# IL 4/15 Kaskaskia River Bridge Study

Fayetteville, St. Clair County, Illinois

# Stakeholder Involvement Plan

For Public and Agency Involvement

FAP 817 Section 421BR-2 PTB 194, Item 51 P-98-014-20

Version II February 2024

PREPARED FOR: Illinois Department of Transportation/Region Five/District 8

PREPARED BY: Horner & Shifrin, Inc



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION	3
1.1 Study Background and History	3
1.2 Stakeholder Involvement Plan Goals and Objectives	4
1.3 Context Sensitive Solutions	4
2.0 STAKEHOLDER GROUP ORGANIZATION	5
2.1 Stakeholder Identification Procedures	5
2.2 Project Study Group (PSG):	6
2.3 Community Advisory Group (CAG)	6
2.4 Implementation	7
2.5 Stakeholder Involvement	7
2.6 Stakeholder Consensus	8
3.0 STEPS OF STUDY DEVELOPMENT AND CSS ACTIVITIES	8
3.1 Step One: Stakeholder Identification, Development of the SIP, & Study Scoping	8
3.2 Step Two: Study Problem Statement Development	8
3.3 Step Three: Alternatives Development	8
3.4 Step Four: Preferred Alternative	9
4.0 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT ACTIVITIES	9
4.1 Agency Coordination	9
4.2 CAG Meetings	10
4.3 Stakeholder Meetings	10
4.4 Public Meetings	10
4.5 Virtual Meetings	11
5.0 COMMUNICATION TOOLS	12
5.1 Study Identity	12
5.2 Newsletters	12
5.3 Study Website	12
5.4 Notifications and Advertising	12
5.5 Media Briefings	
5.6 Stakeholder Mailing List	12
6.0 STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT PLAN AVAILABILITY AND MONITORING UPDATES	13
6.1 Availability of the Stakeholder Involvement Plan	13
6.2 Modifications of the Stakeholder Involvement Plan	
APPENDIX A: AGENCIES & ADVISORY GROUPS	
APPENDIX B: SCHEDULE OF STAKEHOLDER ACTIVITIES	
APPENDIX C: GLOSSARY	19

# **ACRONYMS**

BDE	Bureau of Design and Environment	
BBS	Bureau of Bridges & Structures	
CAG	Community Advisory Group	
CSS	Context Sensitive Solutions	
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration	
IDOT	Illinois Department of Transportation	
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act of 1969	
PSG	Project Study Group	
SIP	Stakeholder Involvement Plan	

#### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 Study Background and History

The Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT) is performing a Preliminary Engineering and Environmental Study to analyze the replacement of the bridge carrying IL Route 4/15 over the Kaskaskia River (SN 082-0077) in Fayetteville, St. Clair County, Illinois. See the project location below. The bridge was constructed in 1946 and rehabilitated in 2013. The Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) is 4,400. Because the bridge is nearing the end of its design life and the rising maintenance costs due to the age of the structure, IDOT has determined this structure needs to be replaced.



The preliminary engineering and environmental study is considered an IDOT Phase I Study as it is the first phase of IDOT's three-phrase project development process. This proposed study will take a detailed look at the replacement of the main structure. This may result in constructing the structure on new alignment or utilization of Accelerated Bridge Construction (ABC) methods in order to minimize the duration of adverse travel (distance and/or duration) to the motoring public. If the project limits impact the adjacent two structures carrying IL Route 4/15 over the Kaskaskia River overflow channels (082-0078 and 082-0275), those structures will be evaluated for rehabilitation or replacement as well. In coordination with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Illinois Division, because there are no known significant environmental resources within the study area, it was determined the study will be processed as a Federally Approved Categorical Exclusion.



### **Stakeholder Involvement Plan Goals and Objectives**

The Stakeholder Involvement Plan (SIP) serves as a guide for implementing stakeholder involvement activities during the IL Route 4/15 Kaskaskia River Bridge Study. Stakeholders can include local, regional, state, and federal agency representatives; local, regional, state, and federal elected officials; business leaders; property owners; interest groups; media outlets; school districts and any stakeholders as identified by IDOT.

The goal of the SIP is to outline a program of activities to actively engage stakeholders throughout the planning process. The objectives of the SIP are to:

- Identify stakeholders
- Identify the roles and responsibilities of the lead agency
- Establish the timing and type of outreach activities with all stakeholders
- Establish stakeholder requirements for providing timely input to the study development process
- Provide an approach for evaluating the effectiveness of the public-participation program and refine, as appropriate, to respond to public needs and concerns

### **1.2 Context Sensitive Solutions**

IDOT is applying the Context Sensitive Solutions (CSS) process to the IL Route 4/15 Kaskaskia River Bridge Study as outlined in Chapter 19 of the Illinois Bureau of Design and Environment (BDE) Manual. CSS is an interdisciplinary approach that seeks effective, multimodal transportation solutions by working with stakeholders to develop, build, and maintain cost-effective transportation facilities which fit into and reflect the project's surroundings (its "context"). Through early, frequent, and meaningful communication with stakeholders and a flexible, creative approach to design, the resulting project will improve safety and mobility for the traveling public while seeking to preserve and enhance the scenic, economic, historic, and natural qualities of the settings through which they pass.

