 110,000. The largest city in Macon County is Decatur with a population of more than 85,000.

Macon County has had problems with alcohol-related violations and crashes. In 2008, the Macon County Sheriff's Office issued 266 DUI citations and 102 citations for illegal transportation of alcohol. To complicate this issue, there are 226 establishments throughout Macon County which have liquor licenses. The Macon County Sheriff's Office is currently only able to fund four deputies for full-time traffic enforcement. Due to the limited number of deputies that are dedicated to traffic enforcement and the amount of establishments with liquor licenses spread out across the county, it makes it very difficult for these deputies to pursue alcohol-related driving citations.

To alleviate the issue of alcohol-related driving in Macon County, the Macon County Sheriff's Office requested funding for a local alcohol project (LAP) grant so they would be able to devote more time and effort to deterring alcohol-related driving behaviors.

The Macon County Sheriff's Office was awarded a LAP grant. This grant allowed the department to expend more resources to deal with the high prevalence of alcohol-related driving within the county.

The total expenditures for the Macon County LAP project (Project #AL1-0058-212) in its fourth year of funding was \$80,927.12. Total personnel costs for this grant was \$67,851.97.

Police Services

The Macon County Sheriff's Office employs 50 deputies and 18 marked vehicles. Four of these officers are assigned to the traffic patrol unit. The patrol squads are equipped with in-car video cameras and PBT's. Along with these four officers, the LAP grant will allow the Macon County Sheriff's Office to assign a full-time experienced officer in the enforcement of alcohol traffic laws. Furthermore, it will increase the total departmental uniformed patrols to complement the traffic patrol unit.

Project Evaluation

The Macon County LAP project included an enforcement component, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows (also refer to **Table 10**):

Objective 1: The Macon County Sheriff's Office was required to conduct an average of 35 hours of DUI patrol per week.

Accomplishment: *The Macon County Sheriff's Office met this objective by averaging 36.5 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The Macon County Sheriff's Office was required to maintain a contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Macon County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by maintaining a motorist contact rate of one for every 72.2 minutes of patrol (1,674 patrol hours divided by 1,392 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Macon County Sheriff's Office was required to make one DUI arrest for every ten patrol hours.

Accomplishment: *The Macon County Sheriff's Office just failed to meet this objective by making only one DUI arrest for every 11.4 patrol hours (1,674 patrol hours divided by 147 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Macon County Sheriff's Office was required to maintain a DUI processing rate of no more than two hours.

Accomplishment: *The Macon County Sheriff's Office met this objective by maintaining a DUI processing time of 1.5 hours (222 DUI processing hours divided by 147 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The Macon County Sheriff's Office was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2011 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The Macon County Sheriff's Office was required to meet four objectives: conduct 35 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of one citation issued for every 60 minutes of patrol, make one DUI arrest for every 10 hours of patrol, and maintain a DUI processing time of no more than two hours. The Macon County Sheriff's Office met two of the four objectives.

The Macon County Sheriff's Office met the weekly patrol hours objective and the DUI processing time objective. The Macon County Sheriff's Office met the weekly patrol hours objective by averaging 36.5 patrol hours per week. Also, the sheriff's office met the average DUI processing time objective by averaging 1.5 hours to process each DUI arrest.

On the other hand, the sheriff's office failed to meet the DUI arrest rate objective and the motorist contact rate objective. The sheriff's office failed to meet the DUI arrest rate objective by making one DUI arrest for every 11.7 patrol hours. Finally, the sheriff's office failed to meet the motorist contact rate objective by only issuing one citation for every 72.2 minutes of patrol.

Table 10: Macon County LAP Projects Summary Data

FY11 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Macon County
GRANT # OP1-0058-212

FROM: Oct. 1, 2010
TO: Sept. 30, 2011

TYPE OF CITATION	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	8	4	3	7	8	7	6	15	11	6	9	10	94
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. FAIL TO STOP	0	2	2	0	0	3	0	0	2	2	0	4	15
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	3	0	3	3	1	3	4	3	3	3	2	0	28
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	7	10	4	5	2	9	5	4	5	2	4	1	58
7. DUI	23	12	11	17	8	12	8	11	16	7	8	14	147
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	3	5	2	10	4	7	2	5	10	3	5	6	62
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	20	6	6	6	6	10	16	6	6	3	6	18	109
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	64	39	32	48	29	51	42	44	53	26	34	53	515
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	6	2	2	3	5	5	4	9	5	4	4	9	58
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	10	5	13	10	6	7	9	30	17	4	11	16	138
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	2	1	10	2	23
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	68	46	54	59	32	54	37	71	55	57	51	74	658
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	85	53	69	72	43	72	50	111	79	66	76	101	877
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	149	92	101	120	72	123	92	155	132	92	110	154	1392
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	100	73	73	106	92	134	122	158	149	113	127	150	1397

	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	149	92	101	120	72	123	92	155	132	92	110	154	1392
TOTAL CONTACTS	100	12	73	106	92	134	122	158	149	113	127	150	1336
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	23	12	11	17	8	12	8	11	16	7	8	14	147
TOTAL LAP HOURS	224.0	192.0	168.0	184.0	160.0	173.0	196.0	184.0	176.0	152.0	200.0	184.0	2193.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	30.0	24.0	12.0	22.0	12.0	18.0	16.0	18.0	32.0	14.0	10.0	14.0	222.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	180.0	136.0	156.0	162.0	148.0	131.0	148.0	124.0	120.0	98.0	120.0	151.0	1674.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	14.0	32.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.0	32.0	42.0	22.0	40.0	70.0	19.0	295.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.21	0.19	0.19	0.22	0.14	0.19	0.15	0.26	0.19	0.20	0.14	0.19	0.1951
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	225	195	267	258	207	140	168	142	182	169	177	185	2315
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	48	52	54	43	41	46	43	50	56	35	50	48	566

FY11 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.

Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest

One contact every 60 minutes of patrol

Avg 35 patrol hours per week

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE	11.4 hrs.
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE	6.2 hrs.
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME	1.5 hrs.
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)	72.2 mins.
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS	36.5 hrs.
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE	11.6 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)	75.2 mins.
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS	158.0 hrs.
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS	116.0
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS	111.3
CITATIONS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO	1.0
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE	6.8 %

SANGAMON COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Background

Sangamon County is situated in central Illinois encompassing 879 square miles and has a population of approximately 195,000. The largest municipality in Sangamon County is the City of Springfield having a population of 118,000. Springfield is the state capital as well as the county seat. Sangamon County includes twenty-three other towns/villages within its boundaries.

Driving while impaired (either under the influence of alcohol or drugs) has been a problem in Sangamon County. Springfield and Sangamon County host several events that increase the traffic flow tremendously. During these events, additional problems with drinking and driving occur. To highlight this issue, during FY09, the Sangamon County Sheriff's Office handled 2 DUI related fatalities, 35 alcohol-related personal injury crashes, and 23 alcohol-related property crashes. To combat this problem the Sangamon County Sheriff's Office requested funding for a LAP grant for FY11.

The total expenditures for the Sangamon County LAP project (Project #AL1-0084-259) in the fifteenth year of funding was \$95,081.27. Personnel costs for this grant was \$86,845.36.

Police Services

The Sangamon County Sheriff's Department has a total of 71 deputies. Fifty-seven deputies are assigned to the Patrol Division with general police responsibilities.

Project Evaluation

The Sangamon County LAP included enforcement components, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows (also refer to **Table 11**):

Objective 1: The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office was required to conduct approximately 1,872 hours of actual patrol by sheriff deputies for enhanced DUI, safety belt, and child passenger restraint enforcement.

Accomplishment: *The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by only conducting 988.5 patrol hours (737.8 actual patrol hours plus 250.8 DUI processing hours).*

Objective 2: The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office was required to make a minimum of one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by making one contact for every 76.1 minutes of patrol (737.8 actual patrol hours divided by 582 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office was required to make one DUI arrest for every ten hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office met this objective by making one DUI arrest for every 5.1 patrol hours (737.8 patrol hours divided by 144 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office was required to average a DUI processing time of no more than two hours for each DUI arrest.

Accomplishment: *The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office met this objective by averaging 1.7 hours to process each DUI arrest (250.8 DUI processing hours divided by 144 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2011 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The sheriff's office was required to meet four objectives: conduct 1,872 patrol hours throughout the year, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of no more than two hours. The sheriff's office met two of the four objectives.

The two objectives the Sangamon County Sheriff's Office met were the DUI arrest rate objective and the DUI processing time objective. The sheriff's office met the DUI arrest rate objective by averaging one DUI arrest for every 5.1 patrol hours. Also, the sheriff's office met the DUI processing time objective by taking 1.7 hours to process each DUI arrest.

The two objectives the sheriff's office failed to meet were the weekly patrol hours objective and the motorist contact rate objective. The sheriff's office failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective by only conducting 19.0 patrol hours per week. Finally, the sheriff's office failed to meet the motorist contact rate objective by only issuing one citation for every 76.1 minutes of patrol.

Table 11: Sangamon County LAP Project Summary Data

FY11 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Sangamon County
GRANT # AL1-0084-259

FROM: Oct. 1, 2010
TO: Sept. 30, 2011

TYPE OF CITATION	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	8	18	6	6	7	17	8	0	1	0	2	1	74
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. FAIL TO STOP	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	1	1	2	4	1	0	2	0	2	4	2	0	19
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	3	0	3	3	5	8	5	0	7	16	9	8	67
7. DUI	6	4	9	5	8	6	9	14	22	25	19	17	144
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	2	0	1	2	5	0	4	4	1	1	0	3	23
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	7	3	4	5	5	3	3	5	6	2	10	2	55
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	28	26	25	25	31	34	31	23	39	49	42	31	384
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	4	0	2	1	0	0	1	3	4	0	2	2	19
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	4
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	21	15	22	24	18	16	8	14	13	8	6	10	175
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	25	16	25	25	18	16	9	18	17	8	8	13	198
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	53	42	50	50	49	50	40	41	56	57	50	44	582
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	74	44	62	64	52	61	29	52	46	58	46	27	615

	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	53	42	50	50	49	50	40	41	56	57	50	44	582
TOTAL CONTACTS	74	44	62	64	52	61	29	52	46	58	46	27	615
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	6	4	9	5	8	6	9	14	22	25	19	17	144
TOTAL LAP HOURS	156.0	128.0	159.5	130.0	144.0	151.5	115.0	81.0	124.0	138.0	89.0	55.0	1471.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	12.0	8.0	15.3	7.5	14.0	10.0	15.0	31.0	35.0	50.0	38.0	15.0	250.8
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	86.0	64.0	80.5	73.5	80.0	81.8	51.0	36.0	63.0	58.0	33.0	31.0	737.8
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	58.0	56.0	63.8	48.5	50.0	59.8	49.0	14.0	26.0	30.0	18.0	9.0	482.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.15	0.18	0.14	0.20	0.17	0.18	0.12	0.17	0.13	0.12	0.10	0.16	0.1402
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	73	80	80	60	58	43	50	21	43	31	40	58	637
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	14	17	11	11	4	9	9	9	9	9	18	27	147

FY11 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.

Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest

One contact every 60 minutes of patrol

Avg 36 patrol hours per week

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE	5.1 hrs.
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE	4.0 hrs.
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME	1.7 hrs.
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)	76.1 mins.
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS	19.0 hrs.
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE	0.7 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)	77.0 mins.
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS	82.4 hrs.
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS	48.5
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS	47.9
CITATIONS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO	1.0
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE	12.7 %

SKOKIE POLICE DEPARTMENT

Background

The village of Skokie is a suburb located 16 miles northwest of downtown Chicago and covers approximately 10.5 square miles of land. Based on 2010 census information, the population of Skokie is 64,784. Also, Skokie has approximately 136.2 miles of non-arterial and 42.6 miles of arterial streets including Interstate 94 (I-94).

