

Stakeholder Involvement Plan

Version 1.0

I-55 Improvements at Airport Road/Lockport Street and at IL Route 126/Essington Road

Job No. P-91-130-07

I-55 Improvements at Airport Road/Lockport Street and at IL 126/Essington Road
Draft Stakeholder Involvement Plan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1	Project Background.....	1
1.2	Community Context.....	1
1.3	NEPA.....	1
1.4	Context Sensitive Solutions.....	4
2	GOALS AND OBJECTIVES	5
2.1	Stakeholder Involvement Plan Goals	5
2.2	Stakeholder Identification Procedures	6
2.3	Stakeholder Involvement Ground Rules	6
3	INTERAGENCY COORDINATION AND CONCURRENCE	8
3.1	Joint Lead Agencies	8
3.2	Cooperating Agencies	8
4	STAKEHOLDER OUTREACH METHODS	9
4.1	Project Study Group (PSG)	9
4.2	Community Advisory Group (CAG)	11
4.3	Small Group Meetings.....	12
4.4	Local Officials Meetings	13
4.5	Public Meetings.....	13
4.6	Other Outreach Activities	15
5	MEASURING PROGRESS AND SIP EFFECTIVENESS	18
	Appendix A.....	i
	Appendix B	vii

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Background

The potential new interchange on I-55 at Airport Road and potential full access interchange at IL 126/Essington Road lie within the limits of the Villages of Romeoville, Bolingbrook and Plainfield in the northwest edge of Will County (see Location Map on next page). The potential improvements are anticipated to address safety and traffic congestion concerns within the Villages of Romeoville, Bolingbrook and Plainfield due to area growth and to improve available access to I-55 within the project study area that would promote economic development and employment growth.

At present, Airport Road crosses over I-55 and IL 126 connects to I-55 with a one-way northbound on-ramp and a one-way southbound off-ramp. Within the study area there are frontage roads that connect 143rd Street and Airport Road on both sides of I-55 and a frontage road that connects Airport Road and Renwick Road on the east side of I-55.


1.2 Community Context

Given the potential impacts that access improvements could have, the study has been designated as a Context Sensitive Solutions (CSS) project by the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT). There are existing homes, schools and natural features in the vicinity of IL 126/Essington Road. Plainfield Township has a park district facility at the northwest quadrant of Airport Road and I-55. Will County Forest Preserve's Lake Renwick East Land and Water Reserve abuts the west side of I-55 south of Airport Road. At the southwest quadrant of Airport Road and I-55 is the Plainfield Township Government complex. The complex includes access to the Lake Renwick Preserve bike trail. In addition to these community and cultural facilities, previous studies have identified key natural resources that include wetlands, private recreation areas, potential habitat for threatened and endangered species and floodplain areas.

1.3 NEPA


The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 requires the consideration of environmental values for "major" federal actions, integrating them into their decision-making process by considering the impacts of their proposed actions and reasonable alternatives to these actions. This study is anticipated to be processed as an Environmental Assessment.

I-55 Improvements at Airport Road/Lockport Street and at IL 126/Essington Road
Draft Stakeholder Involvement Plan



AREA MAP

I-55 Improvements at Airport Road/Lockport Street and at IL 126/Essington Road
Draft Stakeholder Involvement Plan



PROJECT LOCATION MAP

1.4 Context Sensitive Solutions

This study is being developed using the principles of Context Sensitive Solutions (CSS) per the IDOT CSS Policy outlined in Chapter 19 of the BDE Manual. CSS is an interdisciplinary approach that seeks effective, multi-modal transportation solutions by working with stakeholders to develop, build, and maintain cost-effective transportation facilities that fit into and reflect the project's surroundings—its “context.” Through early, frequent, and meaningful communication with stakeholders, and a flexible and creative approach to design, the resulting projects should improve safety and mobility for the traveling public while seeking to preserve and enhance the scenic, economic, historic and natural qualities of the settings through which they pass.

The CSS approach will provide stakeholders with the tools and information they require to effectively participate in the study process including providing an understanding of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process, transportation planning guidelines, design guidelines, and the relationship between transportation issues (needs) and project alternatives. Using the CSS process should provide all interested stakeholders a mechanism to share comments or concerns about transportation objectives and project alternatives, as well as improve the ability of the project team to understand and address concerns raised. This integrated approach to problem solving and decision-making will help build general community agreement on the preferred alternative and promote involvement through the study process.

The CSS process is a collaborative approach between IDOT and stakeholders to develop a facility that fits into its surroundings and preserve scenic, aesthetic, historic and environmental resources while maintaining safety and mobility. The Stakeholder Involvement Plan (SIP) is a framework plan for the execution of CSS that is both comprehensive and flexible based on project needs. Therefore, the SIP is subject to revision anytime as events warrant.