The CSS approach will provide stakeholders with the tools and information required to effectively participate in the study process. Stakeholders are given an understanding of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process, transportation planning guidelines, design guidelines, and the relationship between transportation issues (needs) and study alternatives. In other words, using the CSS process should provide all study stakeholders a mechanism to share comments or concerns about transportation objectives and study alternatives, as well as improve the ability of the study team to understand and address the stakeholder's concerns. This integrated approach to problem solving and decision-making will help build community consensus and promote involvement throughout the studyprocess.

As identified in IDOT's CSS policies, stakeholder involvement is critical to study success. The CSS process strives to achieve the following:

- Understand stakeholder's key issues and concerns
- Involve stakeholders in the decision-making process early and often
- Establish an understanding of the stakeholder's role in the study
- Consider all modes of transportation
- Set a study schedule
- Apply flexibility in design to address stakeholder's concerns whenever possible

The CSS process will address various potential effects to study area resources including:

- Water resources, including the Kaskaskia River, wetlands, and floodplains
- Natural resources, including threatened and endangered species
- Public resources (Section 4(f)), including the bicycle trail that runs under the main structure)
- Socioeconomic, including potential relocation and detour routes

#### 2.0 STAKEHOLDER GROUP ORGANIZATION

The study development and CSS process outlined in in this SIP will be implemented using a variety of stakeholder coordination and outreach mechanisms and techniques as described in this section. The study team will identify and coordinate with agencies, technical professionals, community leaders and other stakeholders. Outreach activities will include a study website, small group meetings, public meetings, newsletters, media outreach and other tools.

Multiple stakeholder meetings will be conducted throughout the development of the study to obtain stakeholder input regarding various study issues and potential solutions. These meetings will provide an opportunity to facilitate initial public input on the alternatives that should be considered, focus more closely on alternatives development with a small group of interested parties, and will help create a shared vision for the study. Renderings and visualizations may be developed to illustrate concepts and issues that have been raised, developed, and evaluated. The renderings and visualizations will depend on the topics of discussion and format of the particular meeting in which it is presented.

#### 2.1 Stakeholder Identification Procedures

Per IDOT CSS procedures, a stakeholder is anyone who could be affected by the study and has a stake in its outcome. This includes the public, property owners, business owners, state, and local officials, federal and state resource & regulatory agencies, special interest groups, and motorists who utilize the facility.

Early coordination and/or meetings will be conducted with city, county, state, and federal organizations within the study area as a means of identifying interested parties and stakeholders, including individuals, businesses, community leaders and organizations. The identification of stakeholders will be done through a combination of research and input from local community leaders and the public. It is anticipated that new stakeholders will be added to the initial stakeholder list throughout the study. All stakeholders expressing interest in the study will be added to the study mailing list and will be able to participate in the process through various public outreach opportunities. These opportunities include, but are not limited to, the study website, project study groups, public meetings, newsletters, and press releases. The study mailing list will be updated and maintained throughout the duration of the study.

#### 2.2 Project Study Group (PSG):

The PSG consists of representatives from IDOT, FHWA, and the study consultant team. See **Appendix A** for a list of the PSG members. The PSG is tasked with performing detailed analyses of subjects that require various environmental, engineering and project development expertise. As a result, the PSG will determine study recommendations and decisions for this study. Per IDOT's CSS procedures, IDOT has formed the initial PSG. However, to maintain an optimal multi-disciplinary team, this group may change as the study progresses and the understanding of the study's context is clarified.

The PSG has the primary responsibility for the study development process. The group will meet throughout the study process to provide technical oversight and expertise in key areas including study process, agency procedures and standards, and technical approaches. The project team also has primary responsibility for ensuring compliance with the SIP.

Other responsibilities of the project team include the following:

- Expediting the study development process
- Identifying and resolving study development issues
- Promoting partnership with stakeholders to address identified study needs
- Working to develop consensus among stakeholders

#### 2.3 Community Advisory Group (CAG)

The Community Advisory Group (CAG) is the group consisting of a representative cross-section of stakeholders. The CAG's responsibility is to share their local knowledge and assist in identification and understanding of the concerns and values, or context of the communities and stakeholders. The CAG members will be initially identified by the PSG as a result of stakeholder conversations, public outreach, and comments received. It is important that the CAG be representative of the varied interests that exist within the study area while being small enough to allow the detailed level of discussion and activities needed to accommodate meaningful interaction.