Within recent years, the Skokie Police Department noticed that its general patrol division staff was inadequate to deal with the increasing occurrence of negative driving behaviors, including DUI and alcohol-related driving, and traffic crashes within Skokie. In the years 2007 through 2009, the village of Skokie experienced 8,910 traffic crashes. Of those crashes, eight resulted in fatalities and 938 resulted in some injury. To deal with the traffic situation, the Skokie Police Department created a Traffic Unit. This unit devotes its time and efforts on traffic crash investigation and prevention.

With the impact the Traffic Unit has had on negative driving behaviors within Skokie, the Skokie Police Department requested funding in the form of a local alcohol project (LAP) grant to provide more resources to combat the prevalence of alcohol-related driving behaviors within the village.

The Skokie Police Department has been awarded a LAP grant for FY11. This grant will allow the department to expend more resources to deal with DUI and alcohol-related driving within the village of Skokie.

The total expenditures for the Skokie LAP project (Project #AL1-5365-059) in the fifth year of funding was \$158,976.78. Total personnel costs for this grant was \$138,354.78.

Police Services

The Skokie Police Department has 109 sworn officers with 50 officers assigned to patrol duties including DUI enforcement. The Skokie Police Department has a fleet of 20 marked police vehicles.

Project Evaluation

The Skokie LAP project included an enforcement component. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows (also refer to **Table 12**):

Objective 1: The Skokie Police Department was required to schedule and conduct 32 to 40 hours of hire-back patrols, per week, concentrating on DUI enforcement mobilizations.

Accomplishment: *The Skokie Police Department met the weekly patrol hours objective by averaging 39.1 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The Skokie Police Department was required to make one contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Skokie Police Department met this objective by making one contact for every 50.0 minutes of patrol (1,866.5 patrol hours divided by 2,238 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Skokie Police Department was required to make one DUI arrest for every ten hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Skokie Police Department failed to meet this objective by making one DUI arrest for every 22.5 patrol hours (1,866.5 patrol hours divided by 83 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Skokie Police Department was required to average a DUI processing time of no more than two hours for each DUI arrest.

Accomplishment: *The Skokie Police Department met this objective by averaging 2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest (166 DUI processing hours divided by 83 DUI arrests).*

Objective 5: The Skokie Police Department was required to issue more than 30 percent of all citations for occupant protection violations.

Accomplishment: *The Skokie Police Department just failed to meet this objective by issuing 28.9 of all citations for occupant protection violations.*

Project Overview:

The Skokie Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2011 to provide extra funding to focus on specific violations, namely DUI and alcohol-related violations.

The Skokie Police Department was required to meet five objectives: conduct more than 32 patrol hours per week, make one motorist contact for every 90 minutes of patrol, make one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, maintain a DUI processing time of less than two hours, and issue more than 30 percent of all citations for occupant protection violations. The Skokie Police Department met three of the five objectives during fiscal year 2011.

The department met the weekly patrol hours objective, the motorist contact rate objective, and the DUI processing time objective. The Skokie Police Department conducted on average 39.1 patrol hours per week. The department also made one motorist contact for every 50.0 minutes of patrol. Finally, the department averaged 2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest.

On the other hand, the department failed to meet the DUI arrest rate objective and the occupant protection violation objective. The department only made one DUI arrest for every 22.5 patrol hours. The department came close to meeting the occupant protection violations objective by issuing almost 29 percent of all citations for occupant protection violations.

Table 12: Skokie LAP Project Summary Data

FY11 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Skokie
GRANT # AL1-5365-059

FROM: Oct. 1, 2010
TO: Sept. 30, 2011

TYPE OF CITATION	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	14	28	14	40	18	30	19	6	22	22	20	3	236
2. FAIL TO YIELD	1	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	9
3. FAIL TO STOP	1	4	0	2	3	1	2	0	4	0	2	1	20
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	2	7	4	9	10	4	6	1	9	4	2	1	59
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	3	6	4	12	12	12	6	5	11	5	12	2	90
7. DUI	4	10	8	10	5	12	7	6	4	11	5	1	83
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	2	5	1	9	2	3	1	3	2	2	0	0	30
9. SWORN REPORT	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	5	15	11	29	27	18	15	15	5	6	17	4	167
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	33	75	44	116	77	82	56	36	57	50	62	12	700
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	4	1	5	3	2	3	0	4	3	0	5	1	31
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	22	53	44	93	52	52	48	33	86	47	92	17	639
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	5	0	8
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	25	37	34	105	90	68	77	41	117	65	163	38	860
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	51	92	83	201	144	124	126	78	206	112	265	56	1538
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	84	167	127	317	221	206	182	114	263	162	327	68	2238
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	69	132	130	235	165	157	175	81	240	147	233	65	1829

	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	84	167	127	317	221	206	182	114	263	162	327	68	2238
TOTAL CONTACTS	69	132	130	235	165	157	175	81	240	147	233	65	1829
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	4	10	8	10	5	12	7	6	4	11	5	1	83
TOTAL LAP HOURS	103.8	175.5	170.0	291.5	207.5	225.8	199.8	124.5	275.0	192.0	326.3	72.5	2364.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	8.0	20.0	16.0	20.0	10.0	24.0	14.0	12.0	8.0	22.0	10.0	2.0	166.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	71.8	125.5	127.0	226.0	164.5	184.3	162.8	82.5	234.5	153.0	277.3	57.5	1866.5
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	24.0	30.0	27.0	45.5	32.0	17.5	23.0	30.0	32.5	17.0	39.0	13.0	330.5
BAC AVERAGE	0.00	0.17	0.13	0.11	0.17	0.15	0.17	0.15	0.11	0.13	0.20	0.00	0.1374
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	171	180	239	164	174	126	118	154	173	145	154	163	1961
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	25	34	23	27	8	19	24	23	27	23	24	37	294

FY11 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.

Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest

One contact every 60 minutes of patrol

Avg 32 patrol hours per week

30% or greater for restraint violations

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE	22.5 hrs.
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE	12.6 hrs.
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME	2.0 hrs.
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)	50.0 mins.
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS	39.1 hrs.
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE	28.9 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)	65.6 mins.
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS	169.4 hrs.
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS	186.5
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS	142.3
CITATIONS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO	1.3
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE	10.5 %

SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS POLICE DEPARTMENT

Background

The village of South Chicago Heights is a suburb located approximately 30 miles due south of downtown Chicago. Based on 2010 census information, the population of South Chicago Heights is approximately 4,000. The major roads going through or around South Chicago Heights include Route 30, Route 1 (Chicago Road), and East Sauk Trail. East Sauk Trail gets a lot of traffic because it connects Interstate 57 to Interstate 394.

The village of South Chicago Heights reviewed the crash data within their jurisdiction for a three year period. The data showed that while the total crashes had decreased during that time, the number of alcohol-related driving crashes had increased. Due to the increase in the number of negative driving behaviors, including DUI and alcohol-related driving, the village of South Chicago Heights wanted to add more enforcement efforts to combat the problem.

The village of South Chicago Heights wanted to create a task force that would focus on the negative driving behaviors, including DUI and alcohol-related driving, within the jurisdiction. The village believes that this task force will help curb the growth of these negative driving behaviors. To create this task force, the village of South Chicago Heights requested additional funding from the Illinois Department of Transportation's Division of Traffic Safety. The village requested funding through the local alcohol project (LAP) grant program. These additional funds will provide the village with more resources to combat the prevalence of alcohol-related driving behaviors within the village. The village of South Chicago Heights Police Department was awarded a LAP grant for FY11. This grant allowed the department to expend more resources to deal with DUI and alcohol-related driving within its jurisdiction.

The total expenditures for the South Chicago Heights LAP project (Project #AL1-5405-274) in the first year of funding was \$13,894.52. Total personnel costs for this grant was \$11,919.12.

Police Services

Personnel of the South Chicago Heights Police Department include the Chief of Police, the Deputy Chief, a lieutenant, a sergeant, three detectives, three juvenile officers, one accident investigator, one crime free housing officer, one evidence technician, 14 patrolmen, and six dispatchers. The village of South Chicago Heights has a fleet of eight marked police cars, 3 unmarked police cars, and two special operations vehicles.

Project Evaluation

The South Chicago Heights LAP project included an enforcement component. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows (also refer to **Table 13**):

Objective 1: The South Chicago Heights Police Department was required to schedule and conduct 16 hours of additional alcohol and DUI enforcement per week.

Accomplishment: *The South Chicago Heights Police Department failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective by only averaging 10.7 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The South Chicago Heights Police Department was required to make one contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The South Chicago Heights Police Department met this objective by making one contact for every 42.4 minutes of patrol (471 patrol hours divided by 666 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The South Chicago Heights Police Department was required to make one DUI arrest for every ten hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Skokie Police Department just failed to meet this objective by making one DUI arrest for every 10.5 patrol hours (471 patrol hours divided by 45 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The South Chicago Heights Police Department was required to make one alcohol-related contact for every six hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The South Chicago Heights Police Department failed to meet this objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 7.1 patrol hours (471 patrol hours divided by the sum of 45 DUI arrests, 14 illegal transportation citations, 6 sworn reports, and 1 other alcohol-related citation).*

Objective 5: The South Chicago Heights Police Department was required to average a DUI processing time of no more than two hours for each DUI arrest.

Accomplishment: *The South Chicago Heights Police Department met this objective by averaging 1.9 hours to process each DUI arrest (87 DUI processing hours divided by 45 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The South Chicago Heights Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2011 to provide extra funding to focus on specific violations, namely DUI and alcohol-related violations.

The South Chicago Heights Police Department was required to meet five objectives: conduct more than 16 patrol hours per week, make one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol, make one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of less than two hours. The South Chicago Heights Police Department met two of the five objectives during fiscal year 2011.

The department met the motorist contact rate objective and the DUI processing time objective. The South Chicago Heights Police Department made one motorist contact for every 42.4 minutes of patrol. Also, the department averaged 1.9 hours to process each DUI arrest.

On the other hand, the department failed to meet the alcohol-related objectives and the patrol hours objective. The department only made one DUI arrest for every 10.5 patrol hours and only made one alcohol-related contact for every 7.1 patrol hours. Also, the department failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective by averaging 10.7 patrol hours per week.