2 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

2.1 Stakeholder Involvement Plan Goals

The purpose of this plan is to provide a guide for implementing stakeholder involvement for the study of a potential I-55 Interchange at Airport Road and a potential full access Interchange at IL 126/Essington Road project. The SIP will be used as a blueprint for defining methods and tools to educate and engage stakeholders in the decision-making process for this study. The SIP has been designed to ensure that stakeholders are provided a number of opportunities to be informed and engaged as the project progresses. Public involvement is an integral component of that process.

Projects coordinated by IDOT are commonly divided into three phases. Preliminary engineering and environmental studies are known as Phase I of the process and include planning and preliminary design. The environmental impacts of a proposed project are determined during this phase, as well as measures to avoid, minimize and/or mitigate those impacts. The design phase, also referred to as Phase II, includes the final design and preparation of plans, specifications and estimates for construction of the selected improvement and any required land acquisition to build the project. The project then progresses to implementation, also known as Phase III, which is when the project is actually constructed.

It is important to note that the CSS process is continuous throughout all phases. The SIP will be modified throughout each phase of the process to reflect input from the community and stakeholders as this input comes to light. The general steps of the CSS process during Phase I are:

- Step One: Stakeholder Identification and Project Initiation
- Step Two: Develop Problem Statement and Purpose and Need
- Step Three: Define Alternatives
- Step Four: Approve Final Alternative

Specific goals and objectives of the CSS process that integrate these steps include:

- Identify all stakeholders of the project, and ensure their opportunity for meaningful input into the project's development from beginning to end.
- Determine the study area context, including area issues and community values, with stakeholder input and concurrence.
- Identify transportation problems which can and should be addressed by the study with stakeholder involvement and concurrence.

- Identify reasonable solutions to address identified transportation problems with stakeholder involvement and concurrence.
- Choose a preferred solution to the identified transportation problems for the project with stakeholder involvement and general agreement.
- Treat all involved parties with respect and dignity, in a transparent manner and in a way that ensures their input is duly heard and considered.

2.2 Stakeholder Identification Procedures

The identification of stakeholders begins through a combination of desktop searches, input from local community leaders and a community context audit. Stakeholders for this study may include, but not be limited to, the following:

- Residents
- State, county and local elected officials
- Business owners in the project area
- Churches and schools in the project area
- Advocates for community and historic interests
- Special interest groups (neighborhood, environmental, etc.)
- Community leaders from park and fire protection districts.
- Government and regional planning agencies
- Transportation system users
- Chambers of Commerce
- Utilities
- Others outside the area of the project with an interest in the project

As key stakeholders are identified, they will be added to Appendix A, Table 4-6.

2.3 Stakeholder Involvement Ground Rules

The SIP will operate under a set of ground rules that form the basis for the respectful interaction of all parties involved in this process. Tentative ground rules include:

- The purpose of the Stakeholder Involvement Process is to gather and consider input on the study from all stakeholders in order to yield the best solutions to problems identified by the process.
- Input from all participants in the process is valued and considered. All participants must come to the process with an open mind and participate openly and honestly and must treat each other with respect and dignity.
- General agreement is achieved when the majority of the stakeholders are in agreement with the minority feeling their input has been taken into consideration.

I-55 Improvements at Airport Road/Lockport Street and at IL 126/Essington Road
Draft Stakeholder Involvement Plan

- Agreement of stakeholders is sought but the ultimate decisions are the responsibility of IDOT and FHWA.
- The Project Study Group (PSG) will make a final recommendation on a preferred alternative with the goal of seeking general stakeholder agreement with the decision.
- The study must progress at a reasonable pace based on the original project schedule.
- All decisions by IDOT must be arrived at in a clear and transparent manner and stakeholders should agree that their input has been actively solicited and considered.
- The list of stakeholders is subject to revision at any time.
- Minutes of all stakeholder contacts will be maintained by IDOT and the consultant project team with the content subject to stakeholder concurrence.
- Members of the media are welcome in all stakeholder meetings but must remain in the role of observers, not participants, in the process.

3 INTERAGENCY COORDINATION AND CONCURRENCE

The goal of agency outreach and involvement is to ensure early and ongoing coordination with affected agencies regarding study issues, as well as to achieve agency support for how CSS and the public process will play a role in project development and decision-making.

3.1 Joint Lead Agencies

Romeoville, Bolingbrook and Plainfield will act as joint-lead agencies with IDOT and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) (See Appendix A, Table 3-1)

3.2 Cooperating Agencies

A cooperating agency is any federal agency that has jurisdiction by law or special expertise with respect to any environmental impact involved in a proposed project. Cooperating agencies are permitted, by request of the lead agency, to assume responsibility for developing information and preparing environmental analyses for topics about which they have special expertise.