The CAG for the IL Route 4/15 Kaskaskia River Bridge Study is anticipated to represent the following categories, depending on the level of interest received during stakeholder outreach activities:

- Residents
- Homeowners
- Property owners
- Business owners
- Special interest groups
- Farmers
- Elected/community officials
- Government and transportation agencies
- Transportation system users
- School Districts
- Port Authority

- Trucking Industry
- Federal and state resource & regulatory agencies
- Bicycle groups
- Places of Worship
- Others outside the study area with an interest in the study

Additional interests may be represented as the study progresses. The CAG's primary responsibility is to provide input to the PSG. The CAG will meet throughout the study process. Other responsibilities of the CAG include the following:

- Provide input into the Problem Statement
- Provide input on the alternatives to be studied further
- Provide input on the preferred alternative

## Community Advisory Group (CAG) Ground Rules

The following ground rules will be used during the IL Route 4/15 Kaskaskia River Bridge Study to form the basis for respectful interaction of all parties involved in the stakeholder process. The ground rules will be established initially in the SIP but agreed upon by CAG members. CAG members will have the opportunity to modify the ground rules. These rules include the following:

- Input on the study from all stakeholders is duly considered in order to yield the best solutions to problems identified.
- Input from all participants is valued and considered.
- All participants must keep an open mind and participate openly, honestly, and respectfully.
- All participants should work collaboratively and cooperatively to seek a general understanding of agreement solution.
- A general understanding of agreement or consensus is "when a majority of the stakeholders agrees on a particular issue, while the remainder of stakeholders agrees its input has been heard and duly considered and that the process as a whole was fair."
- All participants in the process must treat each other with respect and dignity.
- The study must progress at a reasonable pace, based on the study schedule.
- IDOT and FHWA will serve as the lead agencies and make final study decisions.
- Members of the public that are not CAG members may attend, but must remain in the role of observers, not participants in the process.

### 2.4 Implementation

Public involvement in the planning process begins at the start of the study and continues throughout the entire study. The SIP serves as a guide for public involvement in this study but includes strategies that can be used throughout all phases, including construction. Implementation of this plan requires the commitment and efforts of all involved parties along with the expected actions, responsibilities, and timing. The PSG will be responsible for the overall development, implementation, and coordination of the SIP.

### 2.5 Stakeholder Involvement

Any stakeholder that shows interest in the study will be added to the stakeholder list, ensuring they will receive newsletters, meeting invitations, and study updates. The PSG will also be available to meet stakeholder groups on a one-on-one basis throughout the study, if deemed necessary. In addition, stakeholders will be informed about the study website where they can access information and submit comments.

#### 2.6 Stakeholder Consensus

IDOT is committed to working with stakeholders during the study process. IDOT early on works with stakeholders to identify transportation issues and important community characteristics. Throughout the study process, IDOT is also committed to building stakeholder consensus for decisions driving the project development process. However, if a consensus cannot be reached after making good faith efforts to address unresolved concerns, IDOT may proceed to the next step of project development without achieving consensus.

## 3.0 STEPS OF STUDY DEVELOPMENT AND CSS ACTIVITIES

Outreach activities have been coordinated to support NEPA milestones, incorporate the CSS process, and gather input at key decision points. This section describes the general study development process, study activities, and associated stakeholder involvement activities. These steps may be consolidated or expanded as needed as a result of the complexity of the project design, as it is developed. A comprehensive schedule of anticipated outreach activities and corresponding milestones is shown in **Appendix B**.

### 3.1 Step One: Stakeholder Identification, Development of the SIP, & Study Scoping

This step of the study development process begins the CSS process with identifying stakeholders, notifying agencies of the study, establishing the PSG and CAG, scoping activities, collecting information about the study area, and identifying existing transportation needs. These activities include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Develop the SIP and make the plan available for review
- Assemble and organize the PSG and CAG
- Hold coordination meeting with FHWA
- Organize and hold stakeholder meetings
- Launch study website
- Conduct the initial public involvement activities to inform stakeholders of the study process, defined study area, study history, identify study area issues/concerns, and seek stakeholder participation. This includes the distribution of the first study newsletter and first public meeting.

### 3.2 Step Two: Study Problem Statement Development

In this step, IDOT will work with stakeholders to identify transportation and infrastructure problems in the study area and develop the study's purpose, goals, and objectives. The objective of this step is to further clarify the transportation problems in the study area and utilize the goals and objectives to develop the study Problem Statement. This information will be used as guidance during the development of the study. In order to achieve this, the Study Team will conduct a CAG meeting to gather input from the members to identify the transportation problems to be solved by the study and develop a Problem Statement. The

Study Team will then seek the CAG's consensus of the Problem Statement.