Table 13: South Chicago Heights LAP Project Summary Data

FY11 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: South Chicago Heights FROM: Oct. 1, 2010
 GRANT # AL1-5405-274 TO: Sept. 30, 2011

TYPE OF CITATION	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	0	3	1	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	11
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
3. FAIL TO STOP	1	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	8
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	1	6	2	4	2	0	0	1	3	3	1	0	23
7. DUI	2	11	2	6	5	0	2	1	5	6	4	1	45
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	0	3	3	1	1	0	0	1	2	2	1	0	14
9. SWORN REPORT	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	6
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	0	6	5	10	6	0	0	0	5	10	2	4	48
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	4	34	14	28	19	0	2	3	18	22	10	6	160
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	49	37	1	6	3	2	0	5	15	42	4	8	172
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	6	3	0	0	1	1	0	2	2	6	1	0	22
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	2	16	10	31	26	6	7	6	51	80	51	25	311
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	57	56	11	37	30	9	8	13	68	128	56	33	506
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	61	90	25	65	49	9	10	16	86	150	66	39	666
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	62	78	20	56	40	12	13	11	84	135	67	40	618

	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	61	90	25	65	49	9	10	16	86	150	66	39	666
TOTAL CONTACTS	62	11	20	56	40	12	13	11	84	135	67	40	551
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	2	11	2	6	5	0	2	1	5	6	4	1	45
TOTAL LAP HOURS	28.0	70.0	21.0	67.0	42.0	12.0	21.0	13.0	74.0	111.0	63.0	36.0	558.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	4.0	18.0	6.0	12.0	9.0	0.0	4.0	2.0	10.0	12.0	8.0	2.0	87.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	24.0	52.0	15.0	55.0	33.0	12.0	17.0	11.0	64.0	99.0	55.0	34.0	471.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.16	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.15	0.13	0.17	0.28	0.1483
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	8	12	10	8	15	8	6	14	13	6	7	6	113
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	3	2	1	5	3	1	2	1	3	1	5	4	31

FY11 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:	<u>OBJECTIVES:</u>	
One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.	DUI RATE	10.5 hrs.
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.	ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE	7.1 hrs.
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest	AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME	1.9 hrs.
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol	CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)	42.4 mins.
Avg 16 patrol hours per week	AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS	10.7 hrs.
	OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE	29.1 %
	<u>OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):</u>	
	CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)	51.3 mins.
	AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS	46.5 hrs.
	AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS	55.5
	AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS	45.9
	CITATIONS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO	1.2
	SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE	1.7 %

SPRINGFIELD POLICE DEPARTMENT

Background

The city of Springfield is centrally located within Sangamon County and has a population of approximately 117,000 residents. Springfield is the state capital as well as the county seat. The jurisdiction of Springfield spans approximately 70 square miles and more than 700 miles of paved roadways cover the city. Major roads in and around Springfield include Interstates 55 and 72 and State Routes 97 and 4. Other major roads in Springfield include Dirksen Parkway, Wabash Avenue, Stevenson Drive, and Sangamon Avenue. Many of the major attractions in Springfield include sites dedicated to Abraham Lincoln. The city also hosts many events throughout the year which bring an influx of visitors.

The city has had problems with alcohol-related driving behaviors in the past. Recently, Springfield was ranked 7th overall on the Division of Traffic Safety's over-representation list of alcohol-related crashes. From 1999 to 2001, the yearly average of alcohol-related crashes for the city was 74. As well as having a problem with alcohol-related driving behaviors, the city averages more than 1,000 hit and run crashes each year and it is presumed that a number of these are alcohol-related. Following the introduction of the LAP grant in Springfield in 2003, there was a decrease in the number of alcohol-related fatalities. Recent years have shown a slight increase in the number of alcohol-related fatalities furthering the need to continue this grant. To help the Springfield Police Department with this problem, the department has requested funding for a LAP grant for FY11.

The total expenditures for the Springfield LAP project (Project #AL1-5480-263) in the sixth year of funding was \$172,358.82. Total personnel costs for this grant was \$156,574.28

Police Services

The Springfield Police Department employs 265 officers. The fleet of vehicles includes 205 marked squad cars, 94 unmarked cars, and 18 support vehicles. There are two officers dedicated as full-time DUI officers. The Traffic Services Section has two additional officers that address complaints and patrol high-crash locations.

Project Evaluation

The Springfield LAP included enforcement components, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows (also refer to **Table 14**):

Objective 1: The Springfield Police Department was required to conduct approximately 3,000 hours of actual patrol annually or an average of 57.7 patrol hours per week in dedicated traffic/DUI, passenger and child restraint enforcement efforts.

Accomplishment: *The Springfield Police Department met this objective by averaging 59.3 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The Springfield Police Department was required to make one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Springfield Police Department failed to meet this objective by making one contact for every 179.7 minutes of patrol (2,537 patrol hours divided by 847 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Springfield Police Department was required to make one DUI arrest for every ten hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Springfield Police Department met this objective by making one DUI arrest for every 8.8 patrol hours.*

Objective 4: The Springfield Police Department was required to have a DUI processing rate of no more than two hours per DUI arrest.

Accomplishment: *The Springfield Police Department met this objective by only averaging 1.9 hours to process each DUI arrest.*

Project Overview:

The Springfield Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2011 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations. The department was required to meet four objectives: conduct 3,000 patrol hours throughout the year, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one citation issued for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of no more than two hours. The department met three of their four objectives.

During fiscal year 2011, the Springfield Police Department met three objectives. The department met the weekly patrol hours objective by conducting almost 60 patrol hours per week. Furthermore, the department met the DUI arrest rate objective by making one DUI arrest for every 8.8 patrol hours. The department also met the DUI processing objective by averaging 1.9 hours to process each DUI arrest.

The only objective the department failed to meet was the motorist contact rate objective. The department was required to issue one citation for every 60 minutes of patrol, but only issued one citation for every 179.7 minutes of patrol.

Table 14: Springfield LAP Project Summary Data

FY11 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Springfield
GRANT # AL-5840-263

FROM: Oct. 1, 2010
TO: Sept. 30, 2011

TYPE OF CITATION	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	6	17	5	1	2	2	4	2	11	18	6	4	78
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
3. FAIL TO STOP	0	6	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	1	12
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	0	1	5	0	0	1	0	2	0	3	3	1	16
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	1	3	0	1	4	3	7	3	4	3	6	3	38
7. DUI	13	27	25	23	34	34	16	17	23	19	28	30	289
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	4	7	6	1	9	5	3	8	5	1	6	9	64
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	0	4	0	1	4	6	3	1	5	5	6	8	43
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	24	65	41	27	53	54	34	34	48	49	56	56	541
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	3	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	5	5	17
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	1	4	0	2	1	4	3	0	3	1	2	2	23
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	11
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	35	23	12	7	16	3	19	17	32	28	35	28	255
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	39	29	15	11	17	9	22	17	35	30	45	37	306
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	63	94	56	38	70	63	56	51	83	79	101	93	847
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	87	113	50	99	85	78	57	53	77	108	100	100	1007

	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	63	94	56	38	70	63	56	51	83	79	101	93	847
TOTAL CONTACTS	87	113	50	99	85	78	57	53	77	108	100	100	1007
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	13	27	25	23	34	34	16	17	23	19	28	30	289
TOTAL LAP HOURS	212.0	288.0	256.0	298.0	297.0	297.0	225.0	190.0	247.0	253.0	246.0	272.0	3081.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	33.0	51.0	45.0	43.0	61.0	68.0	29.0	30.0	40.0	36.0	51.0	57.0	544.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	179.0	237.0	211.0	255.0	236.0	229.0	196.0	160.0	207.0	217.0	195.0	215.0	2537.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.21	0.20	0.19	0.19	0.17	0.20	0.20	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.18	0.17	0.1808
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	444	464	534	446	425	352	361	401	396	388	429	382	5022
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	100	82	82	73	77	72	65	110	100	88	90	79	1018

FY11 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.

Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest

One contact every 60 minutes of patrol

Avg 57.7 patrol hours per week

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE	8.8 hrs.
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE	6.9 hrs.
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME	1.9 hrs.
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)	179.7 mins.
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS	59.3 hrs.
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE	4.0 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)	151.2 mins.
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS	256.8 hrs.
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS	70.6
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS	83.9
CITATIONS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO	0.8
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE	9.2 %

ST. CLAIR COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Background

St. Clair County is located in southwestern Illinois along the Mississippi River across from St. Louis. The population of St. Clair County numbers 270,056 residents. The two largest cities in the county are Belleville with a population of more than 44,000 and East St. Louis with a population of more than 31,000. Due to its proximity to St. Louis, the county notices a large volume of traffic flow throughout the year for people attending sporting venues, festivals, and other such events.

Due to the county's predominately high crime rate, the Sheriff's Office uses most of its resources to focus on this problem and does not spend as much time focusing on alcohol-related driving enforcement. Still, the county does have problems with alcohol-related driving. During 2001, St. Clair County had 29 alcohol-related fatalities, 369 alcohol-related driving injuries, and 297 alcohol-related driving property damage crashes.

To combat the predominately high alcohol-related driving problem in the county, the St. Clair County Sheriff's Office requested funding for a LAP grant for FY11. The LAP grant will provide the Sheriff's Office with extra funding for patrols to concentrate on locations throughout the county that are identified as areas which have high DUI and alcohol-related driving violations.

The total expenditures for the St. Clair County LAP project (Project #AL1-0082-010) in the seventh year of funding was \$116,178.99. Total personnel costs for this grant was \$110,197.49.

Police Services

The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office consists of 128 full-time sworn Deputies, 20 civilian employees, and 39 Auxiliary Deputies. The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office provides a full service Patrol Division, Correction's Division, Civil Process Section, and Court Bailiff Services.

Project Evaluation

The St. Clair County LAP included enforcement components, plus alcohol-related components. The sheriff's office failed to submit their March 2011 enforcement information in a timely manner, so the following information is only for 11 months of enforcement data. The objectives and accomplishments as follows (also refer to **Table 15**):

Objective 1: The St. Clair County Sheriff's office was required to conduct 2,280 hours of extra patrol annually in dedicated traffic/DUI, passenger and child restraint efforts or an average of 43.8 patrol hours per week.

Accomplishment: *The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by only averaging 31.4 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office was required to make a minimum of one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by making one contact for every 109.1 minutes of patrol (1,244 patrol hours divided by 684 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office was required to make one DUI arrest for every eight hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by averaging one DUI arrest for every 11.7 patrol hours (1,244 patrol hours divided by 106 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office was required to make one alcohol-related contact for every eight hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office met this objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 7.7 patrol hours (1,244 patrol hours divided by the sum of 106 DUI citations, 32 illegal transportation violations, 3 sworn reports, and 22 other alcohol-related citations).*

Objective 5: The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office was required to maintain a DUI processing time of no more than two hours for each DUI arrest.

Accomplishment: *The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by averaging a DUI processing time of 2.4 hours for each DUI arrest (250 DUI processing hours divided by 106 DUI arrests).*

Objective 6: The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office was required to issue at least 25 percent of all enforcement citations for occupant restraint violations.

Accomplishment: *The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by issuing 3.7 percent of all citations for occupant restraint violations (the sum of 20 seat belt violations and 5 child restraint violations divided by 684 total citations multiplied by 100 percent).*

Project Overview:

The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2011 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The sheriff's office was required to meet six objectives: conduct 2,280 patrol hours (or average 43.8 patrol hours per week), maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes of patrol, make one DUI arrest for every 8 patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every 8 patrol hours, maintain a DUI processing time of less than two hours, and issue more than 25 percent of all citations for occupant restraint violations. The sheriff's office met one of the six objectives.

The sheriff's office met the alcohol-related contact rate objective. The sheriff's office met this objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 7.7 patrol hours.

On the other hand, the sheriff's office failed to meet the motorist contact rate objective, the weekly patrol hours objective, the DUI arrest rate objective, the DUI processing time objective, and the occupant restraint percentage objective. The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office made one motorist contact for every 109.1 minutes of patrol. The sheriff's office failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective by only averaging 31.4 patrol hours per week. Also, the sheriff's office failed to meet the DUI arrest rate objective by averaging 11.7 hours to make one DUI arrest. The sheriff's office failed to maintain a DUI processing time under two hours by averaging 2.4 hours to process each DUI arrest. Finally, although the sheriff's office was required to issue more than 25 percent of its citations for occupant restraint violations, it only issued 3.7 percent of all its citations for occupant restraint violations.