Agencies invited to serve as cooperating agencies for this project include (see Appendix A, Table 3-2):

- US Environmental Protection Agency
- Illinois Department of Natural Resources
- Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
- Illinois Historic Preservation Agency
- Illinois Department of Agriculture
- US Fish and Wildlife Service
- US Army Corps of Engineers

4 **STAKEHOLDER OUTREACH METHODS**

A public involvement process will be conducted in accordance with relevant IDOT policies. The following activities are included in the public involvement process for Phase I of the potential I-55 Interchange at Airport Road and full access interchange at IL 126/Essington Road study. All activities and presentation material will be approved by IDOT before proceeding. The designated IDOT project contact is Jessica Feliciano, Project Manager of IDOT's District One.

Stakeholder involvement for this study will be an ongoing process from project initiation through completion. Appendix B provides the entire project development schedule, including public involvement. Various meetings will be held throughout the study to provide outreach opportunities to all stakeholders. The working groups for this study will consist of a Project Study Group, Community Advisory Group and local officials meetings as described in the SIP. Additional opportunities will be given to stakeholders to participate through small group meetings and public meetings.

4.1 **Project Study Group (PSG)**

The Project Study Group (PSG) consists of a multidisciplinary team of representatives from IDOT, FHWA, project consultant team and the Weber Road Interchange project consultant team. The PSG will make project recommendations and decisions on this project.

The PSG has primary responsibility for the project development process. This group will meet periodically throughout the study process to provide technical oversight and expertise in key areas including project process, agency procedures and standards, and technical approaches. The PSG also has primary responsibility for ensuring compliance with the SIP as well as IDOT and FHWA requirements.

Other responsibilities of the PSG include the following:

- Expediting the project development process
- Identifying and resolving project development issues
- Promoting partnership with stakeholders to address identified project needs
- Working to develop general concurrence with stakeholders
- Make periodic presentations to the FHWA to acquire partial/complete approvals on approach and design solutions
- Acquire clearances and approval of resource agencies

The persons listed in Appendix A, Table 4-4 will form the PSG for the study of a potential I-55 Interchange at Airport Road and full access Interchange at IL 126/Essington Road. The tentative schedule along with the meeting purpose for each meeting is presented in Table 4-1:

I-55 Improvements at Airport Road/Lockport Street and at IL 126/Essington Road
Draft Stakeholder Involvement Plan

Table 4-1: PSG Meetings (Updated 08/31/16)

PSG Meeting	Tentative Date	Purpose/Goal of Meeting
#1	January 12, 2011	▪ Introduce project to BDE/FHWA ▪ Review agenda for 1st Public Meeting
#2	April 13, 2011	▪ Review results of 1st Public Meeting ▪ Present agenda for CAG #1 ▪ Next steps in Phase I process
#3	July 13, 2011	▪ Present draft Problem Statement ▪ Present draft Purpose and Need Statement ▪ Present agenda for CAG #2
#4	August 10, 2011	▪ Review results of CAG #2 ▪ Present revised problem statement and Purpose & Need statement
#5	December 6, 2011	▪ Review results of NEPA/404 Merger meeting and CAG #3 ▪ Review revised Purpose & Need statement ▪ Review range of alternatives
#6	February 8, 2012	▪ Review expanded range of alternatives ▪ Review Lockport Street Bypass ▪ Review results of fatal flaw screening evaluation
#7	April 11, 2012	▪ Review range of alternatives ▪ Review agenda for 2nd public meeting
#8	October 23, 2012	▪ Review remaining alternatives ▪ Review results of traffic projections ▪ Review status of Purpose & Need Statement
#9	February 6, 2013	▪ Review revised Purpose & Need Statement ▪ Discuss issues raised by USACE and USEPA

I-55 Improvements at Airport Road/Lockport Street and at IL 126/Essington Road
Draft Stakeholder Involvement Plan

#10	June 9, 2014	▪ Review results of environmental field review ▪ Discuss issues raised by USACE and USFWS ▪ Review additional alternatives to be evaluated
#11	February 10, 2016	▪ Review revised alternatives ▪ Review concurrence on alternatives to be carried forward ▪ Review status of EA timeframe agreement
#12	May 11, 2016	▪ Review Alternative 16 – Full Access IL 126 Interchange ▪ Obtain determination on Section 4(f) property at IL 126
#13	August 10, 2016	▪ Review results of CAG #5 and NEPA/404 meeting ▪ Review potential recommended preferred alternative ▪ Review plans for next NEPA/404 Merger meeting and Public Meeting #3 ▪ Review remaining steps in Phase I study process

4.2 Community Advisory Group (CAG)

A key element of this Stakeholder Involvement Plan will be the creation of a Community Advisory Group (CAG). This group will consist of representatives from a broad cross section of area interests. While not formal CAG members, other important participants are resource agencies which will offer input on the environmental context within the I-55 study corridor.