### 3.3 Step Three: Alternatives Development

A range of alternatives will be studied that consider the Problem Statement. The alternative development process will be iterative in nature, providing progressively greater detail. Stakeholders will be provided numerous opportunities to provide input. This milestone of the study consists of screening the list of suggested alternatives to identify a reasonable range of alternatives that adequately satisfy the study Problem Statement. Steps in the alternatives development process include the following:

- Identification of alternative development procedures, planning and design guidelines, and alternative evaluation procedures. This information will serve as the general guidance for the alternatives development and evaluation process
- PSG evaluates preliminary alternatives and conducts multiple CAG meetings to discuss and identify alternatives that meet Problem Statement

- CAG provides input to the PSG for their identification of alternatives to be carried forward
- PSG achieves CAG consensus on the alternatives to be carried forward
- PSG conducts public involvement activities, including sending out a newsletter and holding a public meeting, to present the alternatives to be carried forward and the screening methods
- Conduct small group meetings, as necessary.
- Issue study website updates, newsletters, and other study materials, as necessary.

#### 3.4 Step Four: Preferred Alternative

In this step, the alternatives to be carried forward are further developed to screen additional environmental and design issues. These issues are summarized and presented to the stakeholders for their consideration, evaluation, and input of the final decision. The objective of this step is to achieve consensus on the preferred alternative.

- The PSG identifies a potential preferred alternative
- Hold a CAG meeting to present the preferred alternative
- Hold a public meeting to present the preferred alternative
- Refine the potential preferred alternative to address stakeholder comments
- Conduct stakeholder meetings, as necessary
- Update the study website and distribute newsletters and other study materials, as necessary

#### **4.0 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT ACTIVITIES**

The following public involvement activities in this section are proposed for the IL Route 4/15 Kaskaskia River Bridge Study. Unless otherwise noted, the PSG is the responsible party for activities and coordination, and all activities will be approved by IDOT before proceeding. The PSG designated point of contact is the IDOT Location Studies Engineer, or a designee with IDOT District 8. They will manage integral IDOT reviews and approvals, consolidate review comments, and coordinate the resolution of conflicting study issues.

The intent of these public involvement activities is to include all interested stakeholders so that the preferred alternative will fit within the context of the community in which it islocated.

Stakeholder involvement for the IL Route 4/15 Kaskaskia River Bridge Study will be an ongoing process from study initiation through the duration of the study. Various meetings will be held throughout the study development process to provide outreach opportunities to all stakeholders. Meeting opportunities are listed below.

#### 4.1 Agency Coordination

The preparation of the Federally Approved Categorical Exclusion document requires compliance with many state and federal rules, regulations, and laws. In order to ensure compliance, coordination with resource agencies will occur periodically through the study process. Initially, smaller regional group meetings may be required to be held with state and federal resource agencies to introduce the study. As the study progresses, meetings will be held with individual resource agencies, if necessary, to discuss environmental findings and compliance with state and federal requirements.

#### 4.2 CAG Meetings

It is anticipated that approximately two CAG meetings may be conducted throughout the study. These may occur during the development of the Problem Statement, during alternatives development, or during the evaluation process to determine a preferred alternative. These meetings will provide an opportunity to facilitate initial public input on the alternatives that should be considered, focus more closely on alternatives development with a small group of interested parties, and will help create a shared community vision for the study. Renderings and visualizations may be developed to illustrate concepts and issues that have been raised, developed, and evaluated. The renderings and visualizations will depend on the topics of discussion and format of the meeting in which it is presented.



### 4.3 Stakeholder Meetings

- Stakeholder meetings will be held to engage the public and share information. Meetings usually include:
- Addressing specific study issues
- Allowing for more specialized discussions and input
- Aiding the public in developing a better understanding of the study goals and objectives

Stakeholder meetings will be held throughout the study as they are identified. These meetings could include the study team, local agencies and organizations, members of the business community, and affected property owners. Study handouts or other appropriate meeting materials will be prepared for distribution at these meetings.

#### 4.4 Public Meetings

Public involvement for the IL Route 4/15 Kaskaskia River Bridge Study will include multiple opportunities for the public to participate through public meetings. These large-scale meetings will encourage public attendance and foster public awareness of study developments and alternatives that are being evaluated. These meetings also will provide a forum for general stakeholder input, including concerns and comments regarding study alternatives. A press release, public notices, and



coordination with the CAG will be utilized to announce the meetings. All public meeting exhibits and associated information will be available on the project website after the public meeting is held. Public meetings will be held as necessary throughout the project study.