Table 15: St. Clair County LAP Project Summary Data

FY11 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: St.Clair County
GRANT # AL1-0082-010

FROM: Oct. 1, 2010
TO: Sept. 30, 2011

TYPE OF CITATION	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	15	16	13	9	5		10	5	5	6	20	14	118
2. FAIL TO YIELD	1	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	1	0	0	2
3. FAIL TO STOP	4	5	5	1	3		0	1	0	3	1	2	25
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	1	1	1	6	2		2	3	4	0	4	2	26
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	1	1	0	1	0		0	0	0	0	1	2	6
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	10	7	4	6	7		3	3	2	3	8	4	57
7. DUI	14	14	7	7	7		8	11	10	10	10	8	106
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	3	1	2	1	1		1	6	0	3	2	3	23
9. SWORN REPORT	4	2	2	1	0		1	0	1	1	0	20	32
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	30	21	12	16	12		14	32	28	32	21	1	219
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	83	68	46	48	37		39	61	50	59	67	56	614
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	0	3	3	2	0		1	0	1	4	4	2	20
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	1	0	0	0	0		0	2	1	0	1	0	5
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	4	4	2	2	0		5	11	7	1	6	3	45
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	5	7	5	4	0		6	13	9	5	11	5	70
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	88	75	51	52	37		45	74	59	64	78	61	684
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	209	178	93	130	98		0	0	200	164	139	109	1320

	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	88	75	51	52	37		45	74	59	64	78	61	684
TOTAL CONTACTS	209	178	93	130	98		0	0	200	164	139	109	1320
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	14	14	7	7	7		8	11	10	10	10	8	106
TOTAL LAP HOURS	193.0	164.0	111.0	128.0	91.0		104.0	155.0	148.0	154.0	150.0	124.0	1522.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	30.0	30.0	16.0	14.0	15.0		18.0	28.0	19.0	34.0	25.0	21.0	250.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	152.0	134.0	89.0	114.0	70.0		86.0	124.0	127.0	120.0	125.0	103.0	1244.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	11.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	6.0		0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.15	0.16	0.20	0.13	0.21		0.12	0.17	0.15	0.16	0.13	0.18	0.1562
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

FY11 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 8 patrol hours.
One alcohol-related contact for every 8 patrol hours.
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol
Avg 43.8 patrol hours per week
25% or greater for restraint violations

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE 11.7 hrs.
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 7.7 hrs.
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 2.4 hrs.
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS) 109.1 mins.
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 31.4 hrs.
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 3.7 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS) 56.5 mins.
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS 135.8 hrs.
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS 62.2
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS 120.0
CITATIONS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO 0.5
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE 17.3 %

WAUKEGAN POLICE DEPARTMENT

Background

Waukegan, located in Lake County, is situated along the western shore of Lake Michigan and has a population of more than 91,000 residents. The city is situated 40 miles north of Chicago and 60 miles south of Milwaukee. These cities can be reached by the Tri-State Toll way (Interstate 94). The other major roadways in Waukegan are State Routes 120, 131, and 137.

As of March 2004, a total of 94 businesses held liquor licenses in Waukegan. These liquor licenses grant businesses closing times between 2:00 a.m. to 4:00 a.m. throughout the week (based on the classification of the business). Due to the amount of businesses in the area able to serve alcohol, there has been a serious problem with alcohol-related drinking and driving. As recently as 2007, the Waukegan Police Department made approximately 432 DUI arrests. Almost 400 of those arrests had a BAC of .134 or greater. In 2007 and 2008, Waukegan had two DUI-related traffic fatalities and notice more than 600 injury crashes each year. In 2009, Waukegan only experienced one DUI related motor vehicle fatality.

The Waukegan Police Department requested funding for a LAP grant for FY11. The LAP grant helped the department combat the high prevalence of alcohol-related drinking and driving through increased enforcement.

The total expenditures for the Waukegan LAP project (Project #AL1-6075-232) in its eighth year of funding was \$74,384.26. Total personnel costs for this grant was \$74,384.26.

Police Services

The Waukegan Police Department has 74 patrol officers. Two full-time officers were assigned to work on the LAP project.

Project Evaluation

The Waukegan LAP included enforcement components, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows (also refer to **Table 16**):

Objective 1: The Waukegan Police Department assigned two officers to patrol 40 enforcement hours per week per officer of dedicated DUI patrol for a total of 80 hours per week.

Accomplishment: *The Waukegan Police Department failed to meet this objective by only averaging 28.1 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The Waukegan Police Department was required to make one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Waukegan Police Department met this objective by making one motorist contact for every 54.4 minutes of patrol (1,199 patrol hours divided by 1,322 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Waukegan Police Department was required to make one DUI arrest for every 10 hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Waukegan Police Department met this objective by making one DUI arrest for every 9.1 patrol hours (1,199 patrol hours divided by 132 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Waukegan Police Department was required to make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Waukegan Police Department met this objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 5.6 patrol hours (1,199 patrol hours divided by the sum of 132 total DUI citations, 55 illegal transportation violations, 4 sworn reports, and 24 other alcohol-related citations).*

Objective 5: The Waukegan Police Department was required to maintain a DUI processing time of no more than two hours for each DUI arrest.

Accomplishment: *The Waukegan Police Department met this objective by averaging 2 hours to process each DUI arrest (262 DUI processing hours divided by 132 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The Waukegan Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2011 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The department was required to meet five objectives: average 80 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes of patrol, make one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of less than two hours. The department met four of the five objectives.

The department met the motorist contact rate objective, the DUI arrest rate objective, the alcohol-related contact rate objective, and the DUI processing time objective. The department met the motorist contact rate objective by issuing one citation for every 54.4 minutes of patrol. The department met both the DUI arrest rate and alcohol-related contact rate objectives by averaging one DUI arrest for every 9.1 patrol hours and one alcohol-related contact for every 5.6 patrol hours respectively. Finally, the department met the DUI processing time objective by averaging a DUI processing time of 2.0 hours.

The only objective the department failed to meet was the weekly patrol hours objective. The department only averaged 28.1 patrol hours per week.

Table 16: Waukegan LAP Project Summary Data

FY11 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Waukegan
GRANT # AL1-6075-232

FROM: Oct. 1, 2010
TO: Sept. 30, 2011

TYPE OF CITATION	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	11	10	2	4	4	5	7	3	0	1	2	0	49
2. FAIL TO YIELD	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	4
3. FAIL TO STOP	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	8	0	14
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	1	0	1	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	1	8
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	11	6	11	1	3	6	6	1	0	0	1	1	47
7. DUI	31	27	19	7	4	11	5	6	0	8	12	2	132
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	2	10	1	1	1	3	4	1	4	11	17	0	55
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	124	87	68	11	13	12	2	25	0	33	54	0	429
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	184	141	102	24	25	41	27	39	4	53	98	4	742
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	2	4	1	1	0	1	3	2	0	7	3	0	24
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	1	0	4	0	6	8	13	1	5	20	13	2	73
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	6
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	47	54	32	8	15	50	31	20	6	53	143	18	477
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	50	58	38	9	21	60	48	23	11	80	162	20	580
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	234	199	140	33	46	101	75	62	15	133	260	24	1322
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	319	216	198	87	33	58	47	49	9	335	568	11	1930

	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	234	199	140	33	46	101	75	62	15	133	260	24	1322
TOTAL CONTACTS	319	27	198	87	33	58	47	49	9	335	568	11	1741
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	31	27	19	7	4	11	5	6	0	8	12	2	132
TOTAL LAP HOURS	280.0	228.0	248.0	96.0	25.0	71.0	42.0	39.0	5.0	159.0	331.0	13.0	1537.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	60.0	54.0	38.0	14.0	8.0	22.0	10.0	12.0	0.0	16.0	24.0	4.0	262.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	144.0	174.0	210.0	82.0	17.0	49.0	32.0	27.0	5.0	143.0	307.0	9.0	1199.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	76.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.16	0.14	0.17	0.16	0.12	0.11	0.26	0.13	0.00	0.01	0.09	0.13	0.1371
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	6	0	14	6	0	6	1	2	0	0	0	0	35
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	4	2	6	0	2	0	2	3	0	1	0	0	20

FY11 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol
Avg 80 patrol hours per week

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE 9.1 hrs.
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 5.6 hrs.
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 2.0 hrs.
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS) 54.4 mins.
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 28.1 hrs.
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 6.0 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS) 41.3 mins.
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS 121.8 hrs.
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS 110.2
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS 145.1
CITATIONS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO 0.8
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE 3.7 %

WHEELING POLICE DEPARTMENT

Background

The village of Wheeling is a community of approximately 39,000 residents covering nearly 10 square miles of northern Cook/southern Lake Counties. The community is bisected by five State thoroughfares and a combined freight and commuter rail line. Additionally, Interstate 294 comprises the eastern border of the village while Palwaukee Airport, the third busiest in Illinois, lies within the southern portion of the community at Route 21 and Palatine Road.

The major industrial base of the community compounds traffic flow with additional commuter traffic and commercial truck traffic. Commercial truck traffic servicing the industrial base is also significant, drawn to three major trucking terminals and 20 industrial parks.

The Wheeling Police Department requested funding for a LAP grant for FY11. The LAP grant helped the department combat the high prevalence of alcohol-related drinking and driving through increased enforcement.

The Wheeling Police Department was awarded a LAP grant. This grant allowed the department to expend more resources to deal with the high volume of crashes in the community.

The total expenditures for the Wheeling LAP project (Project #AL1-6200-092) in the second year of funding was \$77,390.83. Total personnel costs for this grant was \$77,390.83.

Police Services

The Wheeling Police Department currently employs 68 sworn officers. The Wheeling Police Department maintains a fleet of 34 vehicles including 14 marked patrol squad cars and two dedicated traffic unit squad cars.

Project Evaluation

The Wheeling LAP included enforcement components, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows (also refer to **Table 17**):

Objective 1: The Wheeling Police Department was required to conduct 1,872 patrol hours throughout the year averaging 30 patrol hours per week.

Accomplishment: *The Wheeling Police Department failed to meet this objective by only averaging 26.8 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The Wheeling Police Department was required to make one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Wheeling Police Department met this objective by making one motorist contact for every 57.7 minutes of patrol (1,124 patrol hours divided by 1,169 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Wheeling Police Department was required to make one DUI arrest for every 10 hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Wheeling Police Department failed to meet this objective by making only one DUI arrest for every 14.8 patrol hours (1,124 patrol hours divided by 76 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Wheeling Police Department was required to maintain a DUI processing time of no more than 2 hours for each DUI arrest.

Accomplishment: *The Wheeling Police Department met this objective by averaging 2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest (152 DUI processing hours divided by 76 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The Wheeling Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2011 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The Wheeling Police Department was required to meet four objectives: average 30 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes of patrol, make one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of less than two hours. The department met two of the four objectives.

The department met the motorist contact rate objective and the DUI processing time objective. The department met the motorist contact rate objective by issuing one citation for every 57.7 minutes of patrol. The department met the DUI processing time objective by averaging 2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest.

The department failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective by only averaging 26.8 hours per week. Furthermore, the department failed to meet the DUI arrest rate objective by only making one DUI arrest for every 14.8 patrol hours.