The CAG will achieve several study objectives. First, by sharing information about their communities or organizations, the CAG will help the Project Study Group (PSG) more clearly understand the broader community. The Consultant Team will present detailed information about the study to CAG members on a regular basis, thus allowing the CAG to keep the broader community up to date on the progress of the study. CAG members will then report back with feedback from the groups they represent. In carrying out these functions, the CAG will play an important role in defining the area's important features, identifying highway preferences and in understanding the impact of potential highway improvements.

Table 4-2: CAG Meetings (Updated 08/31/16)

CAG Meeting	Tentative Date	Purpose/Goal of Meeting
#1	May 17, 2011	▪ Define CSS study process & ground rules ▪ Determine transportation related needs, issues and constraints in the study area ▪ Obtain understanding of community concerns
#2	July 19, 2011	▪ Present/Refine Problem Statement ▪ Present/Refine Purpose & Need Statement ▪ Present results of Community Context Audit
#3	September 21, 2011	▪ Review Problem Statement and Purpose & Need Statement ▪ Review potential alternatives and design criteria
#4	January 31, 2012	▪ Review alternative evaluation factors ▪ Review results of fatal flaw evaluation ▪ Obtain CAG input on alternatives to be further evaluated
#5	May 10, 2016	▪ Provide description of alternative to be carried forward ▪ Obtain CAG input on evaluation factors for selecting preferred alternative
#6	January 2017	▪ Present results of evaluating alternatives to be carried forward ▪ Present recommended preferred alternative for presentation in Public Hearing ▪ Address questions and comments on preferred alternative

4.3 Small Group Meetings

To receive more detailed input from stakeholders, small group meetings will be held to provide the opportunity to discuss study issues, concerns, potential solutions and desired public involvement strategies. Meetings may be used as the process progresses to address emerging issues related to interchange alternatives or in the immediate vicinity of the study corridor.

These meetings will further enhance engagement of the community in development of the project and solutions that are truly context sensitive. Small group meetings will be held with community leaders identified by local staff and officials or in response to outreach/request from community stakeholders.

4.4 Local Officials Meetings

Local officials' meetings provide an opportunity to obtain input from city/county/local officials, to provide updates at project milestones, and to facilitate the flow of information between the officials and IDOT, as well as the public and area stakeholders. Local officials expected to be in attendance at these meetings may include:

- Village of Romeoville's Mayor and Trustees
- Village of Bolingbrook's Mayor and Trustees
- Village of Plainfield's Mayor and Trustees
- Will County Department of Highways
- State and federal legislative representatives
- Representative(s) of the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP)
- Representative(s) of the Will County Government League (WCGL), Illinois Municipal League and other organizations as applicable

These briefings further ensure that local communities are fully educated on the current study process and have opportunities for input and representation.

Local Officials meetings will likely occur prior to the public meetings/hearing for the project and will cover similar milestones as those addressed in the PSG meetings listed previously. Appropriate study summary materials will be prepared for distribution at these briefings and officials will also receive public meeting notifications. Relevant local officials will be contacted based on PSG input and additional research.

4.5 Public Meetings

Public involvement for this project also will include opportunities for broader public meetings in the form of public information meetings. These large-scale meetings will be held to coincide with major project milestones, raising awareness of the project development process. These meetings also will provide a forum for general public input, including concerns and comments regarding the study.

Three Public Meetings will be held in an open house format in addition to a Public Hearing. Meetings will be held within the study area in locations that are convenient to the community

and stakeholders. The first public informational meeting was held on February 22, 2011 from 4-7 pm at the Romeoville Village Hall. The meeting introduced the study and the CSS process, identified general issues with the potential interchange improvements, developed information on project and community context and gathered information related to project Purpose and Need. Two meetings will be held during the Alternatives Analysis phase of the project to obtain stakeholder comment on the development of alternatives and the potential effects of alternatives that are developed. A public hearing will be held for input on the Preferred Alternative for the project. Table 4-3 highlights the tentative date, purpose and objectives of each public meeting/hearing event.