The intent of the public meetings will be to provide the public the opportunity to provide their perspective and opinions on:

- the goals of the study,
- the alternatives and their associated impacts, and
- the preferred alternative

These meetings will utilize a range of presentation methods and techniques including study boards, handouts, and PowerPoint or multimedia presentations. The meetings will be advertised by a newsletter/invitation, public notices placed in area newspapers, on the study website, and on 3rd party websites. Translation services will be provided when requested in advance. The figure below illustrates the anticipated study schedule.



#### **4.5 Virtual Meetings**

If necessary, virtual Stakeholder, CAG, and Public Meetings will be held in accordance with AIDOT BDE Manual Section 19.3.03 (c)5 *Virtual Public Meetings*. The following will be prepared and carried out by the consultant Team in coordination with IDOT District 8:

- A method for the public to submit comments electronically for the project.
- The notice shall also contain a starting date and ending date of when official comments may be made a part of the public record (a minimum timeframe should be seven calendar days). Typically, it is assumed the starting time of the meeting is the starting date of when official comments can be received.
- IDOT will publish public meeting notices on several social media sites (e.g. Facebook, Linkedin, Twitter, etc.) as well press releases to local media. A virtual presentation of the project may be conducted via a live person presentation or via a webinar/PowerPoint style presentation. Questions will be received during the meeting and answered to the extent feasible during the meeting as part of a 'chat' option during the meeting. Follow up on the answered and unanswered questions will be via the website and/or newsletters or e-mail to attendees. The consultant will staff the virtual webinar so that a technical person is available to run the logistics of the meeting and resolve any technical problems, a person is available to handle the live questions generated during the meeting, and a separate person is the moderator/facilitator. The presentation will be available for streaming later if a live presentation was used for the virtual public meeting.
- Develop a brochure/pamphlet to be distributed that includes project information, project website, and it is suggested to have a pre-paid mailer included as part of the brochure/pamphlet for the public to submit comments.
- The consultant team will be mindful that these methods be accessible to ADA stakeholders included in the virtual public meeting process.

#### **5.0 COMMUNICATION TOOLS**

Several communication tools will be used throughout the IL Route 4/15 Kaskaskia River Bridge Study to engage stakeholders. These tools are designed to reach local stakeholders, as well as those with regional or national interest in the study. Communication tools will include a study website, newsletters, media outreach, and other tools.

#### 5.1 Study Identity

A study identity, including a logo and graphics, will be developed to provide a consistent and recognizable image for the study. The study identity will be used in all stakeholder involvement materials.

#### 5.2 Newsletters

Newsletters will be distributed to provide study information and solicit input. The newsletters will contain study and public meeting information. Hardcopy newsletters will be sent to stakeholders that only have physical addresses listed in the mailing list. An e-newsletter will be distributed to those who provide an email address. The newsletters will also be posted on the study website.

The Schedule of Stakeholder Activities in Appendix B includes the timing and objectives for newsletters.

#### 5.3 Study Website

A study website will be maintained throughout the study. The website will support broader outreach and provide access to study information to accommodate regional and national interest in the study.

The website will serve as a comprehensive information resource for the study. Study and meeting materials will be available on the website, including newsletters, public meeting announcements, public comment summaries, documents, frequently asked questions, CAG meeting materials, and other information.

The study web pages will be included on IDOT's website. Updates will be made as the study progresses at key study milestones.

### 5.4 Notifications and Advertising

Press releases will provide descriptions of the public meetings (purpose, date, location, and format) to local newspapers. The press releases are intended to reach a larger audience and inform individuals that are not on the study's mailing list of the upcoming public participation opportunity. The study team will develop newspaper notices following IDOT media guidelines. Announcements will also be placed on the study web page and shared via social media. News releases will be developed in coordination with IDOT to send to media affiliates including print, radio, and television stations. Legal notices will be posted when required by policy. Advertisements in local media may be used as well if outreach needs to be expanded.

### 5.5 Media Briefings

A proactive approach to media coordination will be used to ensure that media has current, relevant, and accurate information to share with the public. This approach includes participation by the IDOT spokesperson or the consultant in media briefings, preparation of media kits, preparation of press releases, and availability of study staff to support the spokesperson in ongoing coordination with members of the media.

#### 5.6 Stakeholder Mailing List

A study mailing list consisting of study stakeholder contact information will be maintained throughout the study. The mailing list will be used to distribute notifications about upcoming public participation opportunities and provide study updates. Newsletters, postcards, or email notifications will be distributed to the mailing list to provide study information and announce upcoming public meetings.

#### 6.0 STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT PLAN AVAILABILITY AND MONITORING UPDATES

The SIP is a dynamic document that will be available to the public and updated as appropriate through the duration of the study. This section describes SIP stakeholder review opportunities and plan update procedures.