Table 17: Wheeling County LAP Project Summary Data

FY11 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Wheeling
GRANT # AL1-6200-092

FROM: Oct. 1, 2010
TO: Sept. 30, 2011

TYPE OF CITATION	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING		37	31	30	16	42	35	36	22	15	41	22	327
2. FAIL TO YIELD		3	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	7
3. FAIL TO STOP		0	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	6
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL		5	7	5	0	2	5	1	3	1	2	1	32
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. IMPROPER LANE USE		12	10	12	15	2	10	6	6	9	5	7	94
7. DUI		9	8	8	6	3	10	7	5	7	5	8	76
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION		2	0	2	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	10
9. SWORN REPORT		2	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	5
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.		5	3	5	8	8	13	12	7	9	8	8	86
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL		75	60	64	50	59	77	64	44	42	62	46	643
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.		1	2	0	0	1	1	2	2	2	0	0	11
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION		11	2	3	6	11	18	17	8	8	2	27	113
14. CHILD RESTRAINT		4	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	7
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS		60	10	6	13	27	40	52	34	46	31	76	395
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL		76	15	9	19	39	60	71	44	56	33	104	526
17. TOTAL CITATIONS		151	75	73	69	98	137	135	88	98	95	150	1169
21. TOTAL CONTACTS		94	75	85	67	116	112	104	80	78	90	265	1166

	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS		151	75	73	69	98	137	135	88	98	95	150	1169
TOTAL CONTACTS		9	75	85	67	116	112	104	80	78	90	265	1081
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS		9	8	8	6	3	10	7	5	7	5	8	76
TOTAL LAP HOURS		154.0	97.0	108.0	94.0	106.0	101.0	144.0	79.0	143.0	94.0	156.0	1276.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS		18.0	16.0	16.0	12.0	6.0	20.0	14.0	10.0	14.0	10.0	16.0	152.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS		136.0	81.0	92.0	82.0	100.0	81.0	130.0	69.0	129.0	84.0	140.0	1124.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BAC AVERAGE		0.14	0.16	0.17	0.15	0.13	0.16	0.17	0.14	0.22	0.16	0.20	0.1668
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)		55	67	99	120	88	85	73	91	95	92	95	960
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)		5	7	10	8	8	10	11	15	7	9	5	95

FY11 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.

Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest

One contact every 60 minutes of patrol

Avg 30 patrol hours per week

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE	14.8 hrs.
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE	11.0 hrs.
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME	2.0 hrs.
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)	57.7 mins.
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS	26.8 hrs.
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE	10.3 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)	62.4 mins.
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS	116.0 hrs.
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS	106.3
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS	98.3
CITATIONS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO	1.1
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE	28.0 %

WILL COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Background

Will County is located in the northeastern section of Illinois just south of Chicago. The population of Will County, as listed in the 2010 U.S. Census, was 677,560. The largest city in the county is Joliet with a population of 147,433. Within the county there are regional and urban bus lines and a network of over 120 miles of rail lines which provide easy access to the rest of Chicago land. Major roads through the county include Interstates 80, 57, and 55. The county has many attractions and venues which bring an increase of visitors and traffic.

Due to the increase in population in the county, as well as an increase in traffic flow, the number of traffic-related injuries and fatalities has risen dramatically in the county. Along with the increased traffic-related fatalities and injuries, there has been an increase in the prevalence of these fatalities and injuries being alcohol-related with a large percentage of these crashes involving the younger population.

The Will County Sheriff's Office requested funding for a LAP grant for FY11. The Sheriff's Office dedicated one full-time deputy to work on DUI enforcement.

The total expenditures for the Will County LAP project (Project #AL1-0099-133) in its seventh year of funding was \$166,473.11. Total personnel costs for this grant was \$146,017.04.

Police Services

Currently, the Will County Sheriff's Office has 201 sworn police officers utilizing 95 patrol cars. All Deputies assigned to patrol services have completed a minimum basic instruction course in crash investigation and are certified radar unit operators. Traffic Division personnel have completed Traffic Reconstruction courses as well.

Project Evaluation

The Will County LAP included enforcement components, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows (also refer to **Table 18**):

Objective 1: The Will County Sheriff's Office assigned a deputy to conduct 40 hours per week.

Accomplishment: *The Will County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by averaging 30.4 patrol hours per week.*

Objective 2: The Will County Sheriff's Office was required to make one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Will County Sheriff's Office met this objective by making one motorist contact for every 44.1 minutes of patrol (1,258 patrol hours divided by 1,711 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Will County Sheriff's Office was required to make one DUI arrest for every 10 hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Will County Sheriff's Office met this objective by making one DUI arrest for every 9.1 patrol hours (1,258 patrol hours divided by 138 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Will County Sheriff's Office was required to maintain a DUI processing time of no more than 2 hours for each DUI arrest.

Accomplishment: *The Will County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by averaging 2.3 hours to process each DUI arrest (319 DUI processing hours divided by 138 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The Will County Sheriff's Office was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2011 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The sheriff's office was required to meet four objectives: average 40 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes of patrol, make one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of less than two hours. The department met two of the four objectives.

The sheriff's office met the motorist contact rate objective and the DUI arrest rate objective. The sheriff's office met the motorist contact rate objective by issuing one citation for every 44.1 minutes of patrol. Also, the sheriff's office met the DUI arrest rate objective by averaging one DUI arrest for every 9.1 patrol hours.

The sheriff's office failed to meet the DUI processing time objective and the weekly patrol hours objective. The sheriff's office failed to meet the DUI processing objective by taking 2.3 hours to process each DUI arrest. The Will County Sheriff's Office failed to meet the 40 patrol hours per week objective by only averaging 30.4 patrol hours per week.

Table 18: Will County LAP Project Summary Data

FY11 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Will County SO
GRANT # AL1-0099-133

FROM: Oct. 1, 2010
TO: Sept. 30, 2011

TYPE OF CITATION	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	75	38	35	57	32	72	68	51	38	57	41	93	657
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	2	0	4	0	1	13
3. FAIL TO STOP	6	0	7	5	1	5	1	1	4	5	6	7	48
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	9	2	10	8	4	5	17	9	6	10	6	13	99
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	2	2	2	0	2	13
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	4	4	1	5	3	4	2	3	3	2	2	1	34
7. DUI	13	12	7	16	14	14	11	11	4	13	10		125
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	2	0	2	3	0	3	5	4	2	6	2	1	30
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	8	12	13	20	15	18	24	16	5	11	9	23	174
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	118	69	77	116	71	125	128	99	64	110	76	141	1194
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	2		15
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	1	4	0	3	0	5	1	3	1	4	3		25
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	1	1	1	1	1	4	3	3	1	1	0		17
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	34	25	36	45	33	53	45	37	33	52	39	28	460
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	38	31	38	50	36	63	50	45	36	58	44	28	517
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	156	100	115	166	107	188	178	144	100	168	120	169	1711
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	126	95	90	130	77	135	153	104	102	150	110		1272

	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	156	100	115	166	107	188	178	144	100	168	120	169	1711
TOTAL CONTACTS	126	12	90	130	77	135	153	104	102	150	110	0	1189
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	13	12	7	16	14	14	11	11	4	13	10	13	138
TOTAL LAP HOURS	168.0	120.0	136.0	168.0	152.0	176.0	168.0	152.0	112.0	168.0	136.0	168.0	1824.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	30.0	26.0	17.0	33.0	32.0	35.0	26.0	24.0	9.0	33.0	23.0	31.0	319.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	120.0	82.0	76.0	115.0	105.0	120.0	123.0	102.0	86.0	121.0	96.0	112.0	1258.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	18.0	12.0	43.0	20.0	15.0	21.0	19.0	26.0	18.0	14.0	17.0	25.0	248.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.17	0.20	0.17	0.17	0.20	0.17	0.17	0.21	0.17	0.22	0.16	0.17	0.1822
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	155	138	199	179	153	104	122	96	151	119	144	121	1681
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	25	13	8	20	19	18	14	23	22	28	20	30	240

FY11 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.

Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest

One contact every 60 minutes of patrol

Avg 40 patrol hours per week

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE	9.1 hrs.
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE	7.4 hrs.
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME	2.3 hrs.
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)	44.1 mins.
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS	30.4 hrs.
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE	2.5 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)	63.5 mins.
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS	131.4 hrs.
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS	142.6
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS	99.1
CITATIONS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO	1.4
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE	38.4 %

WONDER LAKE POLICE DEPARTMENT

Background

Wonder Lake is located in McHenry County and has a population of more than 4,000. Wonder Lake is located between the two largest cities in the county. Due to its location, Wonder Lake gets an abundance of traffic flow throughout the village. In recent years, there has been an influx of population to the area in and around Wonder Lake. This has caused traffic flow to increase. With the increased traffic flow through Wonder Lake, there has been an increase in the number of traffic crashes in and around Wonder Lake.

With the increase in population, traffic flow, and traffic crashes, the Wonder Lake Police Department has had a hard time dealing with these responsibilities with limited resources. Furthermore, the Wonder Lake Police Department always deals with the prevalence of alcohol-related driving behaviors. As the Wonder Lake Police Department's resources have been stretched thin, they have looked at different ways to combat these negative driving behaviors. By focusing on major holidays, the department felt it prudent to get the alcohol-related driving offenders off the roads. The Wonder Lake Police Department worked with the Illinois Department of Transportation's Division of Traffic Safety to secure additional funding for additional enforcement.

The Wonder Lake Police Department requested funding for a LAP grant for FY11. The department's enforcement was conducted primarily during major holiday mobilizations.

The total expenditures for the Wonder Lake LAP project (Project #AL1-6325-215) in its first year of funding was \$2,865.39. Total personnel costs for this grant was \$2,142.00.

Police Services

The Wonder Lake Police Department will commit additional patrols during the major holiday campaigns and focus on curbing alcohol-related driving behaviors.

Project Evaluation

The Wonder Lake LAP included enforcement components, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows (also refer to **Table 19**):

Objective 1: The Wonder Lake Police Department was required to conduct an additional 300 patrol hours throughout the year or an average of 5.8 additional patrol hours per week during FY11.

Accomplishment: *The Wonder Lake Police Department met the weekly patrol hours objective by averaging 5.7 patrol hours per week, but the police department only worked half the required 300 scheduled hours.*

Objective 2: The Wonder Lake Police Department was required to make one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Wonder Lake Police Department met this objective by making one motorist contact for every 49.2 minutes of patrol (91 patrol hours divided by 111 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).*

Objective 3: The Wonder Lake Police Department was required to make one DUI arrest for every 10 hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: *The Wonder Lake Police Department met this objective by making one DUI arrest for every 7.6 patrol hours (91 patrol hours divided by 12 DUI arrests).*

Objective 4: The Wonder Lake Police Department was required to maintain a DUI processing time of no more than 2 hours for each DUI arrest.

Accomplishment: *The Wonder Lake Police Department failed to meet this objective by averaging 2.8 hours to process each DUI arrest (33 DUI processing hours divided by 12 DUI arrests).*

Project Overview:

The Wonder Lake Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2011 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The Wonder Lake Police Department was required to meet four objectives: average 5.8 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes of patrol, make one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of less than two hours. The department met three of the four objectives.

The department met the DUI arrest rate objective, the motorist contact rate objective, and the weekly patrol hours objective. The department met the DUI arrest rate objective by making one DUI arrest for every 7.6 patrol hours. The department met the motorist contact rate objective by issuing one citation for every 49.2 minutes of patrol. Finally, the department met the weekly patrol hours objective by averaging an additional 5.7 patrol hours per week.

The only objective the Wonder Lake Police Department failed to meet was the DUI processing time objective. The department failed to meet this objective by averaging 2.8 to process each DUI arrest.

Table 19: Wonder Lake LAP Project Summary Data

FY11 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Wonder Lake
GRANT # AL1-6325-215

FROM: Oct. 1, 2010
TO: Sept. 30, 2011

TYPE OF CITATION	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING		1	0					1		1	2		5
2. FAIL TO YIELD		0	0					0		0	0		0
3. FAIL TO STOP		3	0					0		0	1		4
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL		0	0					0		0	0		0
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE		2	0					0		0	0		2
6. IMPROPER LANE USE		3	1					0		0	2		6
7. DUI		3	1					1		1	6		12
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION		0	1					0		0	1		2
9. SWORN REPORT		0	0					0		0	0		0
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.		7	1					5		1	3		17
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL		19	4					7		3	15		48
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATED CIT.		1	0					0		0	4		5
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION		0	0					0		0	0		0
14. CHILD RESTRAINT		0	0					0		0	0		0
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS		15	15					0		7	21		58
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL		16	15					0		7	25		63
17. TOTAL CITATIONS		35	19					7		10	40		111
21. TOTAL CONTACTS		26	10					4		5	21		66

	2010 OCT	2010 NOV	2010 DEC	2011 JAN	2011 FEB	2011 MAR	2011 APR	2011 MAY	2011 JUN	2011 JUL	2011 AUG	2011 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS		35	19					7		10	40		111
TOTAL CONTACTS		3	10					4		5	21		43
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS		3	1					1		1	6		12
TOTAL LAP HOURS		50.0	17.0					7.0		10.0	56.0		140.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS		8.0	1.0					2.0		2.0	20.0		33.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS		38.0	15.0					5.0		8.0	25.0		91.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS		4.0	0.0					0.0		0.0	11.0		15.0
BAC AVERAGE		0.00	0.00					0.00		0.00	0.15		0.0725
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)		0	0					0		0	1		1
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)		0	0					0		0	0		0

FY11 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.

Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest

One contact every 60 minutes of patrol

Avq. 5.8 patrol hours per week

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE	7.6 hrs.
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE	4.8 hrs.
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME	2.8 hrs.
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)	49.2 mins.
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS	5.7 hrs.
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE	0.0 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)	127.0 mins.
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS	24.8 hrs.
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS	22.2
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS	8.6
CITATIONS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO	2.6
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE	4.5 %

LAP PROJECT EVALUATIONS WITH MULTIPLE YEARS OF FUNDING

Sixteen out of the eighteen LAP projects (Carol Stream, Charleston, Chicago, Chicago Heights, Cook County, Decatur, East Peoria, Elgin, Macon County, Sangamon County, Skokie, Springfield, St. Clair County, Waukegan, Wheeling, and Will County) have received funding for multiple years.

The following materials provide information on the LAP projects that received funding for the last several years (**see Table 20**).

Charleston

The Charleston Police Department has received funding for a LAP grant for the previous two years. The department has been very active in pursuing alcohol-related and DUI offenders.

Initially, the department failed to meet any of the alcohol-related objectives in the first year of funding. The only objective the Charleston Police Department met in its initial year of funding was the motorist contact rate objective. During the second year of funding, the Charleston Police Department was more active in the pursuit of DUI offenders and meeting its alcohol-related objectives. In the second year of funding, the department met the DUI arrest rate objective and the DUI processing time objective.

The department failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective during FY10 or FY11. Also, the department failed to meet the alcohol-related contact rate during the first two years of funding.

Chicago – Strikeforce

The Chicago Police Department has received funding for projects with LAP objectives for the past 17 years. Although the enforcement detail for the Chicago Police Department has changed over the years of funding from saturation patrols to strikeforce, the objectives have remained similar. The department has maintained a good contact rate over the 17 years, but has failed to produce consistent results for the other objectives.

The department has maintained a good contact rate over the 17 years of funding. The department had its best contact rate during FY96 and FY08 at one contact made for every 17.2 minutes of patrol. During the other 15 years, the department has averaged better than one contact for every 43.7 minutes of patrol. The department met the weekly patrol hours objective in the first eight years of funding, but during the following five years, the department failed to meet this objective. The department has done a better job meeting this objective in the most recent years.

The department was required to issue more than 30 percent of all citations for occupant restraint violations, but the department was only able to meet this objective in the first year of funding. The Chicago Police Department did not need to meet this objective in the most recent years (FY08 through FY10). The Chicago Police Department was not required to meet this objective in FY11. Also, the Chicago Police Department was required to actively pursue alcohol-related driving violators by maintaining a DUI arrest rate of more than one for every 10 patrol hours and an alcohol-related contact rate of more than one for every 6 patrol hours. The department struggled to meet these objectives during the first 15 years of funding. In the most

recent years (FY10 and FY11), the department met the DUI arrest rate objective. The department met this objective by making on DUI arrest for every 8.6 patrol hours in FY11 and one DUI arrest for every 9.4 patrol hours in FY10. The alcohol-related contact rate objective was removed as an objective in FY11. FY07 through FY11 were the only years the Chicago Police Department provided sufficient information to calculate the DUI processing time. The Chicago Police Department finally met the DUI processing time objective in FY11 by averaging exactly two hours to process each DUI arrest.

Chicago Heights

The Chicago Heights Police Department has received funding for a LAP grant for the past eight years. The department has been very active in pursuing alcohol-related driving violators.

The Chicago Heights Police Department has done a good job of meeting all of the objectives in the first eight years of funding, except the weekly patrol hours objective. The occupant restraint percentage objective was excluded from the project since FY09. The department has consistently met the motorist contact rate objective during the seven of the eight years of funding. The only year the department failed to meet this objective was FY10. The department's motorist contact rate ranged from a low of one contact made for every 30.6 minutes of patrol in FY04 to a high of 61.8 minutes of patrol during FY10 (the only year the department failed to meet the objective). The department has been very active in the pursuit of alcohol-related driving violations. During all eight years of funding, the department has consistently met the DUI arrest rate objective. During the first six years of funding, the department consistently averaged better than one alcohol-related contact for every 4.9 patrol hours. On the other hand, in the most recent two years (FY10 and FY11), the department only made one alcohol-related contact for every 6.8 patrol hours in FY10 and 9.8 hours in FY11. Finally, the department has consistently averaged better than 2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest.

The Chicago Heights Police Department has not met the weekly patrol hours objective during the first eight years of funding. In recent years, the weekly patrol hours objective has been lowered. Even with this adjustment to the department's objectives, the department failed to meet this objective.

Cook County

The Cook County Sheriff's Office has received funding for a LAP grant for the past three years. The sheriff's office has been very active in pursuing alcohol-related driving violators and has consistently met most of its objectives.

During the three years of funding, the only objective the Cook County Sheriff's Office failed to meet was the weekly patrol hours objective in the first year. Although the sheriff's office failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective in the first year, it met this objective in the second and third years by averaging 21.4 patrol hours per week in FY10 and 22.6 patrol hours per week in FY11. The sheriff's office has consistently met the motorist contact rate objective. It has averaged better than one contact for every 40.8 minutes of patrol during the three years of funding. The sheriff's office has been very active in the pursuit of alcohol-related violations during both years of funding. In the three years of funding, the sheriff's office averaged better than 4.9 patrol hours to make one DUI arrest. Furthermore, the sheriff's office averaged better than 2.4 patrol hours to make one alcohol-related contact during all three years of funding.

Finally, the sheriff's office met the DUI processing time objective during the three years of funding.

Elgin

The Elgin Police Department has received funding for a LAP grant for the past seven years.

The department has consistently met four of the five objectives during the most recent four years of funding (FY08 through FY11). During these past four years, the department met the motorist contact rate objective, the DUI arrest rate objective, the alcohol-related contact rate objective, and the DUI processing time objective. Throughout the multiple years of funding, the department consistently met the motorist contact rate objective and the DUI processing time objective. During the first three years of funding, the department failed to meet two objectives, the DUI arrest rate objective and the alcohol-related contact rate objective. The department posted its best motorist contact rate in FY11 at one contact made for every 22.2 minutes of patrol. Similarly, the department posted its best DUI processing time objective during FY08 at 1.3 hours to process each DUI arrest.

Although the department did not meet the DUI arrest rate objective and alcohol-related contact rate objective during the first three years of funding, the department significantly improved in these areas in FY08 and maintained this level through FY11. Prior to FY08, the department's best DUI arrest rate was one DUI arrest made for every 18.7 patrol hours, but, in FY08, the department improved its DUI arrest rate to one made for every 2.7 patrol hours. Continuing this trend through FY11, the department averaged one DUI arrest for every 5.1 patrol hours. A similar pattern is shown for the alcohol-related contact rate. During its first three years of funding, the Elgin Police Department averaged one alcohol-related contact for about every 10 patrol hours, but, in FY08, the department averaged one alcohol-related contact for every 1.9 patrol hours. The department continued this trend in FY11 by making one alcohol-related contact for every 3.8 patrol hours.

The department has consistently missed the weekly patrol hours objective during all seven years of funding. Although the department has consistently missed this objective, the department came close to meeting this objective in FY06.

Macon County

The Macon County Sheriff's Office has received funding for a LAP grant for the past four years.

In the first two years of funding, the sheriff's office consistently met the DUI arrest rate objective, the alcohol-related contact rate objective, and the DUI processing time objective. During the first two years of funding, the sheriff's office averaged better than one DUI arrest made for every 8.0 patrol hours and better than one alcohol-related contact made for every 4.8 patrol hours. On the other hand, in FY10 and FY11, the Macon County Sheriff's Office failed to meet the DUI arrest rate objective and the alcohol-related contact rate objective, but it did meet the DUI processing time objective.

The sheriff's office has shown improvements meeting its weekly patrol hours objective. While the sheriff's office failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective during its first two years of funding, the sheriff's office met this objective in FY10 and FY11 by averaging 40.1 and 36.5 patrol hours per week respectively. It should be noted that while the sheriff's office did not meet the weekly patrol hours objective during the first two years, it did come close to meeting this

objective during both years. The sheriff's office has been unable to meet the motorist contact rate objective, but it came close to meeting this objective during the first two years.

Sangamon County

The Illinois Department of Transportation has awarded LAP funding to Sangamon County for increased DUI and alcohol-related driving offense enforcement for the past fifteen years. The enforcement objectives for the grant have remained similar over all fifteen years. In the first three years, this project consistently met four (motorist contact rate, DUI arrest rate, alcohol-related contact rate, and DUI processing time) of the six objectives. Over the fifteen years of funding, the Sangamon County LAP project has had mixed results, but it has shown more consistent results related to alcohol-related driving violations in recent years.

Although the sheriff's office failed to actively pursue alcohol-related driving offenses from 2000 to 2003, in recent years, the Sangamon County Sheriff's Office has been more active in the pursuit of alcohol-related driving offenses. Since FY04, the sheriff's office has consistently made one DUI arrest for less than every nine patrol hours. Since 2004, the DUI arrest rate has ranged from one DUI arrest made for every 3.9 patrol hours in FY05 to one DUI arrest made for every 8.5 patrol hours in FY10. While the sheriff's office failed to meet the alcohol-related contact rate in FY10, since 2004, it has been very active pursuing alcohol-related offenses. Since 2004, the alcohol-related contact rate has ranged from one alcohol-related contact made for every 2.7 hours in FY05 to one alcohol-related contact made for every 6.6 hours in FY10. It should be noted that the Sangamon County Sheriff's Office was not required to meet the alcohol-related contact rate in FY11.

The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office has been unable to consistently meet the weekly patrol hours objective, the motorist contact rate objective, and the DUI processing time objective. The sheriff's office has been required to average more than 35 patrol hours per week, but only met this objective during FY01 through FY03. The sheriff's office had met or was close to meeting the motorist contact rate objective from FY97 through FY08, but in FY09 and FY10, the sheriff's office averaged almost an hour and a half between motorist contacts. In FY11, the sheriff's office improved in this area, but still missed the objective by only making one motorist contact for every 76.5 minutes of patrol. While the sheriff's office had only met the DUI processing time objective in four of the first fourteen years of funding, in FY11, the sheriff's office met this objective by averaging 1.7 hours to process each DUI arrest.

Skokie

The Skokie Police Department has received funding for a LAP grant for the previous five years. The department did a much better job of meeting its objectives in FY07 than in the following four years.

Over the five years, the department consistently met the weekly patrol hours objective, the motorist contact rate objective, and the DUI processing time objective. The department has averaged between 25.9 patrol hours a week (FY07) to 39.1 patrol hours per week (in FY11). During all five years of funding, the department averaged better than one motorist contact made for every 50.0 minutes of patrol. Furthermore, the department has consistently averaged less than two hours to process each DUI arrest during all five years of funding.