Table 4-3: Public Meetings/Hearing (Updated 08/31/16)

Meeting	Tentative Date	Purpose/Objectives of Meeting
Open House #1	February 22, 2011	▪ Introduce the project and CSS process, provide project background and present information on study process to stakeholders ▪ Identify general issues with the interchange project as well as information on project and community context audit (CCA). ▪ Confirm project study area ▪ Provide an opportunity for stakeholders to communicate issues/concerns
Open House #2	June 21, 2012	▪ Present Problem Statement ▪ Present Purpose and Need Statement ▪ Define initial range of alternatives ▪ Review alternative evaluation process ▪ Obtain stakeholder input on alternatives
Open House #3	October 13, 2016	▪ Present alternatives to be carried forward ▪ Present preliminary results of alternative evaluation ▪ Obtain stakeholder input on the evaluation of alternatives

I-55 Improvements at Airport Road/Lockport Street and at IL 126/Essington Road
Draft Stakeholder Involvement Plan

#4 (Public Hearing)	Summer 2017 (Target)	▪ Present evaluation results of alternatives carried forward and the recommended preferred alternative ▪ Review impacts, detailed information on engineering design aspects, and proposed mitigation ▪ Obtain stakeholder comments on the preferred alternative
---------------------	-------------------------	---

These meetings will utilize various public informational techniques such as project exhibits, handouts, including a CSS process fact sheet and a PowerPoint presentation. Opportunities for the public to provide written comments (comment forms) will be available at the meetings. Translation services will be provided as needed. News releases and meeting announcements will be prepared by the consultant team.

4.6 Other Outreach Activities

In addition to meeting opportunities, other activities will support public involvement and CSS efforts as described in the following sections.

4.6.1 Community Context Audit

A Community Context Audit (CCA) form will be used by the consultant team to engage community participation and will be amended if necessary through discussions with area stakeholders and the PSG. The form will serve as a guide to identify various community characteristics in Romeoville, Bolingbrook and Plainfield unique to its residents, businesses and the public in general. The stakeholders participating in the CAG will be asked to fill out a survey to assist in completing the CCA and comments collected at small group meetings, larger public meetings and submitted via mail will also be reviewed. The information will help identify the project problem statement, as well as the purpose and need for potential transportation improvements based upon community goals and local plans for future development. The CCA is designed to take into account the community's history or heritage, as well as address local concerns related to anticipated mobility and access, safety, economic, aesthetic, and quality of life conditions.

4.6.2 Project Identity

A visual identity (logo, graphics scheme), project name, and short phrase or tag line to describe the purpose of the study has been prepared. Key messages will convey what the current

project is about, clarify the various parties involved in the project (IDOT, FHWA and the villages) and clearly outline the role of stakeholders in the CSS process.

4.6.3 Project Mailing List

The Project Mailing List is a separate document maintained throughout the project. The initial Project Mailing List will be developed through the stakeholder identification process described previously with input from Romeoville, Bolingbrook, IDOT, and other project development partners. It includes resource agencies, residents, elected officials, local community leaders, property owners, business owners, environmental interests, economic development entities, and other stakeholder groups in the area of the project.

It is anticipated that new stakeholders will be added to the initial stakeholder list throughout the study. All stakeholders expressing interest in the project will be added to the project mailing list and will be able to participate in the process through various public outreach opportunities. This mailing list is used to send public meeting and hearing notifications, as well as for distribution of project newsletters.

4.6.4 Project Website

A project website, www.airportand126study.com was created that will be updated bi-monthly and include monthly meeting announcements, exhibits, reports, newsletters and other study related information. The site will be accessed through links on the websites of both the Villages of Romeoville and Bolingbrook.

4.6.5 Newsletters

The purpose of the newsletters is to keep area residents, business and property owners, interested citizens, civic groups, local agency officials, community groups and other stakeholders informed of the status of the project.

It is anticipated that there will be six (6) newsletters developed during the project at appropriate milestones. Possible milestones for newsletters are listed below:

- Newsletter #1 - The first newsletter will be distributed after the first public meeting, and will share information on the community and environmental context, present initial alternatives, describe the NEPA process and inform the public on how to stay involved in the process.

- **Newsletter #2** - A second newsletter will be distributed during the alternatives analysis phase to describe the problem statement/project purpose, present the draft Purpose and Need, describe detailed study alternatives, present initial impacts and summarize previous input from the public. This newsletter may be distributed prior to the second public meeting depending on the information developed relative to the timing of the public meeting opportunity.
- **Newsletter # 3** – This newsletter will summarize input from the public from the second public Open house related to the draft Purpose and Need and initial study alternatives.
- **Newsletter #4** - This newsletter will be distributed prior to the third Open House and will describe the further refinement of alternatives, impacts and mitigation options.
- **Newsletter #5** - Prior to the Public Hearing a newsletter will be distributed to announce the hearing place, date and time, summarize the outcomes of the NEPA process, present the Preferred alternative and share refined community/environmental data and potential impacts as well as proposed mitigation and enhancement strategies.
- **Newsletter #6** – Upon receiving Design Approval, this newsletter will summarize the Public Hearing and selection of a Preferred Alternative and provide information for the next phase of the project.