#### 6.1 Availability of the Stakeholder Involvement Plan

As the study proceeds, the PSG will update the SIP as needed to reflect appropriate changes or additions. The PSG will advise stakeholders of future SIP updates and post the updates on the study website.

#### 6.2 Modifications of the Stakeholder Involvement Plan

The SIP will be reviewed on a regular basis for effectiveness and will be updated as appropriate. Updates to the SIP include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Maintaining a current list of CAG members,
- Maintaining a list of agency partners,
- Maintaining a detailed list of all public outreach methods used during the CSS process.

# **APPENDIX A: AGENCIES & ADVISORY GROUPS**

AGENCY NAME	ROLE	RESPONSIBILITIES
Federal Highway Administration	Federal Lead Agency	Project Oversight
Illinois Department of Transportation State Lead Agency		<ul> <li>Manage environmental review process</li> <li>Provide opportunity for public involvement</li> <li>Collect transportation and environmental data</li> <li>Manage CSS Process</li> </ul>

Table A-2:	Project Study Group (PSG)			
NAME	REPRESENTING	ROLE	PHONE	EMAIL
	IDOT, District	Eight, 1102 Eastport Plaza Drive	e, Collinsville, IL	62234
Cynthia Watters PE	IDOT District 8	Program Development Engineer	(618) 346-3112	Cynthia.Watters@illinois.gov
Tiffany Brase, PE	IDOT District 8	Studies & Plans Engineer	(618) 346-3175	Tiffany.Brase@Illinois.gov
Cindy Stafford, PE	IDOT District 8	Location Studies Engineer	(618) 346-3151	Cindy.Stafford@Illinois.gov
Matt Meyer	IDOT District 8	Project Manager	(618) 346-3157	Matthew.Meyer@Illinois.gov
Sarah Wiszkon, PE	IDOT District 8	Senior Project Studies Engineer	(618) 346-3309	Sarah.Wiszkon@illinois.gov
Jennifer Hunt	IDOT District 8	Environmental Studies Manager	(618) 346-3156	Jennifer.Hunt@Illinois.gov
Brian Macias	IDOT District 8	Environmental Studies Specialist	(618) 346-3144	Brian.Macias@illinois.gov
Kevin Jemison	IDOT District 8	Bike and Ped Coordinator	(618) 346-3149	Kevin.Jemison@Illinois.gov
Mike Myler	IDOT District 8	Lane Acquisition	(618) 346-3120	MichaelMyler@Illinois.gov
Phil Coppernoll	IDOT District 8	Hydraulics	(618) 346-3181	Philip.Coppernoll@Illinois.gov
Dave Castens, PE	IDOT District 8	Acting District Bridge Unit Engineer	(618) 346-3250	Dave.Castens@Illinois.gov
Michael Spanberger, PE	IDOT District 8	Geometrics Engineer	(618) 346-3193	Michael.Spanberger@illinois.gov
IDOT, Bureau of Design and Environment, 2300 S. Dirksen Pkwy., Springfield, IL 62764				
Paul Niedernhofer	IDOT Bureau of Design and Environment	Project Development	(217) 785-0345	Paul.niedernhofer@Illinois.gov
Felecia Hurley	IDOT Bureau of Design and Environment	Environmental Studies	(217) 785-4245	Felecia.hurley@Illinois.gov

Table A-2:   Project Study Group (PSG)				
NAME	REPRESENTING	ROLE	PHONE	EMAIL
Rich Chaput	IDOT Bureau of Bridges & Structures	Bridges and Structures	(217) 758-2916	Richard.Chaput@illinois.gov
	FHWA – IL [	Division, 3250 Executive Park Drive	e, Springfield, IL 6	2703
Chris Fraley, PE	Federal Highway Administration	FHWA Oversight	(217) 492-4619	Chris.Fraley@dot.gov
CONSULTANT TEAM				
Brad Riechmann, PE	Horner & Shifrin	Project Manager	BEReichr	nann@HornerShifrin.com
Theresa Goetz, PE	Horner & Shifrin,	Project Engineer	TMGoetz@HornerShifrin.com	
Bridgett Jacquot	Horner & Shifrin	Environmental Lead	BLJacquot@hornershifrin.com	
Jon Derner, PE, SE	Horner & Shifrin	Structural Lead	JJDerner@hornershifrin.com	
Michael Haas, PE, SE	Collins Engineering	ABC Lead	MHaas@collinsengr.com	
Stephen Kehoe, PE	Quigg Engineering	Public Involvement Support	SKehoe@QuiggEngineering.com	