Although the department met the DUI arrest rate and alcohol-related contact rate objectives during the first year of funding, the department failed to meet these objectives during the

following four years of funding. In its first year of funding, the department averaged one DUI arrest for every 6.8 patrol hours and one alcohol-related contact for every 5.1 patrol hours. Since then, the department failed to meet these objectives. From FY08 through FY10, the department averaged greater than one DUI arrest for every 14.4 patrol hours. In FY11, the department's DUI arrest rate slipped to one DUI arrest made for every 22.5 patrol hours. Similarly, from FY08 through FY10, the department failed to meet the alcohol-related contact rate. In FY10, the department improved the alcohol-related contact rate by taking 7.6 patrol hours to make one alcohol-related contact. During FY11, the department was not required to meet the alcohol-related contact rate objective.

During FY11, the department was required to issue more than 30 percent of its citations for occupant protection violations. While the department did not meet this objective, it came close to meeting the objective by issuing 28.9 percent of its citations for occupant protection violations.

Springfield

The Springfield Police Department has received funding for a LAP grant for the previous six years. The department had its best performance in FY06 meeting the three alcohol-related objectives.

In FY06, the Springfield Police Department met three of its six objectives including the DUI arrest rate objective, the alcohol-related contact rate objective, and the DUI processing time objective. In the preceding years, the Springfield Police Department has had varying success meeting the required objectives. In FY07, the department only met the DUI processing time objective. In FY08, the department met the DUI processing time objective and the occupant restraint percentage objective. In FY09, the department met the DUI arrest rate objective and the DUI processing time objective. In FY10, the department only met the DUI arrest rate objective. In FY11, the department met the weekly patrol hours objective, the DUI arrest rate objective, and the DUI processing time objective.

Over the six years of funding, the department only met the weekly patrol hours objective in FY11. The department was scheduled to conduct more than 100 patrol hours per week during the first three years of funding, but, at best, the department only averaged 70.3 patrol hours per week in FY07 during those three years. In FY09 and FY10, the department was scheduled to conduct only 60 patrol hours per week and came close to meeting this objective by averaging 53.1 patrol hours per week in FY09 and 52.3 patrol hours per week in FY10. In FY11, the Springfield Police Department was required to conduct an average of 57.7 patrol hours per week. The department met this objective by averaging 59.3 patrol hours per week. The department has struggled to meet the motorist contact rate objective. The department posted its best motorist contact rate in FY07 by making one motorist contact for every 65.1 minutes of patrol, but during FY09 through FY11, the department averaged worse than one motorist contact for every 166.9 minutes of patrol.

The Springfield Police Department met the DUI arrest rate objective during four of the six years (FY06, FY09, FY10, and FY11). Of these four years, the Springfield Police Department's best DUI arrest rate came in FY06 averaging 5.3 hours to make one DUI arrest. The two years the department failed to meet this objective it averaged one DUI arrest for every 11.7 patrol hours in FY07 and one DUI arrest for every 13.6 patrol hours in FY08. Regarding the alcohol-related contact rate, since FY08, the department's alcohol-related contact rate has consistently improved, but it did not meet this objective. The department only met the alcohol-related

contact rate in the first year of funding by making one alcohol-related contact for every 3.9 patrol hours. The department was unable to meet this objective in FY07 through FY10. For FY11, the department was not required to meet the alcohol-related contact rate objective.

With regards to the occupant restraint percentage objective, the Springfield Police Department had consistently improved in this area during the first three years of funding. In FY06, the department only averaged 4.8 percent of its citations for occupant restraint violations. In FY07, the department's occupant restraint percentage increased to 28.5. In FY08, the department met the occupant restraint objective by issuing more than 40 percent of all of its citations for occupant restraint violations. During FY09 and FY10, the department did not need to meet this objective. For FY11, the department was not required to meet the occupant restraint percentage objective.

St. Clair County

The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office received funding for a LAP grant for the previous seven years. The sheriff's office had mixed results over the seven years of funding, but showed improvements since FY09.

The St. Clair County Sheriff's has met the weekly patrol hours objective for four of the past seven years, but has not met this objective in the past two years. The sheriff's office met the motorist contact rate during its first three years, but failed to meet this objective since then. The sheriff's office only met the DUI arrest rate objective during FY09 and FY11. The sheriff's office met the alcohol-related contact rate every year except FY06. It only met the DUI processing time objective three of the seven years (FY06, FY07, and FY11). The sheriff's office never met the occupant restraint percentage objective.

Although the sheriff's office failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective during the first year of funding, it consistently averaged more than 45 patrol hours per week from FY06 through FY09, but the sheriff's office failed to meet this objective in FY10 and FY11. The sheriff's office met the motorist contact rate objective during the first three years of funding, but failed to meet this objective from FY08 through FY11.

With regards to the alcohol-related objectives, the St. Clair County Sheriff's Office improved their DUI arrest rate from FY05 through FY09. In FY09, the sheriff's office finally met the DUI arrest rate objective by averaging one DUI arrest for every 7.4 patrol hours. Unfortunately, in FY10 and FY11, the sheriff's office failed to meet the DUI arrest rate objective by averaging 8.4 hours to process each DUI arrest in FY10 and 11.7 hours to process each DUI arrest in FY11. The sheriff's office has consistently met the alcohol-related contact rate objective. The only year the sheriff's office failed to meet this objective was FY06 when it averaged 6.5 patrol hours for every alcohol-related contact. The sheriff's office has met the DUI processing time objective for only three of the seven years. It met this objective in FY06, FY07, and FY10.

The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office has not met the occupant restraint percentage objective. Over the seven years of funding, the percentage of citations that the St. Clair County Sheriff's Office has issued for occupant restraint violations has progressively decreased reaching a low of 3.7 percent during FY11.

Waukegan

The Waukegan Police Department received funding for a LAP grant for seven of the past eight years, excluding FY08. The department has been very active in pursuing alcohol-related driving violations. The department has consistently met the motorist contact rate objective, the DUI arrest rate objective, and the alcohol-related contact rate objective. The department has failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective. Also, the department failed to meet the DUI processing time objective in the first three years of funding, but it met this objective since FY06.

The department has consistently met the alcohol-related objectives over the seven years of funding. The department's DUI arrest rate has ranged from making one DUI arrest for every 2.5 patrol hours in FY03 to making one DUI arrest for every 9.1 patrol hours during FY11. Also, the department has maintained a high alcohol-related contact rate. The department's alcohol-related contact rate has ranged from making one alcohol-related contact for every 1.4 patrol hours in FY03 to making one alcohol-related contact for every 5.6 patrol hours in FY11. Outside of FY07, the department has consistently met the motorist contact rate objective. Excluding FY07, the department's motorist contact rate has ranged from making one motorist contact for every 30.7 minutes of patrol in FY03 to making one motorist contact for every 54.4 minutes of patrol in FY11.

The department was unable to meet the DUI processing objective for the first three years of funding, but met this objective since FY06. The department has never met the weekly patrol hours objective over the eight years of funding.

Wheeling

The Wheeling Police Department has received funding for a LAP grant for the past three years.

During FY09, the department met four of the five objectives, but, in the prior two years, it has not had as much success. The department has consistently met the motorist contact rate objective and the DUI processing time objective. The department's motorist contact rate has ranged from making one contact for every 38.2 minutes in FY09 to making one contact for every 57.7 minutes in FY11. During each of the three years of funding, the department met the DUI processing time objective by averaging two hours to process each DUI arrest.

Outside of FY09, the department has been unable to meet the weekly patrol hours objective. The department was required to conduct more than 36 patrol hours per week in FY10 and more than more than 30 patrol hours per week in FY11. The department did not meet the objective during FY10 and FY11 by averaging 33.1 and 26.8 patrol hours per week respectively. A similar trend is seen with the DUI arrest rate objective. During the first year of funding, the department met this objective by making one DUI arrest for every 9.8 patrol hours. In FY10 and FY11, the department failed to meet this objective by averaging 11.0 patrol hours to make one DUI arrest in FY10 and averaging 14.8 patrol hours for one DUI arrest in FY11. The department failed to meet the alcohol-related contact rate objective during FY09 and FY10. The department was not required to meet this objective in FY11.

Will County

The Will County Sheriff's Office has received funding for a LAP grant for the previous seven years. The sheriff's office has had varying success over the seven years of funding. Outside of FY07, the sheriff's office has consistently met the motorist contact rate objective. During the

years that the sheriff's office has met this objective, its motorist contact rate has ranged from one contact made for every 36.7 minutes of patrol in FY09 to one contact made for every 55.5 minutes of patrol in FY08. During FY07 and FY08, the sheriff's office failed to meet the DUI arrest rate objective during, but it met it in the other years. During the years the sheriff's office met the DUI arrest rate objective, the sheriff's office DUI arrest rate has ranged from making one DUI arrest for every 6.9 patrol hours to making one DUI arrest for every 9.1 patrol hours.

The Will County Sheriff's Office has struggled to meet the alcohol-related contact rate and the DUI processing time objectives. The sheriff's office only met the alcohol-related contact rate objective in two years, FY05 and FY09. The sheriff's office came close to meeting this objective in FY10, but did not come close to completing this objective in the remaining four years (FY06, FY07, FY08, and FY11). While the sheriff's office only met the DUI processing time objective once in FY09, it has consistently been close to meeting this objective each year. The sheriff's office has averaged no worse than 2.3 hours to process each DUI arrest.

The Will County Sheriff's Office has never met the weekly patrol hours objective. In FY11, the sheriff's office averaged its highest weekly patrol hours over the seven years of funding. The sheriff's office has averaged between 21.2 patrol hours per week in FY05 to 30.4 patrol hours per week in FY11.

Table 20: Progress Over Multiple Years of Funding - LAP

Location Project Year	Project Objectives												Months of Project Data
	Patrol Schedule Hours/week		Motorist contact rate Citations & Warnings		DUI Arrest Rate		Alcohol-Related Contact Rate		DUI Processing Time Per DUI		Occupant Restraint Percentage		
	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	
Charleston													
FY11	> 18.0	8.9	< 60 min.	59.1 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	8.3 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	6.4 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	N/A	N/A	8
FY10	> 18.0	11.1	< 60 min.	54.1 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	14.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	6.5 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.9 hrs.	N/A	N/A	8
Chicago													
FY11	> 36.0	65.0	< 60 min.	43.7 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	8.6 hrs.	N/A	N/A	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	N/A	N/A	11
FY10	> 36.0	82.7	< 60 min.	38.0 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	9.4 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	7.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.6 hrs.	> 30.0	5.3	12
FY09	> 36.0	36.1	< 60 min.	20.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	14.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	14.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	3.7 hrs.	> 30.0	6.1	12
FY08	> 36.0	47.3	< 60 min.	17.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	12.5 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	12.5 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	7.0 hrs.	> 30.0	6.9	12
FY07	> 58.1	45.0	< 60 min.	22.0 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	13.0 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	11.4 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	4.1 hrs.	> 30.0	9.2	12
FY06	> 58.1	42.6	< 60 min.	29.9 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	20.7 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	11.3 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	N/A	> 30.0	18.4	9
FY05	> 58.1	47.2	< 60 min.	34.6 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	16.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	9.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	N/A	> 30.0	10.7	12
FY04	> 58.2	49.8	< 60 min.	23.8 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	38.0 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	26.9 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	N/A	> 30.0	14.4	12
FY03	> 58.2	54.9	< 60 min.	25.0 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	29.7 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	18.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	N/A	> 30.0	14.3	12
FY02	> 58.2	61.8	< 60 min.	29.0 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	31.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	23.1 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	N/A	> 30.0	15.9	12
FY01	> 49.9	64.3	< 60 min.	26.0 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	24.2 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	15.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	N/A	> 30.0	15.7	12
FY00	> 49.9	57.9	< 60 min.	28.8 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	73.3 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	47.7 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	N/A	> 30.0	19.7	12
FY99	> 49.9	52.0	< 60 min.	27.2 min.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	> 30.0	23.3	12
FY98	> 58.2	58.2	< 60 min.	28.9 min.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	> 30.0	24.7	12
FY97	> 33.0	37.1	< 60 min.	19.4 min.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	> 30.0	19.4	12
FY96	> 33.0	35.2	< 60 min.	17.2 min.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	> 20.0	18.4	12
Chicago Heights													
FY11	> 18.0	13.4	< 60 min.	59.3 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	10.0 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	9.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.4 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY10	> 20.0	11.6	< 60 min.	61.8 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	8.3 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	6.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.8 hrs.	> 30.0	11.0	12
FY09	> 20.0	8.9	< 60 min.	51.3 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	5.6 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	4.9 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	> 30.0	1.5	12
FY08	> 35.0	11.4	< 60 min.	50.9 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	5.6 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	4.3 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.6 hrs.	> 30.0	2.6	12
FY07	> 35.0	15.1	< 60 min.	42.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	5.0 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	3.9 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.7 hrs.	> 30.0	7.1	12
FY06	> 35.0	12.8	< 60 min.	36.8 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	4.9 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	2.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.7 hrs.	> 30.0	2.6	12
FY05	> 35.0	20.5	< 60 min.	39.6 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	4.3 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	2.2 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.6 hrs.	> 30.0	2.7	12
FY04	> 35.0	24.2	< 60 min.	30.6 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	4.1 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	1.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.5 hrs.	> 30.0	5.5	12

Bold indicates objectives that have been met.