In addition to the newsletters, postcard announcements may be used to announce scheduled meetings.

4.6.6 Media Notifications/Relations

All media contact will be coordinated with the Villages of Romeoville and Bolingbrook. Meeting notifications for newspapers will be prepared using IDOT's template. Press releases will also be prepared and reviewed through both Villages prior to each public meeting series. Announcements will be posted on the Village of Romeoville's and Bolingbrook's websites. A crucial focus of the media relations support strategy is to provide accurate information on the project and study process in addition to ensuring awareness of public input opportunities.

4.6.7 Public Comments

The project team will document stakeholder comments and responses throughout the study. Comments will come in the form of e-mail, standard mail, phone calls and comment forms from meetings and briefings. The Project Mailing List will be continually updated for individuals who request to be added to the distribution list.

5 MEASURING PROGRESS AND SIP EFFECTIVENESS

The SIP will be updated as the study moves forward. The SIP will be made available and explained at public meetings for input. As the project proceeds forward, the SIP will be updated to reflect appropriate changes or additions. All parts, including the stakeholder list, are fluid. It is subject to change, as needed, throughout the project. Modifications may be made to it by the PSG based on their own input and that of other stakeholders.

The effectiveness and success of the SIP will be monitored throughout the study. Measures will include levels of participation in various public and community meetings, as well as feedback on the effectiveness of outreach (feedback from participants and targeted questions on comment forms). The project team will also review whether public comment is being obtained to support project development and decision-making. Progress will be reviewed through PSG meetings and communications following public meetings.

Evaluation results will demonstrate the usefulness of particular outreach techniques and the benefits achieved in communications with stakeholders. The program design will be modified, as needed, throughout the project to respond to stakeholder feedback and meet project needs. Additional outreach activities may be incorporated or planned activities may be modified as needed.

Appendix A

Table 3-1: Joint-Lead Agencies

Organization	Name	Phone
Village of Romeoville	John Noak	(815) 886-7200 Ext. 420
Village of Bolingbrook	Roger C. Claar	(630) 226-8412
Village of Plainfield	Michael P. Collins	(815) 609-6100
Illinois Department of Transportation	John Fortmann	(847) 705-4110
Federal Highway Administration	Norm Stoner	(217) 492-4641

Table 3-2: Cooperating Agencies

Organization	Name	Phone
City of Crest Hill	Ray Soliman	(815) 741-5104
US Environmental Protection Agency	Newton Eliens	(312) 353-5562
Illinois Department of Natural Resources (IDNR)	Marc Miller	(217) 785-0075
Illinois Environmental Protection Agency	Douglas Scott	(217) 782-3397
Illinois Historic Preservation Agency (IHPA)	Anne Haaker	(217) 785-5027
US Fish and Wildlife Service	Shawn Cirton	(847) 381-2253 ext.11
US Army Corps of Engineers	Kathy Chernich	(312) 846-5530
Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP)	Joseph Szabo	(312) 386-8600
Will County Department of Highways	Bruce Gould	(815) 727-8476
Will County Governmental League	Hugh O'Hara	(815) 729-3535
Forest Preserve District of Will County	Cory Singer	(815) 727-8700
Illinois Department of Agriculture	Joe Hampton	

I-55 Improvements at Airport Road/Lockport Street and at IL 126/Essington Road
Draft Stakeholder Involvement Plan

Table 4-4: Project Study Group (PSG)

Organization	Name	Title	Phone
IDOT District 1	John Baczek	Project and Environmental Section Chief	(847) 705-4393
IDOT District 1	Peter Harmet	Bureau Chief of the Bureau of Programming	(847) 705-4393
IDOT District 1	Jessica Feliciano	Project Manager, Bureau of Programming – Consultant Studies Unit	(847) 705-4087
IDOT District 1	Steve Brink	Bureau of Traffic	(847) 705-4155
IDOT District 1	Wayne Chan	Area Permit Engineer for Will County	(847) 705-4146
IDOT District 1	Julia Fox	Expressway Unit	(847)705-4157
IDOT District 1	Tony Quigley	Bureau Chief of Design	(847) 705-4211
IDOT District 1	Catherine Kibble	Consultant Services Section Chief	(847) 705-4269
IDOT District 1	Jose Dominguez	Design Project Support Engineer	(847) 705-4385
IDOT District 1	Steve Schilke	Consultant Studies Unit Head	(847) 705-4074
IDOT District 1	Mike Cullian	Property and Management Relocation Chief	(847) 705-4280
IDOT District 1	Rick Wanner	Bureau of Maintenance Roadside Development	(847) 705-4172
IDOT District 1	Sam Mead	Environmental Studies Unit Head	(847) 705-4101
IDOT District 1	Vanessa Ruiz	Environmental Specialist	(847) 705-4627
IDOT District 1	Rick Wojcik	Section Chief of Hydraulics Unit	(847) 705-4098
IDOT District 1	Mehdi Geraminegad	Hydraulics Engineer	(847) 705-4366
IDOT District 1	Sarah Wilson	Bureau of Maintenance	
IDOT	Walt Zyznieuski	Bureau of Design & Environment	
IDOT	Paul Niedernhofer	Bureau of Design & Environment	
FHWA	Mike Hine	Transportation Engineer	(217) 492-4634
FHWA	Dennis Bachman	Transportation Engineer	(217) 492-4628
FHWA	Matt Fuller	Environmental Engineer	(217) 492-4625