Table A-3: Community Advisory Group (Ir	cludes the PSG in Table A-2)
REPRESENTING	NAME
Villages / Elected Officials	
Mayor of Fayetteville	Brian Funk
Mayor of Freeburg	Seth Speiser
Mayor of Mascoutah	Patrick McMahan
Mayor of St. Libory	Rob Stroder
EMS	
Fayetteville Fire Department	Randy Vasquez - Chief
St. Libory Fire District	Casey Trentman – Fire Chief
Mascoutah Rural Fire Protection District	Joe Zink - Chief
Mascoutah Ambulance Services	Jeremy Gottschammer
Transportation Agencies	
Engelmann Township	Lisa Reinneck
Environment	
IL Department of Natural Resources (IDNR)	
U.S. Coast Guard	
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	
Place of Worship	
Holy Childhood of Jesus Catholic Church	Father Mark Stec
Residents	
Property Owner - Fayetteville	Tom Bauchman
Homeowner - Fayetteville	Kathy Brandt
Homeowner - Fayetteville	Margaret Hutcheson
Homeowner - Fayetteville	Jennifer Holden
Farmers	- · · -
Farm Owner	Robert Case
Top Ag in St. Libory	Ryan Holtgrave
St. Clair County Farm Bureau	Allyson Lintker
Businesses	
Wenneman's Meat Market Company in St. Libory	Paul Otten
Muskopf Tree Farm in Fayetteville	Darrell Muskopf
Beelman Truck Co in St. Libory	Doug Wilhelm
Stripes N More Autobody in Fayetteville	Kenny Middendorf
Prairie State Energy in Marissa	Alyssa Harre
Lawyer in Fayetteville	Rosemarie Parker
Special Interest Groups	
Kaskaskia Regional Port District	Ed Weilbacher
Heartlands Conservancy	Mary Vandevord
School Districts	
Freeburg School District	Melanie Brink - Superintendent
St Libory Consolidated School District	Dr. Thomas Rude - Superintendent
Mascoutah School District	Brandon Woodrome – Superintendent
Commuter	
Okawville	Andrew Jankowski
St Libory	Joe Robertson
	·

## APPENDIX B: SCHEDULE OF STAKEHOLDER ACTIVITIES

ACTIVITY	DATE	DESCRIPTION	
Development of Draft Stakeholder Involvement Plan	2021	<ul> <li>Develop a Stakeholder Involvement Plan (SIP) to document outreach goals, objectives, stakeholders, tools, and participation opportunities</li> <li>Coordinate draft with BDE and FHWA</li> </ul>	
Stakeholder Meetings #1	2021	<ul><li>Discuss study area</li><li>Discuss interests and concerns</li></ul>	
Public Meeting #1	2022	<ul> <li>Introduce the study, project need, planning process, schedule, goals, and public participation opportunities (i.e. how to apply to be on CAG)</li> <li>Introduce study website</li> </ul>	
Formation of Community Advisory Group (CAG)	2022	Identify and notify preliminary CAG Members	
Project Study Group (PSG) Meeting #1	2022	<ul> <li>Discuss results of Public Meeting #1</li> <li>Identify preliminary study needs and issues</li> <li>Review and obtain input on identified stakeholders</li> <li>Discuss upcoming CAG Meeting #1 and any stakeholder meetings</li> </ul>	
CAG Meeting #1	2022	<ul> <li>Introduce the study</li> <li>Explain project development process (Phase I, II, &amp; III)</li> <li>Explain what environmental topics are studied, in general</li> <li>Define CSS, Stakeholder, and CAG</li> <li>Provide CAG Ground rules</li> <li>Discuss project need and study specifics (existing roadway system, environmental resources, community characteristics)</li> <li>Group exercise – Consensus on Problem Statement</li> </ul>	
Newsletter #1	2022	<ul> <li>Introduce the study, planning process, schedule, goals, and public participation opportunities</li> <li>Introduce study website</li> </ul>	
Stakeholder Meetings #2	2023	As requested, and/or as necessary.	
PSG Meeting #2	2023	<ul> <li>Provide update on study</li> <li>Discuss Alternative Development</li> <li>Plan for CAG Meeting #2</li> </ul>	
CAG #2	2024	<ul> <li>Explain Alternative Development Process</li> <li>Introduce Alternatives &amp; Discuss Impacts</li> <li>Group Exercise – Input on Alternatives</li> </ul>	
PSG Meeting #3	2024	<ul> <li>Provide update on study</li> <li>Discuss Alternative Refinements</li> <li>Discuss CAG Meeting #2</li> <li>Discuss upcoming Public Meeting</li> </ul>	