Table 20: Progress Over Multiple Years of Funding - LAP

Location Project Year	Project Objectives												Months of Project Data
	Patrol Schedule Hours/week		Motorist contact rate Citations & Warnings		DUI Arrest Rate		Alcohol-Related Contact Rate		DUI Processing Time Per DUI		Occupant Restraint Percentage		
	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	
Cook County													
FY11	> 20.0	22.6	< 60 min.	40.8 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	4.9 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	2.4 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	N/A	N/A	10
FY10	> 20.0	21.4	< 60 min.	35.4 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	3.7 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	1.9 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY09	> 20.0	13.8	< 60 min.	38.7 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	3.6 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	1.7 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
Decatur													
FY11	> 24.0	24.9	< 60 min.	97.8 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	10.6 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	8.3 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	0.7 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY10	> 24.0	23.8	< 60 min.	102.5 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	5.7 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	5.2 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	0.7 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
East Peoria													
FY11	> 17.5	13.9	< 60 min.	56.0 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	15.9 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	11.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.9 hrs.	N/A	N/A	8
FY10	> 35.0	33.8	< 60 min.	52.7 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	7.1 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	5.0 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
Elgin													
FY11	> 30.0	25.7	< 60 min.	22.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	5.1 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	3.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.9 hrs.	N/A	N/A	11
FY10	> 35.0	23.7	< 60 min.	24.3 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	3.0 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	2.3 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.8 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY09	> 35.0	24.5	< 60 min.	24.1 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	3.1 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	2.3 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.5 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY08	> 35.0	17.3	< 60 min.	23.5 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	2.7 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	1.9 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.3 hrs.	N/A	N/A	11
FY07	> 35.0	31.2	< 60 min.	48.8 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	23.1 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	10.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.9 hrs.	N/A	N/A	5
FY06	> 29.1	29.0	< 60 min.	45.6 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	21.4 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	10.3 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.9 hrs.	N/A	N/A	5
FY05	> 29.1	18.3	< 60 min.	49.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	18.7 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	10.2 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	N/A	N/A	6
Macon County													
FY11	> 35.0	36.5	< 60 min.	72.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	11.4 hrs.	N/A	N/A	< 2.0 hrs.	1.5 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY10	> 35.0	40.1	< 60 min.	91.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	10.7 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	6.2 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY09	> 35.0	29.9	< 60 min.	69.7 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	7.2 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	4.2 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.5 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY08	> 35.0	33.6	< 60 min.	68.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	8.0 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	4.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.6 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12

Bold indicates objectives that have been met.

Table 20: Progress Over Multiple Years of Funding – LAP - continued

Location Project Year	Project Objectives												
	Patrol Schedule Hours/week		Motorist contact rate Citations & Warnings		DUI Arrest Rate		Alcohol-Related Contact Rate		DUI Processing Time Per DUI		Occupant Restraint Percentage		Months of Project Data
	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	
Sangamon County													
FY11	> 35.0	19.0	< 60 min.	76.1 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	5.1 hrs.	N/A	N/A	< 2.0 hrs.	1.7 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY10	> 35.0	20.6	< 60 min.	86.3 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	8.5 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	6.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.2 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY09	> 35.0	28.5	< 60 min.	90.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	5.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	5.0 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.6 hrs.	> 30.0	0.3	12
FY08	> 35.0	33.5	< 60 min.	62.7 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	4.9 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	4.4 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	3.1 hrs.	> 30.0	2.9	12
FY07	> 35.0	30.7	< 60 min.	61.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	4.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	3.9 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.9 hrs.	> 30.0	0.4	12
FY06	> 35.0	30.9	< 60 min.	66.0 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	4.1 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	4.0 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.4 hrs.	> 30.0	0.4	12
FY05	> 35.0	31.0	< 60 min.	66.3 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	3.9 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	2.7 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.4 hrs.	> 30.0	0.1	12
FY04	> 35.0	30.6	< 60 min.	59.4 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	4.2 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	2.9 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	> 30.0	0.1	12
FY03	> 35.0	55.1	< 60 min.	47.3 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	52.4 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	19.7 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.1 hrs.	> 30.0	11.7	8
FY02	> 35.0	41.3	< 60 min.	39.8 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	18.2 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	8.0 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.2 hrs.	> 30.0	12.4	10
FY01	> 35.0	47.2	< 60 min.	48.8 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	11.1 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	6.3 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	3.3 hrs.	> 30.0	16.6	12
FY00	> 35.0	23.4	< 60 min.	63.9 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	11.7 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	6.4 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.8 hrs.	> 30.0	18.2	9
FY99	> 35.0	21.3	< 60 min.	45.1 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	7.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	4.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.7 hrs.	> 30.0	13.0	15
FY98	> 35.0	22.0	< 60 min.	50.8 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	4.9 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	3.3 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.5 hrs.	> 30.0	6.0	15
FY97	> 35.0	27.5	< 60 min.	39.1 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	5.2 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	3.5 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.4 hrs.	> 30.0	8.4	10
Skokie													
FY11	> 32.0	39.1	< 90 min.	50.0 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	22.5 hrs.	N/A	N/A	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	> 30.0	28.9	12
FY10	> 24.0	35.0	< 60 min.	36.0 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	15.6 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	7.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.9 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY09	> 24.0	37.1	< 60 min.	34.6 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	18.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	11.5 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY08	> 24.0	25.9	< 60 min.	30.9 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	14.4 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	10.7 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY07	> 24.0	28.4	< 60 min.	25.9 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	6.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	5.1 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.9 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
Springfield													
FY11	> 57.7	59.3	< 60 min.	179.7 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	8.8 hrs.	N/A	N/A	< 2.0 hrs.	1.9 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY10	> 60.0	52.3	< 60 min.	179.3 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	7.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	6.4 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.4 hrs.	> 30.0	3.4	12
FY09	> 60.0	53.1	< 60 min.	166.9 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	8.5 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	6.4 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	> 30.0	5.4	12
FY08	> 100.0	68.5	< 60 min.	69.3 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	13.6 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	11.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.7 hrs.	> 30.0	40.7	12
FY07	> 100.0	70.3	< 60 min.	65.1 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	11.7 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	9.4 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.3 hrs.	> 30.0	28.5	12
FY06	> 100.0	34.7	< 60 min.	97.4 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	5.3 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	3.9 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	0.9 hrs.	> 30.0	4.8	11

Bold indicates objectives that have been met.

Table 20: Progress Over Multiple Years of Funding – LAP - continued

Location Project Year	Project Objectives												
	Patrol Schedule Hours/week		Motorist contact rate Citations & Warnings		DUI Arrest Rate		Alcohol-Related Contact Rate		DUI Processing Time Per DUI		Occupant Restraint Percentage		Months of Project Data
	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	
St. Clair Co.													
FY11	> 43.8	31.4	< 60 min.	109.1 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	11.7 hrs.	< 8.0 hrs.	7.7 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.4 hrs.	> 25.0	3.7	11
FY10	> 43.8	35.0	< 60 min.	85.7 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	8.4 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	6.0 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	> 25.0	6.4	11
FY09	> 43.8	52.0	< 60 min.	82.6 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	7.4 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	5.1 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.2 hrs.	> 25.0	6.5	11
FY08	> 43.8	47.0	< 60 min.	64.1 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	8.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	5.1 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.4 hrs.	> 25.0	9.1	10
FY07	> 43.8	49.5	< 60 min.	51.4 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	11.9 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	4.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	> 25.0	16.7	10
FY06	> 43.8	45.6	< 60 min.	43.9 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	15.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	6.5 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	> 25.0	15.2	12
FY05	> 43.8	32.9	< 60 min.	39.4 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	10.4 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	4.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.1 hrs.	> 25.0	21.8	12
Waukegan													
FY11	> 80.0**	28.1**	< 60 min.	54.4 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	9.1 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	5.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY10	> 80.0**	50.8**	< 60 min.	36.4 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	5.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	4.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY09	> 80.0**	48.8**	< 60 min.	52.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	4.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	3.9 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.9 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY07	> 80.0**	50.5**	< 60 min.	62.0 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	4.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	3.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.8 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY06	> 80.0**	58.5**	< 60 min.	53.6 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	4.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	3.7 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.8 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY05	> 80.0**	51.7**	< 60 min.	51.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	4.2 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	2.2 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.3 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY04	> 80.0**	56.6**	< 60 min.	35.4 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	2.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	1.5 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.4 hrs.	N/A	N/A	11
FY03	> 80.0**	55.4**	< 60 min.	30.7 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	2.5 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	1.4 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.2 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
Wheeling													
FY11	> 30.0	26.8	< 60 min.	57.7 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	14.8 hrs.	N/A	N/A	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY10	> 36.0	33.1	< 60 min.	49.5 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	11.0 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	9.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY09	> 36.0	38.5	< 60 min.	38.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	9.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	8.1 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12

Bold indicates objectives that have been met.

** Waukegan dedicated two full-time officers to LAP patrol for FY03 through FY11. The actual patrol hours per week does not include vacation, sick leave, or personal time.

Table 20: Progress Over Multiple Years of Funding – LAP - continued

Location Project Year	Project Objectives												
	Patrol Schedule Hours/week		Motorist contact rate Citations & Warnings		DUI Arrest Rate		Alcohol-Related Contact Rate		DUI Processing Time Per DUI		Occupant Restraint Percentage		Months of Project Data
	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	
Will County													
FY11	> 40.0	30.4	< 60 min.	44.1 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	9.1 hrs.	N/A	N/A	< 2.0 hrs.	2.3 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY10	> 40.0	29.2	< 60 min.	40.3 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	7.6 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	6.1 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.2 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY09	> 40.0	24.2	< 60 min.	36.7 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	7.7 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	5.9 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.9 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY08	> 40.0	20.9	< 60 min.	55.5 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	12.4 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	9.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.1 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY07	> 40.0	22.7	< 60 min.	64.8 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	11.4 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	8.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.1 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY06	> 40.0	23.8	< 60 min.	51.8 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	8.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	7.5 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.1 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12
FY05	> 40.0	21.2	< 60 min.	44.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	6.9 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	3.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.1 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12