I-55 Improvements at Airport Road/Lockport Street and at IL 126/Essington Road
Draft Stakeholder Involvement Plan

Table 4-5: Community Advisory Group (CAG)

Name	Organization	Municipality	Phone Number
Jim Sanders	homeowner on 135th	Plainfield	(H)815-436-0620 (C)630-880-1096
Laurie McPhillips	Will Co. Board & Forest Preserve District of Will Co.	Plainfield	708-436-3354
Scot Dutler	homeowner on 135th	Plainfield	630-979-8292
Cameron Bettin	Plainfield Park District	Plainfield	815-439-7940
George M. Milton	Plainfield resident	Plainfield	815-436-3732
Dennis/Barb Poma	Plainfield residents	Plainfield	815-439-2076
Michael S. Lambert	Plainfield resident	Plainfield	815-436-8133 X12
Bill Lamb	Lakelands Community HOA/ Trustee	Plainfield	815-436-1860
Tom Mooney	Plainfield resident	Plainfield	815-529-3168
Dale Howard	Vulcan Materials	Romeoville	815-791-7956
Mark Turvey	Romeoville Chief of Police	Romeoville	815-886-7219
Randall Jessen	Plainfield - superintendent of public works	Plainfield	215-436-3577
Greg Bott	Plainfield Park District	Plainfield	815-436-8812
Nathan Darga	Village of Romeoville - Planner	Romeoville	815-886-5033
Nick Palmer	Will County Executive	Joliet	817-774-7488
Don Hornig	Romeoville resident	Romeoville	815-886-6878
Eric Olson	Romeoville resident (Fieldstone)	Romeoville	815-254-7669
Suzanne Benedetto	Plainfield resident, Lakelands Club HOA	Plainfield	630-993-5600
Dave Sniegowski	Property owner at IL126 & Essington leased to gun club	Homer Glen	815-341-9985
James H. (Jim) Klick	Airplane Owners and Pilots Association	Crest Hill	815-609-7165 (h) 815-258-0047 (c)
Gregory Dover	The GAD Group LLC	Bolingbrook	630-226-1013

Table 4-5: Community Advisory Group (CAG) continued . . .

Name	Organization	Municipality	Phone Number
Mike Evans	Bolingbrook Area Chamber of Commerce	Bolingbrook	630-226-8420
Kevin Calkins	Plainfield resident	Plainfield	480-213-5089
Jon Zabrocki	Village Engineer	Romeoville	815-412-2708
Thomas Pawlowicz	Assistant Village Engineer	Bolingbrook	630-226-8851
Andi French	Plainfield Township Official	Plainfield	815-436-8308
John Argoudelis	Plainfield Township Official	Plainfield	815-436-8308
Jim Wilson	Elmhurst Chicago Stone	Bolingbrook	630-983-6410
Bridget Domberg	Romeoville Chamber of Commerce	Romeoville	815.886.2076
Ronda Klocko	Adventist Bolingbrook Hospital	Bolingbrook	
Matthew J. Grusecki	Northern Builders	Schiller Park	847-875-5060 x299
Richard Hilliard	Foxridge HOA president and Bolingbrook PD employee	Bolingbrook	630-226-8586 work
Ron See	Bolingbrook Resident	Bolingbrook	630-234-1119
Tom Thiakos	Bolingbrook Resident	Bolingbrook	312-992-7802 (w) 630-698-0866 © 815-782-7863 (h)
Jared Hamilton, PE	Bolingbrook Resident & Stanley Consultants, Inc.	Chicago	773-693-9624 Work, 773-269-8775 Cell
Tim Rogers	Bolingbrook Resident	Bolingbrook	815-439-3435 (h) 630-822-1532 ©
Steve Cross	Foxridge Farms HOA	Bolingbrook	
John Noak	Mayor	Romeoville	815-886-5636
Chris Lawson	EDC Member & Director of the Lewis Airport	Romeoville	815-886-5778 x 114

Table 4-5: Community Advisory Group (CAG) continued . . .