# APPENDIX B: SCHEDULE OF STAKEHOLDER ACTIVITIES

ACTIVITY	DATE	DESCRIPTION
Public Meeting #2	2024	<ul> <li>Present alternatives</li> <li>Discuss how alternatives to be carried forward address the Problem Statement</li> <li>Discuss environmental impacts.</li> <li>Discuss screening and evaluation process that was followed to get to alternatives</li> </ul>
Newsletter #2	2024	<ul> <li>Explain Alternative Development Process</li> <li>Explain NEPA</li> <li>Discuss results of Public Meeting #2 (Alternatives &amp; impacts)</li> <li>Bring public up to date on CAG meetings and stakeholder meetings</li> </ul>
PSG Meeting # 4	2024	<ul> <li>Review results of CAG Meeting #3 and Public Meeting #2</li> <li>Discuss preferred alternative</li> </ul>
Stakeholder Meetings #3	2024	As requested, and/or as necessary
Public Meeting #3	2024	<ul> <li>Present the preferred alternative and ROW needs</li> <li>Discuss environmental impacts.</li> <li>Discuss contract plans, land acquisition, and construction schedule</li> </ul>
Stakeholder Meetings #4	2024	As requested, and/or as necessary
PSG Meeting #5	2024	<ul> <li>Discuss preferred alternative</li> <li>Discuss comments from Public Meeting #3</li> </ul>
Newsletter #3	2024	<ul> <li>Update the public on the study</li> <li>Discuss preferred alternative</li> </ul>
PSG #6	2024	<ul> <li>Discuss final steps for Design Approval</li> <li>Discuss project commitments</li> </ul>
Design Approval	2025	Final approval for next phase

## APPENDIX C: GLOSSARY

## GLOSSARY

#### Alternative

One of a number of specific transportation improvement proposals, alignments, options, and design choices in a study. Following detailed analysis, one improvement alternative is chosen for implementation.

#### Community Advisory Group (CAG)

The CAG includes community leaders, including elected officials, representatives from local municipalities, and local resource agencies. This group ensures that identified solutions balance community, technical and long-range planning needs. It also assists in keeping the study on the right track with respect to implementation. The CAG will provide input at key study milestones throughout Phase I of the planning process.

#### Context

The interrelated condition in which something exist.

#### **Context Sensitive Solutions (CSS)**

Balance between mobility, community needs and the environment while developing transportation projects. This is achieved through involving stakeholders early and continuously, addressing all modes of transportation, applying flexibility in the design, and incorporating aesthetics to the overall project.

#### **Multi-Modal Transportation**

Includes all modes of transportation for a complete transportation system. Examples: cars, trucks, bicycles, pedestrians, high occupancy vehicles, mass transit, rail.

#### National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

The federal law that requires the preparation of an environmental document to analyze the impacts of projects using federal funds. Documents may include an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), Environmental Assessment (EA), or Categorical Exclusion (CE) depending on the type of project and anticipated level of impacts. To comply with NEPA, a process has been developed by IDOT to address all potential environmental, social, cultural, and economic impacts of a proposed highway project before decisions are reached on design. Public involvement is an integral component of the NEPA process.

#### **Problem Statement**

A concise narrative, prepared as part of a project needs study, defining the fundamental situation or circumstance to be solved. A Problem Statement will generally describe a particular situation in which an expected level of performance is not being achieved and will list one or more important factors which cause or contribute to the unacceptable performance.

#### **Project Study Group**

The Project Study Group (PSG) is a multi-disciplinary team that ensures all federal, state, and local requirements are met as well as full implementation of the CSS process including the SIP. The includes appropriate District, FHWA, Planning and Programming, Bureau of Design and Environment (BDE), Metropolitan Planning Organization, consulting staff, and other representatives as appropriate to the project. The PSG is involved in stakeholder identification and other outreach activities.

#### **Public Involvement**

Coordination events and informational materials geared at encouraging the public to participate in the project development process. A successful Stakeholder Involvement Plan facilitates the exchange of information

among project sponsors and stakeholders, providing opportunities for input and participation throughout the planning process.

#### Stakeholder

An individual or group with an interest or investment in a way an issue is resolved. This includes local, regional, state, and federal agency representatives; local, regional, state, and federal officials; business leaders; property owners; key community leaders; civic/community groups; environmental preservation and interest groups; media outlets; and any other targeted stakeholders as directed by IDOT.

#### Stakeholder Involvement Plan (SIP)

A formal written plan explaining how stakeholder input and participation will be facilitated throughout the planning process. The SIP outlines tools and techniques for engaging stakeholders throughout the planning process. The SIP has been designed to ensure that stakeholders are provided a number of opportunities to be informed and engaged as the project progresses. The goal of the SIP is to outline a program of activities to actively seek the participation of stakeholders. The SIP provides the framework for achieving consensus and communicating the decision-making process between stakeholders to identify transportation solutions for the project.

#### **Study Area**

The geographic area within which pertinent project matters are contained. Originally defined at the outset of engineering and environmental evaluation, although it may be revised during development of the studies.