Name	Organization	Municipality	Phone Number
Steve Gulden	Village Manager	Romeoville	815-886-5778
Dr. Bernice Holloway	Village Clerk	Romeoville	815-886-5636
Ken Griffin	Village Trustee	Romeoville	815-577-0802
Kim Allen	Romeoville Resident	Romeoville	815-293-0347
Judy/Brad Johnstone	Plainfield resident	Plainfield	815-439-0613
Kathy Chernich	Chicago Dist. Regulatory Function Branch ACOE	Chicago	

Table 4-5: Community Advisory Group (CAG) Alternates

Name	Organization	Municipality	Phone Number
Dave Furmanek	Lakelands Club	Plainfield	815-267-8798
Tom Gename	Lakelands Club	Plainfield	630-643-9101
Tom Cowan	Lakelands Club	Plainfield	630-507-1830

Table 4-5: Community Advisory Group (CAG) Resource Agencies

Name	Organization
Kathy G. Chernich	Chief, East Branch US Army Corps of Engineers
Kenneth Westlake	USEPA
Shawn Citron	USFWS
Terry Savko	IDOA
Steve Hamer	IDNR
Dan Heacock	IEPA
Anne Haaker	IHPA

Table 4-6: Key Stakeholders

Organization	City	State	Zip Code	Phone
Plainfield Township Park District	Plainfield	Illinois	60544	(815) 439-7940
Chamber of Commerce	Plainfield	Illinois	60544	(815) 436-4431
Forest Preserve District of Will County	Joliet	Illinois	60433	(815) 727-8700
Chamber of Commerce	Romeoville	Illinois	60446	(815) 886-2076
Chamber of Commerce	Bolingbrook	Illinois	60440	(630) 226-8420
Plainfield Township	Plainfield	Illinois	60544	(815) 436-8308
Lakewood Falls Homeowners Association	Romeoville	Illinois	60446	(815) 439-0556
The Pointe at Fieldstone HOA	Romeoville	Illinois	60446	
Weslake Homeowners Association	Romeoville	Illinois	60446	
Lakewood Falls Homeowners Association	Plainfield	Illinois	60544	
Carillon Homeowners Association	Plainfield	Illinois	60544	(815) 886-6767
Cambridge at the Reserves HOA	Plainfield	Illinois	60544	
Village of Plainfield	Plainfield	Illinois	60544	(815) 609-6100
Village of Romeoville	Romeoville	Illinois	60446	(815) 886-7200
Village of Bolingbrook	Bolingbrook	Illinois	60440	(630) 226-8412
Lakelands Club Homeowners Assoc.	Plainfield	Illinois	60585	(630) 643-9101

Table 4-7: Stakeholder Involvement Plan Revision History

Edit	Date	-
Draft, Version 1.0	November 24, 2010	-
Revision	April 28, 2011	-
Revision	July 13, 2011	-
Revision	September 13, 2016	-

I-55 Improvements at Airport Road/Lockport Street and at IL 126/Essington Road

Draft Stakeholder Involvement Plan

Appendix B

Project Development Schedule

Task Name	2010			2011												2012												2013														
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC			
Collection, Compilation, Review & Evaluation of Data Base																																										
Aerial Mapping																																										
Topographic Survey																																										
Traffic Projections																																										
Environmental Data, Coordination, Inventory & Analysis																																										
NEPA/404 Merger Meeting - Purpose & Need																																										
Range of Alternatives																																										
Public Involvement																																										
Stakeholder Involvement Plan																																										
CAG Meetings																																										
Public Meetings & Hearing																																										
Alternate Interchange Studies																																										
Wetland Impact Evaluation																																										
Determine Comprehensive Impacts																																										
Identify Measures to Minimize Harm																																										
NEPA/404 Merger Meeting - Alternatives to be Carried Forward																																										
Interchange Type Study																																										
Bridge Type Studies																																										
Location Drainage Study																																										
Accident Analysis																																										
NEPA/404 Merger Meeting - Preferred Alternative																																										
Preliminary Revised Access Justification Report																																										
Intersection Design Study																																										
Interchange Design Study																																										
Preliminary Environmental Assessment																																										
Preliminary Combined Design Report																																										
Noise Analysis																																										
Air Quality Analysis																																										
Preliminary 4(f) Evaluation Report																																										
Final Revised Access Justification Report																																										
Final Environmental Assessment																																										
Final Combined Design Report																																										
Final 4(f) Evaluation Report																																										
Design Approval																																										

• - Coordination Meetings / Public Meetings & Hearings

Appendix B

Project Development Schedule Continued....

- - Coordination Meetings / Public Meetings & Hearings